

information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).

- Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.

- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

- Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

B. Background

Under section 108(a) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Administrator identifies and lists certain pollutants which “cause or contribute to air pollution which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare.” The EPA then issues air quality criteria for listed pollutants, which are commonly referred to as “criteria pollutants.” The air quality criteria are to “accurately reflect the latest scientific knowledge useful in indicating the kind and extent of all identifiable effects on public health or welfare which may be expected from the presence of [a] pollutant in the ambient air, in varying quantities.” Under section 109 of the CAA, EPA establishes NAAQS for each listed pollutant, with the NAAQS based on the air quality criteria. Section 109(d) of the CAA requires periodic review and, if appropriate, revision of existing air quality criteria. The revised air quality criteria reflect advances in scientific knowledge on the effects of the pollutant on public health or welfare. The EPA is also required to periodically review and revise the NAAQS, if appropriate, based on the revised criteria.

Lead is one of six criteria pollutants for which EPA has established air quality criteria and NAAQS. Presently, EPA is reviewing the air quality criteria and NAAQS for lead.

As part of its review of the lead NAAQS, EPA is considering revising the associated monitoring requirements (contained in 40 CFR parts 50, 53, and 58). On December 12, 2007, an advanced notice of proposed

rulemaking (ANPR) was published (72 FR 71488). The ANPR identified a number of potential revisions to the lead monitoring requirements. CASAC conducted a review of the ANPR in December 2007, and submitted a final letter on January 22, 2008 (EPA–CASAC–08–007) providing CASAC’s advice and recommendations to the Agency on the policy options discussed in the ANPR. One of CASAC’s recommendations was that a more detailed review of the monitoring issues should be conducted by the CASAC AAMM Subcommittee.

In this notice, EPA is announcing the availability of technical documents that provide useful information regarding a number of issues pertinent to the monitoring requirements for the Pb NAAQS. Specifically, these documents discuss the Pb NAAQS indicator options being considered, specifications and rationale for a Federal Reference Method (FRM) and Federal Equivalency Method (FEM) criteria, potential network design requirements, and potential revisions to the monitoring sampling frequency. The technical documents will be available online at: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pb/s_pb_cr_td.html on or before March 3, 2008.

The EPA is soliciting advice and recommendations from the CASAC AAMM Subcommittee by means of consultation at an upcoming public teleconference of the CASAC AAMM Subcommittee. A separate **Federal Register** notice will inform the public of the date and phone number for the public teleconference. Following the CASAC AAMM Subcommittee public teleconference, EPA will consider comments received from the CASAC AAMM Subcommittee and the public in formulating any decisions regarding proposed revisions to the lead monitoring requirements as part of the lead NAAQS rulemaking.

Dated: February 22, 2008.

Jennifer N. Edmonds,

Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. E8–3935 Filed 2–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6696–5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental

Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070518, ERP No. D–COE–D40340–PA, Southern Beltway

Transportation Project, Transportation Improvement between I–79 to Mon/Fayette Expressway (PA Turnpike 43), Application for U.S. Army COE section 404 Permit, Washington County, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts to wetlands, air quality, and environmental justice communities. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070529, ERP No. D–NCP–D61060–DC, Smithsonian Institution National Museum of African American History and Culture, Construction and Operation, Between 14th and 15th Streets, NW., and Constitution Avenue, NW., and Madison Drive, NW., Washington, DC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts to air quality and suggested the final EIS recommend use of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel and particulate filters on construction equipment and that all Build Alternatives apply low impact development and green building technologies. The final EIS should provide information on the site selection process, environmental justice, and how this project’s impacts relate to other projects under the new plan for the National Mall. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070546, ERP No. F–IBR–J28022–00, Red River Valley Water Supply Project, Development and Delivery of a Bulk Water Supply to meet Long-Term Water Needs of the Red River Valley, Implementation, ND and MN.

Summary: While EPA expressed concern about any project involving the inter-basin transfer of water, EPA is confident that the additional refinements that have been made to the project treatment and design and the application of mitigation measures that have been identified will further reduce the potential environmental impacts of the preferred alternative. EPA is prepared to work with Reclamation and

the State of North Dakota during the engineering phase and adaptive management process.

EIS No. 20080012, ERP No. F-NAS-A12044-00, PROGRAMMATIC— Constellation Program, Develop the Flight Systems and Earth-based Ground Infrastructure for Future Missions, International Space Station, The Moon, Mars, and Beyond, Brevard and Volusia Counties, FL; Hancock County, MS; Orlean Parish, LA; Harris County, TX; Madison County, AL; Cuyahoga and Erie Counties, OH; Hampton, VA; Santa Clara County, CA; Dona Ana and Otero Counties, NM; and Box Elder and Davis Counties, UT.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20080024, ERP No. F-AFS-L61218-ID, Frank Church—River of No Return Wilderness (FC-RONRW), Noxious Weed Treatments, Updated Information to Supplement the 1999 Final EIS for FC-RONRW, Implementation, Bitterroot, Boise, Nez Perce, Payette, and Salmon-Challis National Forests, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: February 26, 2008.

Robert W. Hargrove,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8-3937 Filed 2-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6696-4]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 02/18/2008 through 02/22/2008. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20080065, Final EIS, BLM, ID, Snake River Birds of Prey National Conservation Area, Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Ada, Canyon, Elmore, Owyhee Counties, ID, Wait Period Ends: 03/31/2008, Contact: Michael O'Donnell 208-384-3315.

EIS No. 20080066, Final EIS, BLM, ID, Fire, Fuels and Related Vegetation Management Direction Plan Amendment, Upper Snake River District (The District), Amending 12

Existing Land Use Plans, Several Counties, ID, Wait Period Ends: 03/31/2008, Contact: Terry Smith 208-478-6347.

EIS No. 20080067, Draft EIS, FHW, MI, Detroit River International Crossing Study, Propose Border Crossing System between the International Border Cities of Detroit, Michigan and Windsor, Ontario, Wayne County, MI, Comment Period Ends: 04/29/2008, Contact: David T. Williams 517-702-1820.

EIS No. 20080068, Draft EIS, NPS, SD, Minuteman Missile National Historic Site, General Management Plan, Implementation, Jackson and Pennington Counties, SD, Comment Period Ends: 04/29/2008, Contact: Mark Herberger 605-433-5552.

EIS No. 20080069, Final EIS, NPS, AZ, Saguaro National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Rincon Mountain District and Tucson Mountain District, Pima County, AZ, Wait Period Ends: 03/31/2008, Contact: Mary McVeigh 303-969-2360.

EIS No. 20080070, Draft EIS, AFS, AZ, Warm Fire Recovery Project, Removal of Fire-Killed Trees Reforestation, Fuel Reduction and Road Reconstruction of Wildland Fire Burn Portion, Coconino County, AZ, Comment Period Ends: 04/14/2008, Contact: Lois Pfeffer 559-359-7023.

EIS No. 20080071, Draft EIS, NOA, 00, PROGRAMMATIC—Incorporation of the Revised Washington Shoreline Management Act Guidelines into the Federally Approved Washington Coastal Management Program, Amendment No. 4 Approval, Coastal Counties in WA, Comment Period Ends: 04/14/2008, Contact: Helen Farr 301-713-3155 Ext. 175.

EIS No. 20080072, Draft EIS, IBR, CO, Southern Delivery System Project, Water Supply Development, Execution of up to 40-year Contracts for Use of Fryingpan-Arkansas Project Facilities, Special Use Permit, El Paso County, CO, Comment Period Ends: 04/29/2008, Contact: Kara Lamb 970-663-3212.

EIS No. 20080073, Final EIS, FHW, IL, Prairie Parkway Study, Transportation System Improvement between I-80 and I-88, Widening IL-47 to 4 Lanes from I-80 to Caton Farm Road, Funding, U.S. Army COE Section 404, Grundy, Kendall and Kane Counties, IL, Wait Period Ends: 03/31/2008, Contact: Norman R. Stoner 217-492-4640.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20010014, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Withdrawn—Gravina Island Timber

Sale, Implementation, Timber Harvest and Related Activities, Ketchikan-Misty Fiords Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, AK, Comment Period Ends: 06/26/2001, Contact: Susan Marthaller 907-225-2148. Revision of FR Published 01/19/2001: Officially Withdrawn by the Preparing Agency.
EIS No. 20040393, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Withdrawn—Gravina Island Timber Sale, Implementation, Timber Harvest and Related Activities, Ketchikan-Misty Fiords Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, AK, Wait Period Ends: 09/20/2004, Contact: Rob Reeck 907-228-4114. Revision of FR Published 08/20/2004: Officially Withdrawn by the Preparing Agency.

Dated: February 26, 2008.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8-3917 Filed 2-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0142; FRL-8535-5]

Consumer Products Environmental Partnerships Program—Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: EPA will convene a public meeting to discuss opportunities for industry-government partnerships to foster greater public awareness of the environmental attributes of household and institutional consumer products and help make consumers aware of efforts on the part of consumer product manufacturers to improve the environmental performance of their products and production facilities. The primary purpose of this initial meeting is to establish a dialog among government, industry, and public stakeholders leading to formation of a Consumer Products Environmental Partnerships Working Group. Once formed, the working group will identify and develop possible partnership approaches such as product labeling, consumer education programs, environmental information Web sites, product stewardship programs, and manufacturer recognition programs.

DATES: The meeting will be held from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. on Monday, March 17 and from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Tuesday, March 18, 2008.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Building C of the EPA campus at 109