

## Background

On January 31, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of new shipper review of forged stainless steel flanges from India for the period February 1, 2005, through January 31, 2006. See *Preliminary Results*. No party commented on the preliminary results.

## Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the order.

## Changes Since the Preliminary Results

As noted above, no parties commented on the preliminary results. The Department is making no changes to its preliminary analysis.

## Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 1.52 percent exists for Kunj for the period February 1, 2005, through January 31, 2006.

## Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates (or, when the importer was unknown by the

respondent, customer-specific duty assessment rates) on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales observations involving each importer (or customer, when appropriate) to the total entered value of the examined sales observations for that importer (or customer, when appropriate). We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know the merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for an intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a discussion of this clarification, see *Notice of Policy Concerning Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of new shipper review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for Kunj (*i.e.*, the subject merchandise both manufactured and exported by Kunj) will be 1.52 percent; (2) the cash deposit rate for exporters who received a rate in a prior segment of the proceeding will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for entries of subject merchandise exported by Kunj but not manufactured by Kunj will continue to be the "All Others" rate (*i.e.*, 162.14 percent) or the rate applicable to the manufacturer, if so established; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, or a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the "all others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. See *Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India*; 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994). These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. There are no changes to the rates applicable to any

other companies under this antidumping duty order.

## Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 9, 2007.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-7082 Filed 4-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-504

### Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 28, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** a notice announcing the initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for Hangzhou Fashion Living Co., Ltd ("Fashion Living"). See *Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Review*, 72 FR 14,521 (March 28, 2007) ("*Fashion Living Initiation*"). The period of review

("POR") is August 1, 2006, to January 31, 2007. This review is now being rescinded because Fashion Living withdrew its request in a timely manner.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nicole Bankhead, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 4003, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9068.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On August 28, 1986, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order covering petroleum wax candles from the PRC. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China*, 51 FR 30686 (August 28, 1986). On February 16, 2007, Fashion Living, requested, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214(b), that the Department conduct a new shipper review of this antidumping duty order covering the period August 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007.

On March 19, 2007, the Department initiated a new shipper review of Fashion Living. *See Fashion Living Initiation*. On March 20, 2007, Fashion Living filed a letter withdrawing its request for a new shipper review.

**Rescission of Review**

The Department's regulations state that if a party that requested a new shipper review withdraws the request within 60 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. *See* 19 CFR 351.214(f)(1). Fashion Living withdrew its new shipper review request within the 60-day deadline. Accordingly, we are rescinding this new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC for Fashion Living covering the period August 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007.

**Notification of Interested Parties**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping

duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(f)(3).

Dated: April 6, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-7051 Filed 4-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**A-580-844**

**Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from The Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 10, 2006, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2004 - 2005 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bars from the Republic of Korea. The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have not made changes in the margin calculations for the companies covered by this review. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

Furthermore, we are rescinding this review with respect to Hanbo Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (Hanbo), INI Steel (INI) and Kosteel Co., Ltd. (Kosteel), as

discussed below in the section entitled "Partial Rescission of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Terre Keaton Stefanova or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The review covers the following producers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Korea Iron and Steel Co., Hwanyoung Steel Industries Co., Ltd. (collectively DSM/KISCO/HSI or "the respondent"),<sup>1</sup> and Dongil Industries Co., Ltd (Dongil). The period of review is September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005.

October 10, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bars from the Republic of Korea. *See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From The Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 59440 (October 10, 2006) (Preliminary Results). We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results.

The petitioners filed a case brief on December 12, 2006.<sup>2</sup> The respondent filed a rebuttal brief on December 18, 2006. The respondent requested a hearing but subsequently withdrew its request. In lieu of a hearing, the petitioners and respondent requested separate *ex parte* meetings to discuss the yield strength model-matching criterion issue raised in their briefs. These *ex parte* meetings were held in January and February 2007 (*see* January 29, 2007, and February 5, 2007, memoranda to the file). We have conducted this administrative review in

<sup>1</sup> In the preliminary results, we determined that DSM, KISCO and HSI were affiliated and collapsed them into a single entity for margin calculation purposes because they met the regulatory criteria for collapsing affiliated producers/exporters. No interested party objected to our preliminary determination to collapse these companies. Therefore, for the final results margin calculation, we have continued to treat these companies as a single entity.

<sup>2</sup> The petitioners are Nucor Corporation, Commercial Metals Company, and Gerdau Ameristeel Inc., collectively, Rebar Trade Action Coalition.