Independent Counsel appointed by the Attorney General.

Office of Justice Programs.

Office of the Pardon Attorney

(effective January 28, 1992).

Offices of the United States Attorney (94).<sup>4</sup>

Offices of the United States Trustee (21).<sup>5</sup>

Office on Violence Against Women<sup>6</sup> (effective March 8, 2007).

Tax Division.

United States Marshals Service (effective May 16, 1997).

United States Parole Commission.

■ Effective June 6, 2007, appendix B to part 2641 is further amended by removing the listing for the Department of Homeland Security (and all of the components thereunder).

[FR Doc. E7–4167 Filed 3–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6345–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-26233; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-63-AD; Amendment 39-14979; AD 2007-05-18]

# RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; EADS SOCATA Model TBM 700 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as the finding of an improper geometry of some pulley brackets, which can offset the cable in the sheave. We are issuing this AD to require actions to correct the unsafe condition on these products. **DATES:** This AD becomes effective April 12, 2007.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD as of April 12, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http:// dms.dot.gov* or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Albert J. Mercado, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; *telephone:* (816) 329– 4119; *fax:* (816) 329–4090.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Streamlined Issuance of AD

The FAA is implementing a new process for streamlining the issuance of ADs related to MCAI. The streamlined process will allow us to adopt MCAI safety requirements in a more efficient manner and will reduce safety risks to the public. This process continues to follow all FAA AD issuance processes to meet legal, economic, Administrative Procedure Act, and **Federal Register** requirements. We also continue to meet our technical decision-making responsibilities to identify and correct unsafe conditions on U.S.-certificated products.

This AD references the MCAI and related service information that we considered in forming the engineering basis to correct the unsafe condition. The AD contains text copied from the MCAI and for this reason might not follow our plain language principles.

#### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on December 7, 2006 (71 FR 70908). That NPRM proposed to require a detailed inspection of the aileron control cable pulleys and brackets, and apply corrective actions as necessary.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We have considered the comments received.

EADS SOCATA believes the FAA should reference the changes in the NPRM of the compliance time from 10 hours time-in-service (TIS) in the MCAI and service bulletin to 50 hours TIS in the NPRM in the "FAA AD Differences" section.

The FAA sometimes needs to change compliance times for enforceability reasons. We normally do not include that as an FAA AD Difference in an AD action, unless it affects the actions being done. However, since this compliance time change was significant, we will note it as a difference. The difference will state that the MCAI and service bulletin requires the action at 10 hours TIS. Typically, this short of a compliance time would indicate an unsafe condition requiring urgent action. However, we did not consider this unsafe condition to be an urgent safety of flight condition and issued this action through the normal notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) AD process. The time of 50 hours TIS is an adequate compliance for this AD action and met the FAA requirements of an NPRM followed by a final rule.

EADS SOCATA comments that EADS SOCATA TBM Aircraft Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 70–134, dated July 2005, is not an Alert.

The FAA agrees and changes the reference to the service information in the final rule.

EADS SOCATA states that the costs of the required parts is about \$450 per product and not the \$8,600 per product that is in the Costs of Compliance section of the NPRM. EADS SOCATA also estimates that it would take 2.5 work-hours to inspect and 8.5 workhours to replace the nonconforming parts, if necessary. This total of 11 workhours is less than the 12 work-hours that the FAA estimates in the NPRM.

The FAA agrees and has changed the Costs of Compliance section in the final rule to reflect the above costs.

# Conclusion

We reviewed the available data, including the comments received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the changes described previously. We determined that these changes will not increase the economic burden on any operator or increase the scope of the AD.

# Differences Between This AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable in a U.S. court of law. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Each Office of the United States Attorney for a judicial district shall be considered a separate component from each other such office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Each Office of the United States Trustee for a region shall be considered a separate component from each other such office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Office on Violence Against Women shall not be considered separate from the Office of Justice Programs, but only from other designated components of the Department of Justice.

We might also have required different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are described in a separate paragraph of the AD. These requirements, if any, take precedence over the actions copied from the MCAI.

#### Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD will affect 55 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it will take about 11 workhours per product to comply with this AD. The average labor rate is \$80 per work-hour. Required parts will cost about \$450 per product. Where the service information lists required parts costs that are covered under warranty, we have assumed that there will be no charge for these parts. As we do not control warranty coverage for affected parties, some parties may incur costs higher than estimated here. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this AD to the U.S. operators to be \$73,150, or \$1,330 per product.

# Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

# **Regulatory Findings**

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

(2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket.

#### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://dms.dot.gov*; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647– 5227) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**2007–05–18** EADS SOCATA: Amendment 39–14979; Docket No. FAA–2006–26233; Directorate Identifier 2006–CE–63–AD.

# **Effective Date**

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective April 12, 2007.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Model TBM 700 airplanes, serial numbers 261 through 268 and 270 through 323, certificated in any category.

#### Reason

(d) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states the finding of an improper geometry of some pulley brackets, which can offset the cable in the sheave. If not corrected, this could reduce the ability to control the roll of the aircraft.

## **Actions and Compliance**

(e) Unless already done, within the next 50 hours time-in-service after April 12, 2007

(the effective date of this AD), accomplish a detailed inspection of the aileron control cable pulleys and brackets, and apply corrective actions as necessary, following EADS SOCATA Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 70–134, dated July 2005.

# **FAA AD Differences**

Note: This AD differs from the MCAI and/ or service information as follows: The MCAI and service bulletin require the action at 10 hours TIS. We consider 10 hours TIS as an urgent safety of flight compliance time, and we do not consider this unsafe condition to be an urgent safety of flight condition. Because we do not consider this unsafe condition to be an urgent safety of flight condition, we issued this action through the normal notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) AD process. The time of 50 hours TIS is an adequate compliance for this AD action and met the FAA requirements of an NPRM followed by a final rule.

#### **Other FAA AD Provisions**

(f) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, Standards Staff, FAA, ATTN: Albert J. Mercado, Aerospace Safety Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329– 4119; fax: (816) 329–4090, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et. seq.*), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

#### **Related Information**

(g) Refer to Direction générale de l'aviation civile (DGAC) Airworthiness Directive No. F– 2005–133, dated August 3, 2005, for related information.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(h) You must use EADS SOCATA TBM Aircraft Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 70– 134, dated July 2005, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact EADS SOCATA, Direction des Services, 65921 Tarbes Cedex 9, France; *telephone:* 33 (0)5 62 41 73 00; *fax:* 33 (0)5 62 41 76 54; or SOCATA AIRCRAFT, INC., North Perry Airport, 7501 South Airport Rd., Pembroke Pines, FL 33023; telephone: (954) 893-1400; fax: (954) 964-4141.

(3) You may review copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/ cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 1, 2007.

#### Kim Smith.

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7-3990 Filed 3-7-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-25000; Directorate Identifier 2006–NM–096–AD; Amendment 39-14955; AD 2005-24-03 R1]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737-600, -700, -700C, and -800 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is revising an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Boeing Model 737-600, -700, -700C, and -800 series airplanes. That AD currently requires inspecting/measuring the length of the attachment fasteners between the nacelle support fittings and the lower wing skin panels, and related investigative/corrective actions if necessary. That AD resulted from a report from the manufacturer that in production, during the installation of certain attachment fasteners for the nacelle support fittings, only one washer was installed instead of two. This new AD corrects errors found in the existing AD. We are issuing this AD to prevent inadequate fastener clampup, which could result in cracking of the fastener holes, cracking along the lower wing skin panels, fuel leaking from the wing fuel tanks onto the engines, and possible fire.

DATES: The effective date of this AD is April 12, 2007.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD as of April 12, 2007.

On December 28, 2005 (70 FR 70713, November 23, 2005), the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57-1275, Revision 1, dated August 18, 2005.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// *dms.dot.gov* or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC.

Contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207, for service information identified in this AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Marsh, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917-6440; fax (425) 917-6590. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Examining the Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://dms.dot.gov* or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the street address stated in the ADDRESSES section.

# Discussion

The FAA proposed to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) with an airworthiness directive (AD) to revise AD 2005-24-03, amendment 39-14383 (70 FR 70713, November 23, 2005). The existing AD applies to certain Boeing Model 737-600, -700, -700C, and -800 series airplanes. The proposed AD was published in the Federal Register on June 13, 2006 (71 FR 34026). That action proposed to continue to require inspecting/measuring the length of the attachment fasteners between the nacelle support fittings and the lower wing skin panels, and related investigative/corrective actions if necessary. That action also proposed to correct errors found in the existing AD.

#### Comment

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comment received.

# **Request To Cite Revised Service** Information

Boeing states that, subsequent to the drafting of the subject AD, Boeing

Service Bulletin 737-57-1275, Revision 2, dated July 12, 2006, was issued. Boeing notes that Revision 2 will aid operators in completing the required tasks by more effectively and efficiently performing the inspections, while reducing the likelihood of introducing damage reported during completion of the previous revisions. Boeing recommends that Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57-1275, Revision 3, dated October 17, 2006, be incorporated into the NPRM, which further improves ease in completing the required tasks. (Revision 3 was not yet issued when the comment was submitted, but has since been issued.)

We agree with this request. We have reviewed Revision 3 of the referenced service bulletin which specifies that no more work is necessary on airplanes changed as shown in the original issue, dated September 4, 2003; Revision 1, dated August 18, 2005; and Revision 2, dated July 12, 2006. (We referred to Revision 1 in the NPRM as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing the required actions.) We have determined that Revision 3 shows changes of operators in the effectivity and clarifies the oversize limits for replacement fasteners, but does not add any further actions or increase the economic burden on operators. Therefore, we have changed the AD to add Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57-1275, Revision 3, dated October 17, 2006, as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing the requirements in paragraph (f) of this AD after the effective date of this AD.

# Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comment received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the change described previously. We have determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

# **Costs of Compliance**

There are about 751 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this AD. The requirements that were previously required by AD 2005–24–03 are retained in this AD; this AD adds no additional economic burden on U.S. operators. The current costs are repeated for the convenience of affected operators, as follows:

# **Estimated Costs**