

number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond.

Comments: Before submitting an ICR to OMB, PRA section 3506(c)(2)(A) requires each agency “* * * to provide notice * * * and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information * * *”.

Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Agencies must also estimate the “non-hour cost” burdens to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. Therefore, if you have costs to generate, maintain, and disclose this information, you should comment and provide your total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. You should describe the methods you use to estimate major cost factors, including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, discount rate(s), and the period over which you incur costs. Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software you purchase to prepare for collecting information, monitoring, and record storage facilities. You should not include estimates for equipment or services purchased: (i) Before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. As a result of your comments, we will make any necessary adjustments to the burden in our submission to OMB.

Public Comment Procedure: MMS’s practice is to make comments, including names and addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. If you wish your name and/or address to be withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. MMS will

honor this request to the extent allowable by law; however, anonymous comments will not be considered. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Arlene Bajusz (202) 208–7744.

Dated: February 9, 2005.

E.P. Danenberger,
Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs.
[FR Doc. 05–3242 Filed 2–18–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

30-Day Notice of Submission of Study Package to Office of Management and Budget; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Cape Cod National Seashore Impacts of Hunting Survey of Hunters, Visitors and Residents will provide park managers and others with important social science input about public attitudes on hunting and an assessment about whether conflicts over hunting are occurring at the Cape Cod National Seashore. Specifically the study will use hunter, resident and visitor surveys to (1) assess attitudes about hunting and hunting programs at the Cape Cod National Seashore, (2) determine the extent of conflict between hunters and nonhunters in the Cape Cod National Seashore and surrounding communities, (3) assess the extent to which the attitudes and characteristics of area residents and visitors to Cape Cod National Seashore have changed since the early 1990s, and (4) estimate the extent, and distribution of hunters

Cape Cod, the enabling legislation of Cape Cod National Seashore in 1961 allowed for continued hunting activity within the boundaries of the Seashore. Animal rights groups have argued that environmental and social conditions in and around the Seashore have changed, and that hunting should be discontinued. Public meetings about this issue have been contentious, with the hunting community voicing strong opposition to changes in current hunting regulations within the Seashore. Given the polarity of the current debate, questions remain: Do area residents and visitors object to hunting in the Seashore, are they neutral about the issue, or do they consider it an appropriate and/or desirable use of the area? To what extent do residents and visitors feel threatened by hunting activities? How often do conflicts occur between hunters and non-hunters during the fall and winter hunting seasons? And what is the extent of hunting activity on the Seashore? This study is designed to better understand the scope of hunting activities at the Seashore, the degree of conflict that occurs over the practice,

and how people feel about hunting at the Seashore.

Automated data collection: At the present time, there is no automated way to gather this information because it includes directly contacting hunters, visitors to Cape Cod National Seashore, and residents in the six surrounding townships.

Description of respondents: Visitors to Cape Cod National Seashore and residents of the following townships on Cape Cod: Provincetown, Truro, Wellfleet, Eastham, Orleans, and Chatham.

Estimated average number of respondents: 2,900.

Estimated average number of responses: 2,900.

Estimated average burden hours per response: 20 minutes.

Frequency of Response: 1 time per respondent.

Estimated annual reporting burden: 929 hours.

Dated: November 17, 2004.

Leonard E. Stowe,

Acting, National Park Service Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-3347 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Continuation of Visitor Services

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Public notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the terms of existing concession contracts, public notice is hereby given that the National Park Service intends to request a continuation of visitor services for a period not-to-exceed 1 year from the date of contract expiration.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The contracts listed below have been extended to maximum allowable under 36 CFR 51.23. Under the provisions of current concession contracts and pending the completion of the public solicitation of a prospectus for a new concession contract, the National Park Service authorizes continuation of visitor services for a period not-to-exceed 1 year under the terms and conditions of the current contract as amended. The continuation of operations does not affect any rights with respect to selection for award of a new concession contract.

CONCID#	Concessioner name	Park
AMIS002	Forever Resorts, LLC	Amistad National Recreation Area.
AMIS003	R	