apply for TAA and ATAA under TA—W–60,017 (expires September 26, 2008).

In the request for reconsideration, counsel for the subject firm alleged that the petitioning worker groups either had a direct link to or directly supported production at affiliated certified production facilities. The request also states that the separated workers are members of several groups within Kimberly Clark—Consumer Sales, Corporate Innovation, Finance and Accounting, and Sourcing and Supply Management.

Subject firm counsel asserts that workers in the Consumer Sales group are directly linked to TAA-certified production facilities because they are engaged in the sale of the articles produced at those facilities.

Subject firm counsel also asserts that workers in the Corporate Innovations group directly support production at TAA-certified subject firm facilities by responding to consumer complaints and providing feedback to other groups who use the feedback to improve the articles, and by engaging in the research and development of articles produced at TAA-certified subject firm facilities. Subject firm counsel notes in the request for reconsideration that several workers in the Corporate Innovations group are eligible to apply for TAA and ATAA under TA–W–60,017.

The request for reconsideration asserts that workers in the Finance and Accounting group directly support production at the subject firm's facilities because they are engaged in accounting and financing activities that ensure the continuous operation of subject firm production facilities.

The request for reconsideration also asserts that workers in the Sourcing and Supply Management group directly support production at the subject firm's facilities because they procure materials, equipment, and services required for production at these facilities, and because they are engaged in commodity management related to articles produced by the subject firm.

The workers separated from the subject firm are categorized as follows:

World-Wide, Neenah, Wisconsin [TA-W-60,835]

The one worker separated at this site is a member of the Corporate Innovations group.

Global Sales, Roswell, Georgia [TA-W-60,835A]

One worker separated at this site is a member of the Consumer Sales group and five workers separated at this site are members of the Corporate Innovations group.

World-Wide, Roswell, Georgia [TA-W-60,835B]

The five workers separated at this site are members of the Corporate Innovations group.

Global Sales, Knoxville, Tennessee [TA-W-60,835C]

One worker separated at this site is a member the Consumer Sales group, forty-three workers separated at this site are members of the Finance and Accounting group, and four workers separated at this site are members of the Sourcing and Supply Management group.

World-Wide, Knoxville, Tennessee [TA–W–60,835D]

The one worker separated at this site is a member of the Corporate Innovations group.

Global Sales, Irving, Texas [TA-W-60,835E]

The five workers separated at this site are members of the Consumer Sales group.

The request for reconsideration also stated that, contrary to the Department's initial findings, the separated workers' positions were not outsourced but were eliminated as a result of decreased subject firm production.

Although the Department contacted the subject firm during the reconsideration investigation to request additional information, no new information was provided.

The Department has carefully reviewed the available material, and has determined that there is no evidence that the Department failed to consider any relevant fact or that the Department erred in its interpretation of the facts. As such, the Department affirms the negative determination applicable to subject firms workers at Kimberly Clark World-Wide, Neenah, Wisconsin [TA-W-60,835]; Kimberly Clark Global Sales, Roswell, Georgia [TA-W-60,835A]; Kimberly Clark World-Wide, Roswell, Georgia [TA-W-60,835B]; Kimberly Clark Global Sales, Knoxville, Tennessee [TA-W-60,835C]; Kimberly Clark World-Wide, Knoxville, Tennessee [TA-W-60,835D]; and Kimberly Clark Global Sales, Irving, Texas [TA-W-60,835E].

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the subject worker group must be certified eligible to apply for TAA. Since the subject workers are denied eligibility to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

Conclusion

After careful reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Kimberly Clark Corporation located at Kimberly Clark World-Wide, Neenah, Wisconsin [TA-W-60,835]; Kimberly Clark Global Sales, Roswell, Georgia [TA-W-60,835A]; Kimberly Clark World-Wide, Roswell, Georgia [TA-W-60,835B]; Kimberly Clark Global Sales, Knoxville, Tennessee [TA-W-60,835C]; Kimberly Clark World-Wide, Knoxville, Tennessee [TA-W-60,835D]; and Kimberly Clark Global Sales, Irving, Texas [TA-W-60,835E].

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of June 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner;

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–12910 Filed 7–3–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,623]

Mahle Inc., Holland, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on June 5, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at MAHLE Inc., Holland, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of June, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–12907 Filed 7–3–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,234]

Penn Mould Industries, Inc., Washington, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter dated June 11, 2007 the United Steelworkers of America, District 10 requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

Workers of the subject firm were certified eligible to apply for trade adjustment assistance under petition number TA–W–56,570, which expired on April 28, 2007. The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination signed on May 2, 2007 was based on the finding that the subject company did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number of workers since the expiration of the previous certification. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 17, 2007 (72 FR 27855).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information regarding employment at the subject.

Upon further contact with the subject firm's company official, it was revealed that the subject firm separated a significant number of workers during June 2007 and there is a threat of future separations. The investigation also revealed that the subject firm is in the process of shifting production of molding equipment for glass containers to Colombia, a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act during the relevant period. The shift contributed importantly to the layoffs at the subject firm.

In accordance with section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I determine that there was a shift in production from the workers' firm or subdivision to Colombia of articles that are like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm or subdivision. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Penn Mould Industries, Inc., Washington, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 29, 2007 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of June 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–12912 Filed 7–3–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a)

of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than July 16, 2007.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than July 16, 2007.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C–5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of June 2007.

Ralph DiBattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[TAA petitions instituted between 6/18/07 and 6/22/07]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
61699	Prelude Foam Products Inc. (Comp)	Thomasville, NC	06/18/07	06/17/07
61700	Thomson Satellite Premises Systems (State)	Indianapolis, IN	06/18/07	06/15/07
61701			06/18/07	06/11/07
61702		Vancouver, WA	06/19/07	06/08/07
61703		Paterson, NJ	06/19/07	06/18/07
61704	GTECH Corporation (Comp)	West Greenwich, RI	06/19/07	06/15/07
61705	RF Monolithics, Inc. (Comp)	Dallas, TX	06/19/07	06/18/07
61706		Wheeling, WV	06/19/07	05/31/07
61707	Dana—Torque Traction Manufacturing Inc. (Comp)	Cape Girardeau, MO	06/19/07	06/18/07
61708	Sun Chemical Corporation (State)	Winston-Salem, NC	06/19/07	06/18/07
61709	Sherman Pressure Casting Corp. (Comp)	North White Plains, NY	06/20/07	06/19/07
61710	Simkins Industries (State)	Ridgefield, NJ	06/20/07	06/19/07
61711	Amerock (Comp)	Rockford, IL	06/20/07	06/18/07