

NSN: 7520-01-484-3908—Pencil,
Mechanical, Push-Action (MD).

NPA: San Antonio Lighthouse for the Blind,
San Antonio, TX.

Contracting Activity: General Services
Administration, Office Supplies & Paper
Products Acquisition Ctr, New York, NY.

Services:

Service Type/Location: Grounds
Maintenance, Hill Air Force Base, Hill
Air Force Base, UT.

NPA: Pioneer Adult Rehabilitation Center
Davis County School District, Clearfield,
UT.

Contracting Activity: Hill Air Force Base, UT.

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial,
Navy Exchange Command Accounting
(CAC), Norfolk, VA.

NPA: Didlake, Inc., Manassas, VA.

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial,
Navy Exchange Command Uniform
Support Center, Bldg 1545, Crossways
Blvd, Chesapeake, VA.

NPA: Portco, Inc., Portsmouth, VA.

Contracting Activity: Navy Exchange Service
Command (NEXCOM), Virginia Beach,
VA.

Service Type/Location: Microfilming,
Department of Treasury, Financial
Management Services, Hyattsville, MD.

NPA: Didlake, Inc., Manassas, VA.

Contracting Activity: Department of the
Treasury, Washington, DC.

Kimberly M. Zeich,

Director, Program Operations.

[FR Doc. E7-14048 Filed 7-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a planning meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 12 p.m. and adjourn at 2 p.m. on Thursday, August 2, 2007 in the conference room of Oak Hill, located at 120 Holcomb Street, Hartford, Connecticut. The purpose of the planning meeting is for the committee to discuss its school choice report and plan for the committee's September briefing on school choice.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the Eastern Regional Office by August 9, 2007. The address is 624 Ninth Street, NW., Suite 740, Washington, DC 20425. Persons wishing to e-mail their comments, or to present their comments verbally at the meeting, or who desire

additional information should contact Barbara de La Viez, Civil Rights Analyst, Eastern Regional Office, U.S.

Commission on Civil Rights at (202) 376-7533 [TDY 202-376-8116], or by e-mail at bdelaviez@usccr.gov.

Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Eastern Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, <http://www.usccr.gov>, or to contact the Eastern Regional Office at the above e-mail or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, July 17, 2007.

Ivy Davis,

Acting Chief, Regional Programs
Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. E7-14073 Filed 7-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Technical Advisory Committees; Notice of Recruitment of Private Sector Members

SUMMARY: Six Technical Advisory Committees (TACs) advise the Department of Commerce on the technical parameters for export controls applicable to dual-use commodities and technology and on the administration of those controls. The TACs are composed of representatives from industry and Government representing diverse points of view on the concerns of the exporting community. Industry representatives are selected from firms producing a broad range of goods, technologies, and software presently controlled for national security, non-proliferation, foreign policy, and short supply reasons or that are proposed for such controls, balanced to the extent possible among large and small firms.

TAC members are appointed by the Secretary of Commerce and serve terms of not more than four consecutive years. The membership reflects the Department's commitment to attaining balance and diversity. TAC members must obtain secret-level clearances prior to appointment. These clearances are

necessary so that members may be permitted access to the classified information needed to formulate recommendations to the Department of Commerce. Each TAC meets approximately 4 times per year. Members of the Committees will not be compensated for their services. The six TACs are responsible for advising the Department of Commerce on the technical parameters for export controls and the administration of those controls within the following areas: Information Systems TAC: Control List Categories 3 (electronics), 4 (computers), and 5 (telecommunications and information security); Materials TAC: Control List Category 1 (materials, chemicals, microorganisms, and toxins); Materials Processing Equipment TAC: Control List Category 2 (materials processing); Regulations and Procedures TAC: The Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and procedures for implementing the EAR; Sensors and Instrumentation TAC: Control List Category 6 (sensors and lasers); Transportation and Related Equipment TAC: Control List Categories 7 (navigation and avionics), 8 (marine), and 9 (propulsion systems, space vehicles, and related equipment). To respond to this recruitment notice, please send a copy of your resume to Ms. Yvette Springer at Yspringer@bis.doc.gov.

Deadline: This Notice of Recruitment will be open for one year from its date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Yvette Springer on (202) 482-2813.

Dated: July 16, 2007.

Yvette Springer,

Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 07-3544 Filed 7-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 22, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the eleventh administrative review and concurrent new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the Peoples Republic of China

("PRC"). See *Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the Eleventh Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews*, 72 FR 34438 (June 22, 2007) ("*Final Results*") and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. The period of review ("POR") covered November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005. We are amending our *Final Results* to correct ministerial errors made in the calculation of the antidumping duty margin for Jinxiang Shanyang Freezing Storage Co., Ltd. ("Shanyang"), pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act").

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 20, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6905.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 22, 2007, the Department published the *Final Results* and corresponding issues and decision memorandum. See "Memorandum from Stephen J. Caley, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Subject: Antidumping Duty Order on Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Eleventh Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews" ("Final Decision Memo").

On June 18, 2007, Fresh Garlic Producers Association and its individual members, Christopher Ranch L.L.C., the Garlic Company, Valley Garlic, and Vessey and Company, ("Petitioners") submitted a letter requesting a two-day extension to submit ministerial error comments. Accordingly, the Department extended the deadline by two days to June 20, 2007, to submit any ministerial error

allegations with respect to the *Final Results*. On June 20, 2007, Shanyang filed timely clerical error allegations with respect to the Department's antidumping duty margin calculation in the *Final Results*. On June 25, 2007, Petitioners filed timely rebuttal comments to Shanyang's clerical error allegations.

Scope to Order

The products covered by this antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay. The scope of this order does not include the following: (a) Garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed. The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. In order to be excluded from the antidumping duty order, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is: (1) Mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed must be accompanied by declarations to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to that effect.

Ministerial Errors

A ministerial error is defined in section 751(h) of the Act and further clarified in 19 CFR 351.224(f) as "an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial."

After analyzing all interested parties' comments, we have determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that ministerial errors existed in certain calculations for Shanyang in the *Final Results*. Correction of these errors results in a change to Shanyang's final antidumping duty margin. Additionally, the rate change for Shanyang also affects the deposit rates for the companies subject to the administrative review which are receiving a separate rate.¹ The rate for the PRC-wide entity remains unchanged. For a detailed discussion of these ministerial errors, as well as the Department's analysis, see "Memorandum to James C. Doyle, Director, Office 9, Import Administration, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, Office 9, Import Administration, from Irene Gorelik, Case Analyst, Office 9, Subject: Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations," (July 12, 2007) ("Ministerial Error Allegation Memorandum"). The Ministerial Error Allegation Memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 in the main Department building.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results* of the administrative review of fresh garlic from the PRC. The revised weighted-average dumping margins are detailed below. For company-specific calculation, see "Memorandum from Irene Gorelik, Case Analyst, through Alex Villanueva, to the File, Subject: Analysis Memorandum for the Amended Final Results for Shanyang," (July 12, 2007). The revised final weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

FRESH GARLIC FROM THE PRC-WEIGHTED-AVERAGE DUMPING MARGINS

Manufacturer exporter	Weighted-average deposit rate (percent)
Jinxiang Shanyang Freezing Storage Co., Ltd	24.73
Fook Huat Tong Kee Foodstuffs Co., Ltd	9.84
Heze Ever-Best International Trade Co., Ltd	9.84

¹ The companies subject to the administrative review which are receiving a separate rate are: Fook Huat Tong Kee Foodstuffs Co., Ltd.; Heze Ever-Best

International Trade Co., Ltd.; Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company; Linshu Dading

Private Agricultural Products Co., Ltd.; and Taiyan Ziyang Food Co., Ltd.

FRESH GARLIC FROM THE PRC-WEIGHTED-AVERAGE DUMPING MARGINS—Continued

Manufacturer exporter	Weighted-average deposit rate (percent)
Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company	9.84
Linshu Dading Private Agricultural Products Co., Ltd	9.84
Taiyan Ziyang Food Co., Ltd	9.84

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries based on the amended final results. For details on the assessment of antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, *see Final Results*.

These amended final results are published in accordance with section 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 12, 2007.

David A. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 07–3518 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–475–703)

Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 20, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Salim Bhabhrawala, at (202) 482–1784; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene resin (PTFE) from Italy, covering the period August 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006. We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise by Solvay Solexis, Inc. and Solvay Solexis S.p.A (collectively, Solvay) have been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries based on the difference between the export price (EP)

and the NV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 30, 1988, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on granular PTFE resin from Italy. *See Antidumping Duty Order; Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy*, 53 FR 33163 (August 30, 1988). On August 1, 2005, the Department issued a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this order. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 43441–43443 (August 1, 2006). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), Solvay requested an administrative review. On September 29, 2006, the Department published the notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review, covering the period August 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006 (the period of review, or POR). *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 57465 (September 29, 2006).

On September 29, 2006, the Department issued its antidumping questionnaire to Solvay, specifying that the responses to Section A and Sections B–E would be due on October 20, 2006, and November 6, 2006, respectively.¹ The Department received timely responses to Sections A–D of the initial antidumping questionnaire and associated supplemental questionnaires.

On May 1, 2007, the Department published a notice of a 71-day extension of the preliminary results of this

¹ Section A of the questionnaire requests general information concerning a company's corporate structure and business practices, the merchandise under review that it sells, and the manner in which it sells that merchandise in all of its markets. Section B requests a complete listing of all home market sales, or, if the home market is not viable, of sales in the most appropriate third-country market (this Section is not applicable to respondents in non-market economy cases). Section C requests a complete listing of U.S. sales. Section D requests information on the cost of production of the foreign like product and the constructed value of the merchandise under review. Section E requests information on further manufacturing.

administrative review. *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 23802. This notice extended the deadline for the preliminary results to July 13, 2007.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is granular PTFE resin, filled or unfilled. This order also covers PTFE wet raw polymer exported from Italy to the United States. *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Final Affirmative Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order*, 58 FR 26100 (April 30, 1993). This order excludes PTFE dispersions in water and fine powders. During the period covered by this review, such merchandise was classified under item number 3904.61.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). We are providing this HTSUS number for convenience and CBP purposes only. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Fair Value Comparisons

We compared the constructed export price (CEP) to the NV, as described in the *Constructed Export Price* and *Normal Value* sections of this notice. Pursuant to section 777A(d)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we compared the CEPs of individual transactions to contemporaneous monthly weighted-average prices of sales of the foreign like product.

We first attempted to compare contemporaneous sales of products sold in the United States and the comparison market that were identical with respect to the following characteristics: type, filler, percentage of filler, and grade. Where we were unable to compare sales of identical merchandise, we compared U.S. sales with comparison market sales of the most similar merchandise.

Constructed Export Price

For all sales to the United States, we calculated CEP, as defined in section 772(b) of the Act, because all sales to unaffiliated parties were made after importation of the subject merchandise