Paper discussing the results of Syngenta's amphibian studies conducted in 2005 - 2006. In addition, EPA has continued to review the open literature studies investigating whether atrazine exposure affects amphibian gonadal development. For this second SAP meeting, EPA plans to include only those studies that tested atrazine alone and examined atrazine's potential effects on amphibian gonadal development. Studies on mixtures of pesticides that include atrazine as well as studies of the potential for atrazine to cause adverse effects other than or in addition to amphibian gonadal development are not being considered for the SAP meeting.

In this Federal Register Notice, EPA is soliciting public comment on the completeness of its list of open literature studies on the potential effects of atrazine on amphibian gonadal development. If other publications relevant to these potential effects are available and have not been included in this list, EPA requests that citations be submitted during the comment period. If possible, a copy of the publication should be submitted as well.

EPA has reviewed the following list of relevant open literature studies in preparation for the October SAP meeting:

1. Coady K.K., Murphy M.B., Villeneuve D.L., Hecker M., Jones P.D., Carr J.A., Solomon K.R., Smith E.E., Van der Kraak G., Kendall R.J., and J.P. Giesy. 2004. Effects of Atrazine on Metamorphosis, Growth, Laryngeal and Gonadal Development, Aromatase Activity, and Plasma Sex Steroid Concentrations in Xenopus laevis. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 62:160–173. MRID 458677–04.

2. Coady K.K., Murphy M.B., Villeneuve D.L., Hecker M., Jones P.D., Carr J.A., Solomon K.R., Smith E.E., Van der Kraak G., Kendall R.J., and J.P. Giesy. 2004. Effects of Atrazine on Metamorphosis, Growth, and Gonadal Development in the Green Frog (Rana clamitans). Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A, 67: 941– 957. MRID 458677–03.

3. DuPreez L.H., Solomon K.R., Carr J.A., Giesy J.P., Gross C., R. J. Kendall et al. 2005. Population Structure Characterization of Clawed Frog (Xenopus laevis) in Corn-growing Versus Non-corn-growing Areas in South Africa. African Journal of Herpetology. 54: 61 – 68.

4. Freeman, J.L. and A.L. Rayburn. 2005. Developmental Impact of Atrazine on Metamorphing Xenopus laevis as Revealed by Nuclear Analysis and Morphology. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 24(7): 1648 – 1653. 5. Forson, D. and A. Storfer. 2005. Effects of Atrazine and Iridovirus Infections on Survival and Life-history Traits of the Long-toed Salamander (Ambystoma macrodactylum). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 25(1): 168 – 173.

6. Hayes, T.B. 2004. There is No Denying This: Defusing the Confusion about Atrazine. Bioscience 54: 1138 – 1149.

7. Hayes, T.B. 2005. Comment on "Gonadal Development of Larval Male Xenopus laevis Exposed to Atrazine in Outdoor Microcosms." Environmental Science and Technology 39(19) 7757– 7758.

8. Hayes, T.B. 2005. Welcome to the Revolution: Integrative Biology and Assessing the Impact of Endocrine Disruptors on Environmental and Public Health. Journal of Integrative and Comparative Biology 45: 321–329.

9. Hayes T.B., Stuart A.A., Mendoza M., Collins A., Noriega N., Vonk A., Johnston W., Liu R., and D. Kpodzo. 2006. Characterization of Atrazine-Induced Gonadal Malformations in African Clawed Frogs (Xenopus laevis) and Comparisons with Effects of an Androgen Antagonist (Cyproterone Acetate) and Exogenous Estrogen (17- β estradiol): Support for the Demasculinization/Feminization Hypothesis. Environmental Health Perspectives 114: 134 – 141.

10. Jooste A.M., Du Preez L.H., Carr J.A., Giesy J.P., Gross T.S., Kendall R.J., Smith E.E., Van Der Kraak G.J., and K.R. Solomon. 2004. Gonadal Development of Larval Male Xenopus laevis Exposed to Atrazine in Outdoor Microcosms. Environmental Science and Technology 39: 5255–5261. MRID 458677.

11. Murphy M.B., Hecker M., Coady K.K., Tompsett A.R., Jones,P.D., DuPreez L.H., Solomon K.R., Carr J.A., Smith, E.E., Kendall R.J., van der Kraak G., and J.P. Giesy. 2005. Sediment TCDD-Eq's and EROD and MROD Activities in Ranid Frogs from Agricultural and Non-agricultural Sites in Michigan (USA). Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 51(3): 467–477. MRID 458677–02.

12. Murphy, M.B, Hecker M., Coady K.K., Tompsett A.R., DuPreez L.H., Everson G.J., Solomon K.R., Carr J.A., Smith E.E., Kendall R.J., van der Kraak G., and J.P. Giesy. 2005. Atrazine Concentrations, Gonadal Gross Morphology, and Histology in Ranid Frogs Collected in Michigan Agricultural Areas. Aquatic Toxicology 76: 230–245. MRID 458677–02.

13. Murphy, M. B., Hecker M., Coady K.K., Tompsett A.R., Higley E.B., Jones P.D., Du Preez L.H., Solomon K.R., Carr J.A., Smith E.E., Kendall R.J., Van Der Kraak G., and J. P. Giesy. 2006. Plasma Steroid Hormone Concentrations, Aromatase Activities and GSI in Ranid Frogs Collected from Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Sites in Michigan (USA). Aquatic Toxicology 77: 153 – 166.

14. Orton, F., Carr J.A., and R. D. Handy. 2006. Effects of Nitrate and Atrazine on Larval Development and Sexual Differentiation in the Northern Leopard Frog Rana pipiens. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 25(1): 65 – 71.

15. Smith E.E., Du Preez L.H., Gentles B.A., Solomon K.R., Tandler B., Carr J.A., Van Der Kraak G.J., Kendall R.J., Giesy J.P. and Gross T.S. 2005. Assessment of Laryngeal Muscle and Testicular Cell Types in Xenopus laevis (Anura Pipidae) Inhabiting Maize and Non-maize Growing Areas of South Africa. African Journal of Herpetology 54(1): 69–76. MRID 458677–10.

16. Sullivan K. B, and K. M. Spence. 2003. Effects of Sublethal Concentrations of Atrazine and Nitrate on Metamorphosis of the African Clawed Frog. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 22(3): 627 – 635.

B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

EPA is taking action under 7 U.S.C. 136b of the FIFRA.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, atrazine, amphibian gonadal development.

Dated: March 29, 2007.

Steve Bradbury,

Director, Environmental Fate and Effects Division

[FR Doc. E7–6253 Filed 4–3–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

March 27, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÁ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before May 4, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Jasmeet K. Seehra, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10236 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395–3123, or via fax at 202–395–5167 or via Internet at

Jasmeet_K._Seehra@omb.eop.gov and to Judith-B. Herman@fcc.gov, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1– B441, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov*. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–1067. *Title:* Qualification Questions. *Form No.:* FCC Form 312–EZ. *Type of Review:* Extension of a

currently approved collection. *Respondents:* Business or other for-

profit.

Number of Respondents: 3,872 respondents; 38,720 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 hours.

- *Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement.
- *Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.
- *Total Annual Burden:* 38,720 hours. *Total Annual Cost:* \$9,874,000.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this information collection to OMB as an extension during this comment period to obtain the full threeyear clearance from them. There is no change in the number of respondents, burden hours or annual costs.

The FCC Form 312-EZ, Qualification Questions, is used by applicants for the C-band and Ku-band earth stations (noncommon carrier applicants) who are eligible for the "auto-grant" procedure. Under the "auto-grant process" the International Bureau of the FCC automatically grants "routine" earth station applications proposing to use the C-band or Ku-band. To be considered "routine", earth station must meet a number of requirements, including primarily the following: (1) The earth station antenna must meet certain minimum diameter requirements; (2) the proposed earth station must meet the antenna performance standard and power limitations contained in Part 25 of the Commission's rules; (3) the earth station must be coordinated as required by Part 25; (4) the applicant seeks to communicate only with satellites authorized to provide service in the United States; and (5) the proposed station is otherwise consistent with the Commission's legal requirements.

This information collection is used by Commission staff in carrying out its duties concerning satellite communications as required by Sections 301, 308, 309, and 310 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. It is also used by the Commission staff in carrying out its duties under the World Trade Organization (WTO) Basic Telecom Agreement. Without such information, the Commission could not determine whether to permit respondents to provide telecommunications services in the United States.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–6154 Filed 4–3–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

March 28, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing

effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law No. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. **DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before June 4, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it

difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible. ADDRESSES: You may submit all your

ADDRESSES. Four may submit an your Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments by e-mail or U.S. postal mail. To submit your comments by e-mail send them to *PRA@fcc.gov*. To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark them to the attention of Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 and Jasmeet Seehra, OMB Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Room 10236 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via Internet at *Jasmeet_K._Seehra@omb.eop.gov* or via fax at (202) 395–5167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0937. Title: Establishment of a Class A Television Service, MM Docket No. 00–10.

Form Number: Not applicable. *Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.