will have to pay the EZ Fuel fee, regardless of whether they return the vehicle with a full gas tank, unless they present a gas receipt.

The complaint further alleges that Budget failed to disclose and failed to disclose adequately that consumers who drive their rental vehicle fewer than 75 miles and refuel can have the EZ Fuel fee reversed only if they present a fuel receipt. In addition, Budget failed to disclose that consumers without corporate accounts would have to present their fuel receipt inside at the rental counter after returning their rental vehicle and checking out on the return lot. These facts would be material to consumers in their rental transaction. The failure to disclose these facts, in light of the representations made, was a deceptive practice.

The proposed order contains provisions designed to prevent Budget from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I prohibits Budget from misrepresenting (A) that renters who return their vehicle with a full tank of gas will not incur any fuelrelated charges; (B) any fuel-related charge, fee, cost, or requirement; or, (C) any charge, fee, or cost, or term or condition, relating to the rental of any vehicle." Part II of the proposed order requires that Budget disclose, clearly and conspicuously, at the time of rental transaction: (A) any fuel related charges, fee, or costs; (B) any material requirements related to the fuel-related charge; and (C) the manner, if any, in which the renter can avoid such fuelrelated charges. Finally, Part III of the proposed order prohibits Budget from making any representation about the benefits, costs, or parameters of any fuel-related option unless it discloses clearly and conspicuously, and in close proximity to the representation, any material terms or conditions relating to that fuel option. These conduct provisions prohibit the deceptive practices alleged in the complaint, but do not prohibit Budget from imposing fuel-related charges, so long as such charges are disclosed as required by the proposed order.

Parts IV through VII of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part IV requires Budget to retain documents relating to its compliance with the order. Part V requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part VI ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part VII mandates that Budget submit compliance reports to the FTC. Part VIII is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to modify the terms of the proposed order in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

#### Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–23293 Filed 11–29–07: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–S

#### FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 071 0132]

#### Schering-Plough Corporation; Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Orders to Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed Consent Agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 19, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written comments. Comments should refer to "Schering-Plough, File No. 071 0132," to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/ Office of the Secretary, Room 135-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580. Comments containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with Commission Rule 4.9(c). 16 CFR 4.9(c) (2005).<sup>1</sup> The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because

U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form as part of or as an attachment to email messages directed to the following email box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC website, to the extent practicable, at www.ftc.gov. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC website. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at http://www.ftc.gov/ ftc/privacy.htm.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline K. Mendel, Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, (202) 326–2603.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for November 16, 2007), on the World Wide Web, at http:// www.ftc.gov/os/2007/11/index.htm. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. *See* Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

#### Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Orders ("Consent Agreement") from Schering-Plough Corporation ("Schering-Plough"), which is designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects of its acquisition of Organon BioSciences N.V. ("Organon BioSciences") from Akzo-Nobel N.V. ("Akzo-Nobel"). Under the terms of the proposed Consent Agreement, Schering-Plough would be required to divest to Wyeth: (1) the Schering-Plough rights and assets necessary to develop, manufacture, and market live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis virus in poultry; (2) the rights and assets necessary to develop, manufacture, and market live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of fowl cholera due to Pasteurella multocida in poultry; and (3) the rights and assets necessary to develop, manufacture, and market live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of Mycoplasma gallisepticum (''MĞ'') in poultry.

The proposed Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the proposed Consent Agreement, modify it, or make final the Decision and Order ("Order").

Pursuant to the terms of a Letter of Intent dated March 12, 2007, Schering-Plough proposes to acquire from Akzo Nobel 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Organon BioSciences voting stock. The Commission's Complaint alleges that the proposed acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §45, by lessening competition in the U.S. markets for the manufacture and sale of the following poultry vaccines: (1) live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis virus in poultry; (2) live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of fowl cholera due to Pasteurella multocida in poultry; and (3) live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in poultry. The proposed Consent Agreement will remedy the alleged violations by

replacing the lost competition that would result from the acquisition in each of these markets.

## The Products and Structure of the Markets

The markets for the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis, fowl cholera, and live MG vaccines are highly concentrated, with Schering-Plough and Intervet accounting for significant market shares in each of these markets. The proposed acquisition would create a monopolist in the live Georgia 98 vaccine market and would give Schering-Plough shares of approximately eighty-five percent and seventy-two percent in the markets for live fowl cholera and live MG vaccines, respectively.

The Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis is a highly contagious respiratory disease in poultry spread by contact with infected respiratory discharge and feces. Live Georgia 98 vaccines are the only vaccines that can effectively prevent and treat the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis virus. Other infectious bronchitis virus vaccine strains, administered either individually or in multiple-antigen combination vaccines, do not provide adequate protection against the Georgia 98 serotype to act as a sufficient alternative to the live Georgia 98 vaccines. The relevant market for the manufacture, distribution, and sale of live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis virus in poultry in the United States is highly concentrated. Respondent Schering-Plough and Organon BioSciences are the only suppliers of live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis virus in poultry in the United States. Schering-Plough's Avimune IB98 product is the market leader with an estimated seventy-nine percent market share, while Intervet competes with its MILDVAC GA-98 product, selling the remaining twenty-one percent in the United States. The acquisition would create a monopoly by combining the only two companies with products on the market.

Live fowl cholera vaccines prevent an infectious bacterial disease in poultry caused by a common pathogenic bacterium, *Pasteurella multocida*. The relevant market for the manufacture, distribution, and sale of live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of fowl cholera due to *Pasteurella multocida* in poultry in the United States is highly concentrated. Respondent Schering-Plough and Organon BioSciences are two of only three suppliers of live fowl

cholera vaccines, and the only providers of a PM-1 strain of the vaccine. Organon BioSciences is the market leader with its CHOLERVAC-PM-1 product, accounting for approximately fifty-three percent of the live fowl cholera vaccines sold in the United States. Schering-Plough is the second leading supplier with its PM-ONEVAC-C and M-NINEVAX products, accounting for thirty-two percent of sales in the market. Together, Schering-Plough and Organon BioSciences account for approximately eighty-five percent of the sales in this highly concentrated market. Accordingly, the Acquisition would significantly increase the concentration levels in the United States in the market for live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of fowl cholera due to Pasteurella multocida in poultry.

MG is a respiratory disease that is transmitted laterally between chickens or through infected eggs. The relevant market for the manufacture, distribution, and sale of live Mycoplasma gallisepticum vaccines in the United States is highly concentrated. Respondent Schering-Plough and Organon BioSciences are the two leading suppliers of live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in poultry in the United States. Akzo Nobel is the market leader with its MYCOVAC-L product, while Schering Plough competes with its F-VAX MG. Together, they account for over seventy-two percent of the sales in this highly concentrated market. Accordingly, the Acquisition would significantly increase theconcentration levels in the United States in the market for live vaccines for the prevention and treatment of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in poultry.

#### Entry

Entry into any relevant line of commerce would not be timely, likely, or sufficient to deter or counteract the anticompetitive effects of the Acquisition. Entry into any of these markets would require overcoming three major obstacles: lengthy development periods, USDA approval requirements, and customer acceptance. As a result, new entry into any of these markets sufficient to achieve a significant market impact within two years is unlikely.

#### Effects

The markets for the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis, fowl cholera, and MG live vaccines are highly concentrated, with Schering-Plough and Intervet accounting for substantial shares of sales in each of these markets. The proposed acquisition would create a monopolist in the live Georgia 98 vaccine market and would give Schering-Plough shares of approximately eighty-five percent and seventy-two percent in the markets for live fowl cholera vaccine and live MG vaccines, respectively.

The competitive concerns can be characterized as unilateral in nature. Schering-Plough and Organon BioSciences are each other's closest competitors in all of the relevant markets. Consumers have benefitted from the price competition between Schering-Plough and Organon BioSciences. If unremedied, the proposed acquisition would likely cause higher prices and reduce incentives to improve service or product quality, resulting in significant harm to consumers in the U.S. markets for these vaccines.

#### **The Consent Agreement**

The proposed Consent Agreement remedies the competitive harm caused by the proposed transaction. Pursuant to the Consent Agreement, Schering-Plough must divest or license all of the assets relating to Schering-Plough's live vaccine for the Georgia 98 strain of infectious bronchitis (Avimune IB98), Intervet's live fowl cholera vaccine (CHOLERVAC-PM-1) and Schering-Plough's live MG vaccine (F VAX-MG)("the assets to be divested"), to the Fort Dodge division of Wyeth, within ten days after the date Schering-Plough acquires Organon BioSciences. The assets to be divested include research and development, customer, supplier and manufacturing contracts and any intellectual property including existing licenses, but excluding trademarks. Fort Dodge plans to bring all manufacturing of the three vaccines in-house to its own manufacturing facilities and to add the three to its own portfolio of poultry vaccines. While Fort Dodge undertakes the process of obtaining USDA regulatory approvals and bringing vaccine production in-house, Schering-Plough will provide Fort Dodge with the vaccines pursuant to a supply and transition services agreement with a term of two years, and an option to extend it another year, individually for each of the three vaccines, if required.

The acquirer of the divested assets must receive the prior approval of the Commission. The Commission's goal in evaluating possible purchasers of divested assets is to maintain the competitive environment that existed prior to the acquisition. A proposed acquirer of divested assets must not itself present competitive problems.

Wyeth, headquartered in Madison, New Jersey, is a global leader in pharmaceuticals, consumer health care

products and animal health care products. In 2006, it had net sales of \$20 billion. Wyeth's Fort Dodge Animal Health division offers a broad range of biological and pharmaceutical products for the companion animal, equine, livestock, swine and poultry industries. Significantly, Wyeth already has an established poultry vaccine line comprised of internally developed vaccines as well as several vaccines that it has acquired and transferred to its manufacturing facilities. Fort Dodge has its own distribution network and an experienced sales force with existing relationships with major poultry producers. The three vaccines being divested to Fort Dodge are all established products that have been on the market for at least two years. Fort Dodge has its own manufacturing facilities with excess capacity and intends to bring the manufacturing of all of the products it is acquiring from Schering-Plough in-house. For these reasons, Wyeth is a strong buyer that appears well positioned to replace the competition lost by the acquisition.

If the Commission determines that Wyeth is not an acceptable acquirer of the assets to be divested, the parties must unwind the sale and divest the Products within six months of the date the Order becomes final to another Commission-approved acquirer. If the parties fail to divest within six months, the Commission may appoint a trustee to divest the Product assets.

The proposed remedy contains several provisions to ensure that the divestitures are successful. The Order requires Schering-Plough to provide transitional services to enable the Commission-approved acquirer to obtain all of the necessary approvals from the USDA. These transitional services include technology transfer assistance to manufacture the Products in substantially the same manner and quality employed or achieved by Schering-Plough and Akzo-Nobel.

The Commission has appointed Dr. David A. Espeseth to oversee the implementation of the Order as the Interim Monitor Trustee. Dr. Espeseth retired in 1998 from a career at the USDA, where his last position was as Special Assistant to the Deputy Administrator of Veterinary Services and where he spent the majority of his 37 years regulating veterinary biologic products (vaccines). Today, he is a consultant to animal health companies, assisting with regulatory issues before the USDA and technology transfers. Dr. Espeseth's strengths are his strong regulatory background, his experience overseeing technology transfers, and

experience resolving disputes between companies and the USDA.

Dr. Espeseth is an excellent candidate to handle the expected duties and responsibilities of the Interim Monitor Trustee in this matter. He has the requisite capability and applicable knowledge to ensure the proper transfer of the divested assets, oversee the transfer of the relevant technology, monitor the critical manufacturing and supply activities of the Respondent, ensure the Respondent's compliance with the Order and related agreements, respond to Commission needs, and perform other related services as may be required. Accordingly, the Commission has appointed Dr. Espeseth as the Interim Monitor Trustee.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Agreement, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Order or to modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

#### Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–23291 Filed 11–29–07: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–S

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Meeting of the National Biodefense Science Board

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.

### ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As stipulated by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Department of Health and Human Services is hereby giving notice that the National Biodefense Science Board (NBSB) will be holding its inaugural meeting. The meeting is open to the public.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on December 17, 2007, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and on December 18, 2007, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Ronald Reagan Building and International Trade Center, Atrium Ballroom, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 2004. Phone: 202–312– 1300.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** CAPT Leigh A. Sawyer, DVM, MPH, Executive Director, National Biodefense Science Board, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence