

corresponding one-time total cost to all respondents will be \$5210.00. Since this information collection is voluntary and does not involve any special equipment, respondents will not incur any capital or operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: January 5, 2006.

Al McGartland,

Director, National Center for Environmental Economics, Office of Policy Economics and Innovation.

[FR Doc. E6-1503 Filed 2-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6671-9]

Environmental Impact Statements And Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16815).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20050362, ERP No. D-NRC-E05101-NC, Generic—Brunswick Stream Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 (TAC Nos. MC4641 and MC4642) License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Supplement 25 to NUREG-1437, Brunswick County, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about radiological monitoring of all plant effluents, and appropriate storage and ultimate disposition of radioactive

waste generated on-site during the license renewal period, as well as continuing measures to limit bioentrapment and other impacts to aquatic species from surface water withdrawals and discharges. Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20050396, ERP No. D-BIA-K60036-CA, Elk Valley Rancheria Martin Ranch 203.5-Acre Fee-to-Trust Transfer and Casino/Resort Project, Implementation, Federal Trust, Elk Valley Rancheria Tribe, Crescent City, Del Norte County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about impacts to wetlands and uncertainties in the stormwater management system. EPA recommended the final EIS include an adaptive management plan for the vegetated swale system and clarify the need for a Clean Water Act Section 404 permit for road crossings. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050425, ERP No. D-BLM-K65292-CA, Southern Diablo Mountain Range and Central Coast of California Resource Management Plan, Several Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns and recommended additional measures be taken to reduce impacts to human health, watershed and vegetation resources in the planning area. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050435, ERP No. D-NOA-E86003-00, Snapper Grouper Fishery, Amendment 13C to the Fishery Management Plan, Phase Out Overfishing of Snowy Grouper, Golden Tilefish, Vermilion Snapper and Sea Bass, Implementation, South Atlantic Region.

Summary: While EPA had no objection to the proposed action, EPA did request clarification of EJ demographics of fishermen. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050438, ERP No. D-COE-E11058-SC, Charleston Naval Complex (CNC), Proposed Construction of a Marine Container Terminal, Cooper River in Charleston Harbor, City of North Charleston, Charleston County, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to aquatic resources, water quality and air quality. EPA also requested additional information regarding the potential impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050450, ERP No. D-SFW-F64006-IL, Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP), Implementation, Williamson, Jackson and Union Counties, IL.

Summary: While EPA had no objections to the proposed action, EPA

did request clarification on partnerships to reduce water pollution and additional surveys covering area-sensitive forest birds and grassland and shrubland birds. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050484, ERP No. D-COE-K36143-CA, American River Watershed, Lower American River Common Features Mayhew Levee Project, Reconstruction, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns that several alternatives would convert the American River Parkway to levee and maintenance roads and would impact oak woodland habitat. EPA recommended the selection of either Alternative 4 or 5 to reduce impacts to riparian vegetation and requested additional information regarding cumulative impacts to air and water quality in the area. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050507, ERP No. D-BLM-K65293-NV, Sheep Complex, Big Springs and Owyhee Grazing Allotments Sensitive Bird Species Project, Determine Impacts of Livestock Grazing, Elko County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the potential for continued impacts to vegetation, cultural resources, wildlife habitat and sensitive species under the proposed level of grazing. The Final EIS should include additional information on mitigation measures. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050539, ERP No. D-GSA-K61163-CA, Andrade Port of Entry (POE) Improvements Project, Proposed Expansion, Renovation, or Replacement of POE, Andrade, CA.

Summary: EPA had concerns about cumulative impacts to air quality, water quality, biological resources and cultural resources. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050413, ERP No. DS-FHW-E40339-NC, NC 12 Replacement of Herbert C. Bonner Bridge (Bridge No. 11) New and Updated Information, over Oregon Inlet Construction, Funding, U.S. Coast Guard Permit, Special-Use-Permit, Right-of-Way Permit, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, Dare County, NC.

Summary: EPA has environmental objections to proposed project alternatives that would continue to bisect the Pea Island National Wildlife Refuge (PINWR) and likely result in long-term impacts to water quality as well as disturbances to essential habitat for migratory water fowl and resident wildlife. Pamlico Sound bridge alternatives (PSBC), however, would traverse open water and result in less adverse environmental impacts by

bypassing the PINWR and most sensitive aquatic habitats. Rating EO2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20050313, ERP No. F-DOE-J39033-UT, Moab Uranium Mill Trailings Remediation, Proposal to Clean Up Surface Contamination and Implement a Ground Water Strategy, Grand and San Juan Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA's earlier concerns were addressed in the Final EIS; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20050506, ERP No. F-NOA-L91026-00, Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan, To Conserve and Enhance Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) Designation and Minimization of Advise Impacts, Coast Exclusive Economic Zone, WA, OR and CA.

Summary: EPA supports the preferred alternatives for designating EFH, minimizing fishing impacts to EFH and research and monitoring. However, EPA still has concerns that not all oil production platforms are designated as Habitat Areas of Particular Concern.

EIS No. 20050517, ERP No. F-FHW-D40325-PA, US-219 Improvements Project, Meyersdale to Somerset, SR 6219, Section 020, Funding, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Somerset County, PA.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20050509, ERP No. FB-COE-E36167-FL, Central and Southern Florida Project, Tamiami Trail Modifications, Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, Authorized Flow of Water from WCA-38 and the L-29 Canal North of the Tamiami Trail, Dade County, FL.

Summary: While EPA has no objections to the proposed action, EPA did recommend an adaptive management approach to take advantage of information gained from concurrent/future water quality monitoring and wetland functions analysis.

Dated: January 31, 2006.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6-1502 Filed 2-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6671-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities. *General Information:* (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed 01/23/2006 through 01/27/2006.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20060030, Final Supplement, COE, IL, Sugar Creek Municipal Water Supply, Updated Information, Proposed New 1172 Acre Water Supply Reservoir, Construction, COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, City of Marion, Williamson and Johnson Counties, IL. *Wait Period Ends:* 03/06/2006. *Contact:* Greg McKay 502-315-6685.

EIS No. 20060031, Final EIS, NPS, ME, Schoodic General Management Plan Amendment, Implementation, Acadia National Park, ME. *Wait Period Ends:* 03/06/2006. *Contact:* John T. Kelly 207-288-8703.

EIS No. 20060032, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Kuiu Timber Sale Area, Proposes to Harvest Timber and Build Associated Temporary Roads, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, North Kuiu Island, Petersburg Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, AK. *Comment Period Ends:* 03/20/2006. *Contact:* Kris Rutledge 907-772-5905.

EIS No. 20060033, Final EIS, FRA, CA, Los Angeles Union Station Run-Through Tracks Project, Pedestrian Access Improvements, Connectivity and Increase the Capacity, City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, CA. *Wait Period Ends:* 03/06/2006. *Contact:* David Valenstein 202-493-6368.

EIS No. 20060034, Draft EIS, NRC, MN, Generic—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Supplement 26 to NUREG 1437, Regarding Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (TAC NO. MC6441) Renewal of Operating License DRP-22 for Additional 20 Years of Operation, Mississippi River, City of Monticello, Wright County, MN. *Comment Period Ends:* 05/04/2006. *Contact:* Jennifer A. Davis 301-415-3835.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20050382, Draft EIS, BIA, WI, Menominee Casino-Hotel 223-Acre Fee-to-Trust Transfer and Casino Project, Implementation, Federal Trust, Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin (Tribe), in City of Kenosha

and County of Kenosha, WI. *Comment Period Ends:* 03/06/2006. *Contact:* Herb Nelson 612-725-4510. Revision on FR Notice Published 09/23/2005. Reopening Comment Period that Ended on 11/21/2005 to 03/06/2006.

EIS No. 20050452, Draft EIS, BLM, NM, Kasha-Katuwe Tent Rocks National Monument Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Rio Puerco Field Office, Sandoval County, NM. *Comment Period Ends:* 02/17/2006. *Contact:* John Bristol 505-761-8755. Revision of FR Notice Published 11/04/2005: Comment Period Extended from 02/02/2006 to 02/17/2006.

EIS No. 20050520, Draft EIS, BIA, OR, Coyote Business Park, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Proposes to Develop, Build and Manage a Light Industrial Commercial Business Park, Umatilla County, OR. *Comment Period Ends:* 02/17/2006. *Contact:* Jerry L. Lauer 541-278-3786 Revision on FR Notice Published 12/16/2005: Comment Period Extend from 01/30/2006 to 02/17/2006.

EIS No. 20050548, Draft EIS, NPS, NC, North Shore Road, Great Smoky Mountains National Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, Fontana Dam, Swain County, NC. *Comment Period Ends:* 03/20/2006. *Contact:* Imelda Wegwerth 865-436-1302. Revision to FR Notice Published 01/06/2006: Comment Period has been Extended from 02/21/2006 to 03/20/2006.

EIS No. 20050555, Final EIS, APH, 00, Adoption—Black-Tailed Prairie Dog Conservation and Management on the Nebraska National Forest and Associated Units, Implementation, Dawes, Sioux Blaine, Cherry, Thomas Counties, NE and Custer, Fall River, Jackson, Pennington, Jones, Lyman, Stanley Counties, SD. *Wait Period Ends:* 01/30/2006. *Contact:* Gary A. Littauer 505-346-2632, Ext 232.

U.S. DOA/APH adopted the U.S. DOA/AFS Final EIS #20050330, filed 08-04-2005. APH was a cooperating agency on the project. Recirculation on the document is not necessary under 1506.3(b) of the CEQ Regulations.

Revision of FR Notice Published 12/02/2005: This Adoption should have appeared in FR 12/02/2005.

EIS No. 20060004, Final EIS, FHW, MD, Intercounty Connector (ICC) from I-270 to US1, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD. *Wait Period Ends:* 02/27/2006. *Contact:* Dan Johnson 410-779-7154.