

The Draft GMP/EIS was made available for public review and comment in January 2007. The formal public comment period ended on May 8, 2007. A public open house was held in Oyster Bay on February 1, 2007. At that time, the park also hosted a meeting for park neighbors to solicit their feedback. During the comment period, 27 sets of formal written comments were received. The majority of respondents supported one or both of the action alternatives with most expressing their support for the National Park Service's preferred alternative.

The Final GMP/EIS addresses the following issues—improving the visitor experience, broadening and diversifying the park audience, improving operational efficiency, and enhancing resource protection. Key park partners closely involved in the development of planning alternatives include the Theodore Roosevelt Association, the Friends of Sagamore Hill, and the park's Volunteer Advisory Board. The target date for the Record of Decision is fall 2007.

The park's mission is “to preserve in public ownership and interpret the structures, landscape, collections, and other cultural resources associated with Theodore Roosevelt's Home in Oyster Bay, New York to ensure that future generations understand and appreciate the life and legacy of Theodore Roosevelt, his family, and the significant events associated with him at Sagamore Hill.” Theodore Roosevelt bought land in Oyster Bay in 1880, where he built his family home and lived until his death in 1919. Throughout his life, Roosevelt attracted national and international figures from every walk of life to this home. Sagamore Hill was the summer White House between 1902 and 1908.

The Final GMP proposes strategies for resource protection and visitor services; identifies development proposals and associated costs; addresses carrying capacity and park boundaries; examines changes in visitor use patterns and visitor experience; and considers the park's relationship with other Theodore Roosevelt-related sites within the general vicinity of the park as well as across the country.

Dated: September 13, 2007.

**John A. Latschar,**

*Acting Regional Director, Northeast Region,  
National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. E7-21575 Filed 11-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Final Environmental Impact Statement; Giacomini Wetlands Restoration; Point Reyes National Seashore; Marin County, CA; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision**

*Summary:* Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended) and the implementing regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared, in conjunction with the California State Lands Commission as co-lead agency, the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for restoring the Giacomini Wetlands in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (north district), administered by Point Reyes National Seashore. The Regional Director, Pacific West Region has approved the Record of Decision and supporting Statement of Findings for Wetlands and Floodplains for this restoration project. The formal no-action period was officially initiated June 27, 2007, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's **Federal Register** notification of the filing of the Final EIS.

*Decision:* As soon as practicable the Seashore will begin to implement restoration efforts of the “environmentally preferred” *Alternative D* as detailed in the Final EIS. The selected plan maximizes ecological restoration while providing public access and overlooks for viewing the restoration area. Work elements include removal of earthen levees and appurtenant infrastructure, and recreation of tidal channels and freshwater breeding habitat for California red-legged frogs. Certain public access features will be maintained or enhanced, and additionally the Seashore will collaborate with the County of Marin (including further conservation planning as appropriate) on additional public access facilities on the southern perimeter of the project area in furtherance of the Countywide Plan.

As documented in the Final EIS, this course of action was deemed to be “environmentally preferred”. The preferred plan and four alternatives were identified and analyzed in the Final EIS, and previously in the Draft EIS (the latter was distributed in December, 2006). The full spectrums of foreseeable environmental consequences were assessed, and appropriate mitigation measures

identified, for each alternative. Beginning with early scoping, through the preparation of the Draft and Final EIS, numerous public meetings were hosted. Approximately 200 oral and written comments were received during the scoping phase or in response to the Draft EIS. Key consultations or other contacts which aided in preparing the Draft and Final EIS involved (but were not limited to) the California Coastal Commission, Department of Fish and Game, and State Historic Preservation Office, the Marin County Parks and Open Space, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. Local communities, county and city officials, and interested organizations were contacted extensively during initial scoping and throughout the conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process.

*Copies:* Interested parties desiring to review the Record of Decision may obtain a complete copy by contacting the Superintendent, Pt. Reyes National Seashore, Point Reyes, CA 94956; or via telephone request at (415) 464-5100.

Dated: August 16, 2007.

**Jonathan B. Jarvis,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. E7-21576 Filed 11-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-FW-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **General Management Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Walnut Canyon National Monument, AZ**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision on the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Walnut Canyon National Monument.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Pub. L. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of the Record of Decision for the General Management Plan, Walnut Canyon National Monument, Arizona. On August 21, 2007, the Regional Director, Intermountain Region approved the Record of Decision for the project. As soon as practicable, the National Park Service will begin to implement the Preferred Alternative contained in the FEIS issued on March 19, 2007. Three alternatives were analyzed in the Draft and Final

Environmental Impact Statements—a no-action and two action alternatives, including the National Park Service preferred alternative. The preferred alternative would preserve untrailed expanses, unfragmented natural systems, and relatively pristine conditions throughout much of the park. It would protect Walnut Canyon as a critical wildlife corridor. Visitation would be managed with the goal of providing quality learning opportunities in an intimate atmosphere while maintaining the health of the canyon ecosystem. The natural soundscape and tranquil setting of the canyon would be enhanced through strategic placement of facilities. The full range of foreseeable environmental consequences was assessed, and appropriate mitigating measures were identified.

The Record of Decision includes a statement of the decision made, synopses of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, a description of the environmentally preferable alternative, a finding of no impairment of park resources and values, a listing of measures to minimize environmental harm, and an overview of public involvement in the decision-making process.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Todd Metzger, Acting Superintendent, Flagstaff Area Monuments, 6400 N. Highway 89, Flagstaff, Arizona 86004, (928) 526-1157.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Copies of the Record of Decision may be obtained from the contact listed above.

Dated: August 22, 2007.

**Michael D. Snyder,**

*Intermountain Regional Director, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. E7-21574 Filed 11-1-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before October 20, 2007. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded, by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280,

Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by November 19, 2007.

**J. Paul Loether,**

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program.*

## CALIFORNIA

### Santa Cruz County

Cowell Lime Works Historic District, 1156 High St, Santa Cruz, 07001220

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

### District of Columbia

Foxhall Village Historic District, (Historic Residential Suburbs in the United States, 1830-1960 MPS) Bounded by Reservoir Rd NW, Glover-Archibald Park, P St NW & Foxhall Rd NW, Washington, 07001221

## KANSAS

### Chautauqua County

Bradford Hotel, 103 N Chautauqua, Sedan, 07001222

### Cheyenne County

Hickert, Henry, Building, 104 W 4th, Bird City, 07001223

### Dickinson County

Naroma Court Historic District, 800 N Buckeye; 801, 803, 805 Spruceway; 106 Naroma Ct, Abilene, 07001224

### Jewell County

Burr Oak United Methodist Church, NE corner Pennsylvania & Washington Sts, Burr Oak, 07001225

### Johnson County

Foster, Herman B., House, 204 W Main St, Gardner, 07001226

### Marion County

Meehan, P.H., House, Address Restricted, Tampa, 07001227

### Sedgwick County

Bond—Sullivan House, 936 Back Bay Blvd, Wichita, 07001228

Fresh Air Baby Camp, 1229 W 11th St, Wichita, 07001229

Holyoke Cottage, 1704 N Holyoke, Wichita, 07001230

## MISSOURI

### Jackson County

U.S. Courthouse and Post Office—Kansas City, MO, 811 Grand Blvd, Kansas City, 07001231

## MONTANA

### Rosebud County

St. Philip's Episcopal Church, 701 Main St, Rosebud, 07001232

## NEW YORK

### New York County

Van Tassell and Kearney Horse Auction Mart, 126-128 E 13th St, New York, 07001233

## TEXAS

### Harris County

Alameda Road Bridge over Brays Bayou, (Historic Bridges of Texas MPS) Alameda Rd at Brays Bayou, Houston, 07001234

Telephone Road Bridge over Brays Bayou, (Historic Bridges of Texas MPS) Telephone Rd at Brays Bayou, Houston, 07001235

## VIRGINIA

### Albemarle County

Southern Albermarle Rural Historic District, Roughly bounded by Rivanna R, VA 20, Green Mt, James R., Blenheim, Jefferson Mill Rolling & Milton Rds & Monroe Pkwy, Charlottesville, 07001236

A request for REMOVAL has been made for the following resource:

## NORTH DAKOTA

### Walsh County

Odalen Lutherske Kirke, 6 mi W and ¼ mi N of Jct ND 32 and Cty Rte 9, Edinburg vicinity, 05001517

[FR Doc. E7-21620 Filed 11-1-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-70-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

[FES-07-37]

#### Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Interior (Department), acting through the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), has issued a final environmental impact statement (Final EIS) on the proposed adoption of specific Colorado River Lower Basin shortage guidelines and coordinated reservoir management strategies to address operation of Lake Powell and Lake Mead, particularly under low reservoir conditions. This action is proposed in order to provide a greater degree of certainty to U.S. Colorado River water users and managers of the Colorado River Basin by providing