

When confirming status and the number of flights issued for each operator, please keep in mind the following principles:

(1) Only operators that conducted operations at any time during the 12-month period prior to April 5, 2000 (the date of enactment of the Act), qualify as existing operators. Only operators reporting to us as existing operators should have received IOA. In situations where an operator has a question about its existing operator status, it should contact its local Flight Standards District Office (FSDO) and receive confirmation from the FSDO as to its status. The FAA has received several questions regarding corporations that qualified as existing air tour operators and then experienced a change in business management during the time lapse. Whether these operators qualify as existing operators will be decided on a case-by-case basis by the FAA.

(2) The number to be published in the **Federal Register** must reflect only the number of commercial air tour flights conducted by an operator over a particular park within either (1) the 12-month period prior to April 5, 2000; or (2) the average number of flights per 12-month period for the 3-year period prior to April 5, 2000, and for seasonal operations, the number of flights so used during the season or seasons covered by that 12-month period. The number should not include desired increases above the allowed historical number of new entrant requests. Operators should not have received increases or new entrant authority through this IOA grant. Such requests will be handled through a separate process by FAA and the National Park Service.

(3) Operators should receive an IOA that reflects the actual number of commercial air tours that were conducted during the relevant time period set forth in the statute and the rule. Operators needing to self-correct should identify each park and the number of flights for each park, including whether the flight was part of a circuit, and if so, what parks were included in that circuit. For instance, operators flying over more than one park between takeoff and landing should identify those flights as circuit tours. Thus, if the operator flew over three parks during the same flight (takeoff to landing) in 100 flights, then the operator should specify this to the best of its ability. If the operator flew 100 flights with each flight going over one park of three different authorized parks, then the operator should so specify.

Operators are hereby notified that after February 21, 2005, the FAA will prepare a final listing of all existing commercial air tour operators receiving IOAs and the number of flights per park and publish the revised list in the **Federal Register** for comment, as required by statute. If comments are received in response to that publication that provide substantive information that an operator does not qualify under the law as an existing operator or has erroneously reported the number of flights flown over a park, the FAA may investigate and take corrective action, if necessary, to bring the operator into compliance with the law.

As operator reexamine their records for confirmation in response to this letter, they are encouraged to keep supporting information in their files in case questions subsequently arise that merit investigation. Operators may voluntarily provide such supporting information at this time to FAA but are not required to do so.

The IOA information provided to the FAA will be used solely to determine and confirm the appropriate allocation for IOAs and will not be used to determine noise impacts to national park resources.

Dated: Issued in Washington, DC on January 19, 2005.

**John M. Allen,**

*Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.*

[FR Doc. 05-1471 Filed 1-26-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### **Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 05-10-C-00-PLN To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Pellston Regional Airport, Pellston, MI**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Pellston Regional Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 28, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Detroit Airports District Office,

1677 South Wayne Road, Suite 107, Romulus, Michigan 48174.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Kelly Atkins, Airport Manager of the Pellston Regional Airport at the following address: U.S. 31 North, Pellston, Michigan 49769.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Pellston Regional Airport under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jason Watt, Program Manager, FAA, Detroit Airports District Office, 11677 South Wayne Road, Suite 107, Romulus, Michigan 48174, (734) 229-2906. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Pellston Regional Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On January 7, 2005, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Pellston Regional Airport was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than April 7, 2005.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

*Proposed charge effective date:* July 1, 2011.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* July 1, 2013.

*Level of the proposed PFC:* \$4.50.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$280,750.

*Brief description of proposed projects:* Apron Expansion to the North, Terminal Area Drainage Improvements, Reconstruction of Apron, Animal Control/Security Fencing, Parking Lot Rehabilitation and Reconfiguration, Snow Removal Equipment, Land Acquisition for Ely Road, Relocation of Ely Road, Master Plan Study, Purchase Generator, Apron Expansion to the South, and Expansion of Terminal Building.

*Class or classes of air carriers, which the public agency has requested, not be required to collect PFCs:* Air Taxi/Commercial Service Operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Pellston Regional Airport.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on January 18, 2005.

**Elliott Black,**

*Manager, Planning and Programming Branch, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.*

[FR Doc. 05-1472 Filed 1-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement: St. Clair County, MI

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for proposed improvements to the United States Port of Entry plaza for the Blue Water Bridge in St. Clair County, Michigan.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. James Kirschensteiner, Assistant Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, 315 W. Allegan Street, Room 201, Lansing, Michigan 48933, telephone: (517) 702-1835.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FHWA in cooperation with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate alternatives for potential improvements to the United States Border Plaza at the Blue Water Bridge. Invitations are being sent to other Federal agencies to become cooperating agencies in the development of the environmental impact statement for the subject project.

The Blue Water Bridge is a major passenger and commercial border crossing between the United States and Canada and is the termination point for I-94/I-69 in the United States and for Highway 402 in Canada. MDOT owns and operates the Blue Water Bridge in conjunction with the Canadian Blue Water Bridge Authority (BWBA). MDOT also owns and operates the Blue Water Bridge Border Plaza. Several agencies of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) operate on the United States Plaza. These agencies are responsible for inspecting vehicles, goods, and people entering the United States and include: the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP), the United States

Department of Agriculture (USDA), and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The inspection agencies lease facilities on the United States Plaza from MDOT through the General Services Administration (GSA), which serves as the Federal-leasing agent. MDOT collects tolls from vehicles departing the United States for Canada on the plaza.

The study area is located within the City of Port Huron and Port Huron Township. The study area consists of approximately 30 blocks (195 acres) of urban land use surrounding the existing plaza and ramps, and its extends to the west along I-94/I-69 for approximately 2.2 miles. The study areas includes the existing plaza, the Black River Bridge, the Water Street interchange, and locations for off-site inspection facilities, located north of I-94/I-69 and west of the Water Street interchange.

In September 2002, this project started as an Environmental Assessment (EA) and has proceeded through the scoping phase, Purpose and Need documentation, and alternatives development. Two resource agency meetings and three public information meetings were held during this time. As a result of identified potentially significant impacts, FHWA and MDOT have concluded that an Environmental Impact Statement should be completed.

A range of plaza and transportation improvement alternatives will be analyzed within the recommended study area. Reasonable alternatives under consideration include: (1) Taking no-action, (2) expanding the existing plaza location in the City of Port Huron, and (3) Relocating the major plaza functions to off-site plaza location in Port Huron township.

Agencies and citizen involvement will continue to be solicited throughout this process. A public meeting and a public hearing will be held on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). Public notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. The DEIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments of questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on

Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: January 12, 2005.

**James J. Steele,**

*Division Administrator, Lansing, Michigan.*

[FR Doc. 05-1556 Filed 1-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### Reports, Forms, and Record Keeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), U.S. Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collections and their expected burden. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period was published on August, 11, 2004, Volume 69, Number 154, page numbers 48906 and 48907.

This document describes two collections of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before February 28, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael J. Jordan, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NVS-216), 400 Seventh Street, SW., (Room 2318), Washington, DC 20590. Mr. Jordan's telephone number is (202) 493-0576.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

*Title:* Voluntary Child Safety Seat Registration Form.

*OMB Control Number:* 2127-0576.

*Type of Request:* Renewal of an Existing Collection of Information.

*Abstract:* Chapter 301 of Title 49 of the United States provides that if either NHTSA or a manufacturer determines that motor vehicles or items of motor vehicle equipment contain a defect that relates to motor vehicle safety or fail to comply with an applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard, the manufacturer must notify owners and purchasers of the defect or noncompliance and must provide a