Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(l)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of May 2008. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of May 2008, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: April 22, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-9839 Filed 5-2-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-489-807)

Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Irina Itkin or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0656 or (202) 482–0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 2008, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 73 FR 6128 (Feb. 1, 2008). The Department received the Notice of Intent to Participate from Nucor Corporation, CMC Steel Group, and Gerdau Ameristeel, Inc. (collectively "the domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) (Sunset Regulations). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic-like product in the United States.

We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from respondent interested parties with respect to the order covered by this sunset review. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(4)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department

conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all stock deformed steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths and coils. This includes all hot-rolled deformed rebar rolled from billet steel, rail steel, axle steel, or low-alloy steel. It excludes (i) plain round rebar, (ii) rebar that a processor has further worked or fabricated, and (iii) all coated rebar. Deformed rebar is currently classifiable under subheadings 7213.10.000 and 7214.20.000 of the $Harmonized\ Tariff\ Schedule\ of\ the$ United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Final Results" (Decision Memo) from Stephen J. Claevs, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated DATE 2008, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 1117 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn, under the heading "April 2008." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted—average percentage margins:

Weighted Average Margin (percent)
Revoked ¹
18.68
18.54
41.80
30.16
16.06 ²

¹ See Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review and Determination to Revoke in Part, 72 FR 62630, 62631 (Nov. 6, 2007).

²On November 8, 2005, and November 6, 2007, respectively, ICDAS Celik Energi Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S. (ICDAS) and Diler Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S./Diler Dis Ticaret A.S./Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Turizm Ticaret A.S. were revoked from the order. We have a request pending before the Court of International Trade to reinstate ICDAS in the order.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act

Dated: April 29, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–9851 Filed 5–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-489-807)

Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the

antidumping duty order certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey with respect to four¹ companies. The respondents which the Department selected for individual review are Ekinciler Demir ve Celik Sanayi A.S. and Ekinciler Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively "Ekinciler"); and Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas). The respondents which were not selected for individual review are listed in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section of this notice. The review covers the period April 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007.

We preliminarily determine that sales were made by Ekinciler below normal value (NV). In addition, based on the preliminary results for the respondents selected for individual review, we have preliminarily determined a weighted—average margin for those companies that were not selected for individual review but were responsive to the Department's requests for information. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

We have preliminarily determined to rescind the review with respect to three companies because these companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration - Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0656.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 2, 2007, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 72 FR 15650 (Apr. 2, 2007).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), on April 27 and 30, 2007, the Department received requests to

conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey from the following producers/ exporters of rebar: Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. and Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively "Colakgolu"); Diler Demir Celik Endustri ve Ticaret A.S., Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Turizm Ticaret A.S., and Diler Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively "Diler"); Ekinciler; Habas; Izmir Demir Celik Sanayi A.S. (IDC); and Nursan Celik Sanayi ve Haddecilik, A.S. and Nursan Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively "Nursan"). As part of their requests, Colakoglu, Diler, Ekinciler, and Habas also requested that the Department revoke the antidumping order with regard to them, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(b). Also, on April 30, 2007, the domestic interested parties, Nucor Corporation, Gerdau AmeriSteel Corporation and Commercial Metals Company, requested an administrative review for Colakoglu, Diler, Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. and Ege Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively "Ege Celik"), Ekinciler, Habas, Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.Ŝ. (collectively "Kaptan"), and Kroman Celik Sanayi A.S. (Kroman) pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1).

In May 2007, the Department initiated an administrative review for the nine companies listed above and requested that each provide data on the quantity and value (Q&V) of its exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR). See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 72 FR 29968 (May 30, 2007).

On June 4, 2007, we received responses to the Department's Q&V questionnaire from each company. In their responses, three exporters (*i.e.*, Ege Celik, Kaptan, and Kroman) informed the Department that they had no shipments or entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Because we confirmed this with CBP, we are preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to these companies. For further discussion, see the "Partial Rescission of Review" section of this notice.

Based upon our consideration of the responses to the Q&V questionnaire received and the resources available to the Department, we determined that it was not practicable to examine all exporters/producers of subject merchandise for which a review was requested. As a result, on July 16, 2007, we selected the four largest producers/

¹ This figure does not include companies for which the Department has rescinded or preliminarily rescinded this administrative review.