

www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: T. Spence Chubb, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2575.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2007).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 6, 2008, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine:

(a) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain laser imageable lithographic printing plates that infringe one or more of claims 1, 10, and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 5,339,737 and claims 20, 21, and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 5,487,338, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(b) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(C) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain laser imageable lithographic printing plates by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,711,005, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of Section 337; and

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—

Presstek, Inc., 55 Executive Drive,
Hudson, New Hampshire 03051

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

VIM Technologies, Ltd., Kibbutz Hanita,
22885, Israel

Hanita Coatings RCA, Ltd., Kibbutz
Hanita, 22885, Israel

Guaranteed Service & Supplies, Inc.,
606 Schoenhaar Drive, West Bend,
Wisconsin 53090

AteCe Canada, 3A Brussels Street, Suite
3A, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M8Y
1H2

Ohio Graphco, Inc., 6563 Cochran Road,
Solon, Ohio 44139

Recognition Systems, Inc., 30 Harbor
Park Drive, Port Washington, New
York 11050

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is T. Spence Chubb, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Theodore R. Essex is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or cease and desist orders or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 7, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-4954 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1103-0094]

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Revision of a Currently Approved Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Revision of a currently approved collection—Department Annual Progress Report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The revision of a currently approved information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 73, Number 4, pages 1230-1231 on January 7, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until April 14, 2008. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Rebekah Dorr, Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to

respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Department Annual Progress Report (DAPR).

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* None. U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary:* Law enforcement agencies that are recipients of COPS hiring grants and/or COPS grants that have a redeployment requirement. The Department Annual Progress Report was part of a business process reengineering effort aimed at minimizing the reporting burden on COPS hiring grantees by streamlining the collection of progress reports into one annual report.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 3,000 respondents annually will complete the form within 1 hour.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 3,000 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 7, 2008.

Lynn Bryant,

*Department Clearance Officer, PRA,
Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. E8-4993 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Notice is hereby given that on March 3, 2008, a proposed Settlement Agreement Regarding the Southeastern Missouri (SEMO) Mining District Sites was filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas in *In re ASARCO, LLC, et al.*, No. 05-21207 (Bankr. S.D. Tex.). The SEMO Mining District Sites consist of the Big River Mine Tailings Site and the Federal Mine Tailings Site in St. Francois County; the Madison County Mines Site, including the Catherine Mine Subsite and the Little Saint Francis River Subsite, in Madison County; the Glover Smelter Site, in Iron County; and the Sweetwater Mine/Mill Site and the West Fork Mine/Mill Site, in Reynolds County. The proposed settlement provides the United States allowed general unsecured claims totaling \$72.5 million to resolve past and future response cost and natural resource damage claims against ASARCO, LLC, for the SEMO Mining District Sites.

For thirty (30) days after the date of this publication, the Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In either case, comments should refer to *In re Asarco, LLC*, No. 05-21207 (Bankr. S.D. Tex.), D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-08633. In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area.

The proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas, 800 North Shoreline Blvd., #500, Corpus Christi, TX 78476-2001; and at the Region 7 office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North Fifth Street, Kansas City, KS 66101. During the comment period, the proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decree.html. A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice,

Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$3.75 for the Settlement Agreement (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the United States Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Robert E. Maher, Jr.,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. E8-4972 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Supplemental Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 6, 2008, a proposed Supplemental Consent Decree in *United States v. NCR Corp. and Allfirst Financial Center, National Association*, Civil Action No. 01-593-SLR, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

In a civil action filed on August 31, 2001, under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the United States sought recovery of response costs from NCR Corporation and Allfirst Financial Center, National Association (predecessor to Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company), in connection with the NCR Corporation Superfund Site in Millsboro, Delaware ("the Site"). A Consent Decree resolving some of the claims in that civil action was entered by the Court on February 28, 2002. The Consent Decree reserved the right of the United States to seek further response costs from the defendants. Pursuant to that reservation of rights, the United States now seeks to recover response costs incurred since February 14, 2001. The proposed Supplemental Consent Decree lodged on March 6, 2008, resolves the liability of the defendants for response costs incurred by the United States in connection with the Site between February 14, 2001 and August 1, 2006, and requires defendants to pay \$124,765 in reimbursement of response costs incurred through August 1, 2006. The Supplemental Consent