

2. Compartmentalization of notifiable avian influenza free zones;
3. H5/H7 LPAI program for raised-for-release upland gamebird flocks;
4. Evaluation of rapid detection assays for Salmonella; and
5. Evaluation of antigen detection assays for avian influenza.

The meetings will be open to the public. The sessions held on June 6 and 7, 2008, will include delegates to the NPIP Biennial Conference. However, due to time constraints, the public will not be allowed to participate in the discussions during either of the meetings. Written statements on meeting topics may be filed with the Committee before or after the meetings by sending them to the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**.

**CONTACT.** Written statements may also be filed at the meetings. Please refer to Docket No. APHIS-2008-0040 when submitting your statements.

This notice of meeting is given pursuant to section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. (5 U.S.C. App. 2).

Done in Washington, DC, this 14th day of April 2008.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. E8-8417 Filed 4-17-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-34-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food and Nutrition Service

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request: National Survey of WIC Participants II

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service (FNS).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection.

**DATES:** Written comments on this notice must be received on or before *June 17, 2008*.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments are invited on:  
(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;  
(b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;  
(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and  
(d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to: Steven Carlson, Director, Office of Research, Nutrition, and Analysis, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302. Comments may also be submitted via fax to the attention of Steven Carlson at (703) 305-2576, or via e-mail to [Steven.Carlson@fns.usda.gov](mailto:Steven.Carlson@fns.usda.gov).

All written comments will be open for public inspection at the office of the Food and Nutrition Service during regular business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday) at 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, Virginia 22302, Room 1014.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed to Steven Carlson (703) 305-2017.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
*Title:* National Survey of WIC Participants II.

*OMB Number:* Not yet assigned.

*Expiration Date:* To be determined.

*Type of Request:* New collection of information.

*Abstract:* In accordance with the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (Public Law No. 107-300), which seeks to improve the integrity of the government's payments and the efficiency of programs and activities,

including the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), FNS is seeking to carry out a Second National Survey of WIC Participants (NSWP II). Ten years have elapsed since the first NSWP survey was conducted. That study provided national estimates of the case error rate and dollar error for the WIC program. It also collected demographic information about WIC participants and their families. The NSWP II study seeks to: (1) Estimate the annual cost of erroneous payments in the WIC program by examining erroneous payments caused by WIC certification error among applicants; and (2) provide information on the policies, procedures, operations, and staff of WIC State agencies and explore the characteristics and experiences of WIC applicants and participants. In addition, the study will develop a model for updating estimates of erroneous payments due to certification error in the WIC program that will allow the Food and Nutrition Service to calculate erroneous payments for the next 10 years before the next major NSWP study.

*Affected Public:* State WIC Agency officials, Local WIC Agency directors and WIC participants.

*Proposed Collection: State WIC Agency Survey:* Public burden is estimated at 66 minutes for one response each with a total of 99 hours for 90 respondents.

*Local WIC Agency Survey:* Public burden is estimated at 40 minutes for one response each with a total of 334 hours for 500 respondents.

*WIC Participants Data Collection (telephone interview only):* Public burden is estimated at 24 minutes for one response each with a total of 480 hours for 1200 respondents.

*WIC Participants Data Collection (telephone and in-home interviews):* Public burden is estimated at 60 minutes (24 minutes telephone and 36 minutes in-home) for one response each with a total of 1200 hours for 1200 respondents.

*WIC Denied/Terminated Applicants Data Collection:* Public burden is estimated at 6 minutes for one response each with a total of 64 hours for 640 respondents.

### REPORTING BURDEN

| Respondent                                             | Estimated number of respondents | Responses annually per respondent | Total annual responses (col. b × c) | Estimated avg. number of hours per response | Estimated total hours (col. d × e) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| WIC Participants—telephone interviews only .....       | 1,200                           | 1.00                              | 1,200                               | 0.40080                                     | 480.960                            |
| WIC Participants—telephone and home interview .....    | 1,200                           | 1.00                              | 1,200                               | 1.00000                                     | 1,200.000                          |
| WIC Denied/Terminated Applicants Data Collection ..... | 640                             | 1.00                              | 640                                 | 0.10020                                     | 64.128                             |

## REPORTING BURDEN—Continued

| Respondent                    | Estimated number of respondents | Responses annually per respondent | Total annual responses (col. b × c) | Estimated avg. number of hours per response | Estimated total hours (col. d × e) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| State WIC Agency Survey ..... | 90                              | 1.00                              | 90                                  | 1.10220                                     | 99.198                             |
| Local WIC Agency Survey ..... | 500                             | 1.00                              | 500                                 | 0.66800                                     | 334.000                            |
| Total .....                   | 3,630                           | .....                             | 3,630                               | .....                                       | 2,178.286                          |

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 3,630.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1 (average).

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* 3,630.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 0.6 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested:* 2,178.286 hours.

Dated: April 14, 2008.

**Roberto Salazar,**

*Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.*

[FR Doc. E8-8457 Filed 4-17-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices in the Southwestern Region, Which Includes Arizona, New Mexico, and Parts of Oklahoma and Texas

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Grasslands, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Southwestern Region to give legal notice for the availability for comments on projects under 36 CFR 215, notice of decisions that may be subject to administrative appeal under 36 CFR parts 215 or 217, and for opportunities to object to proposed authorized hazardous fuel reduction projects under 36 CFR 218.4. This notice also lists newspapers of record for notices pertaining to plan amendments and revisions under 36 CFR 219. Newspaper publication is in addition to mailings and direct notice made to those who have participated in the planning of projects or plan revisions and amendments by submitting comments and/or requesting notice.

**DATES:** Use of these newspapers for the purpose of publishing legal notice for a plan amendment decision that is subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 217, for a comment and project decision that may

be subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 215, for opportunity to object under 36 CFR 218 and for planning notices on a plan revision or plan amendment under 36 CFR part 219 shall begin on the date of this publication and continue until further notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Southwestern Region, ATTN: Regional Appeals Assistant, 333 Broadway, SE., Albuquerque, NM 87102-3498.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Connie Smith, 505-842-3223.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Where more than one newspaper is listed for any unit, the first newspaper listed is the primary newspaper of record of which publication date shall be used for calculating the time period to file comment, appeal or an objection.

#### Southwestern Regional Office

##### Regional Forester

Notices of Availability for Comment and Decisions and Objections affecting New Mexico Forests: “*Albuquerque Journal*”, Albuquerque, New Mexico, for National Forest System Lands in the State of New Mexico and for any projects of Region-wide impact. Regional Forester Notices of Availability for Comment and Decisions and Objections affecting Arizona Forests: “*The Arizona Republic*”, Phoenix, Arizona, for National Forest System lands in the State of Arizona and for any projects of Region-wide impact. Regional Forester Notices of Availability for Comment and Decisions and Objections affecting National Grasslands in New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas are listed by Grassland and location as follows: Kiowa National Grassland notices published in: “*Union County Leader*”, Clayton New Mexico. Rita Blanca National Grassland in Cimarron County, Oklahoma notices published in: “*Boise City News*”, Boise City, Oklahoma. Rita Blanca National Grassland in Dallam County, Texas notices published in: “*The Dalhart Texan*”, Dalhart, Texas. Black Kettle National Grassland in Roger Mills County, Oklahoma notices published in: “*Cheyenne Star*”, Cheyenne, Oklahoma.

Black Kettle National Grassland in Hemphill County, Texas notices published in: “*The Canadian Record*”, Canadian, Texas. McClellan Creek National Grassland in Gray County, Texas notices published in: “*The Pampa News*”, Pampa, Texas.

#### Arizona National Forests

##### Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Alpine Ranger District, Black Mesa Ranger District, Lakeside Ranger District, and Springerville Ranger District are published in: “*The White Mountain Independent*”, Show Low and Navajo County, Arizona.

Clifton Ranger District Notices are published in: “*Copper Era*”, Clifton, Arizona.

##### Coconino National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Mogollon Rim Ranger District, Mormon Lake Ranger District, and Peaks Ranger District are published in: “*Arizona Daily Sun*”, Flagstaff, Arizona.

Red Rock Ranger District Notices are published in: “*Red Rock News*”, Sedona, Arizona.

##### Coronado National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by Forest Supervisor and Santa Catalina Ranger District are published in: “*The Arizona Daily Star*”, Tucson, Arizona.

Douglas Ranger District Notices are published in: “*Daily Dispatch*”, Douglas, Arizona. Nogales Ranger District Notices are published in: “*Nogales International*”, Nogales, Arizona.

Sierra Vista Ranger District Notices are published in: “*Sierra Vista Herald*”, Sierra Vista, Arizona.

Safford Ranger District Notices are published in: “*Eastern Arizona Courier*”, Safford, Arizona.

##### Kaibab National Forest

Notices for Availability for Comments, Decisions and Objections by