

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in docket ID number OPP-2004-0008. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. *E-mail.* Comments may be sent by e-mail to opp-docket@epa.gov, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2004-0008. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

iii. *Disk or CD ROM.* You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Unit I.C.2. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

2. *By mail.* Send your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB) (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2004-0008.

3. *By hand delivery or courier.* Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2004-0008. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit I.B.1.

D. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI

on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
6. Offer alternative ways to improve the notice.
7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this document.
8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

II. Background

Dow AgroSciences has applied to amend/extend EUP 68467-EUP-6 for *Bacillus thuringiensis* variant Aizawai strain PS811 CryIF; *Bacillus thuringiensis* variant Kurstaki strain HD73 Cry1Ac insecticidal proteins in cotton to allow the planting of 4,951 acres of cotton. The Dow AgroSciences program is authorized in the States of Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and

Virginia. The original notice of approval for this EUP was published in the **Federal Register** on November 19, 2003 (68 FR 65285) (FRL-7329-5).

III. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Following the review of the Dow AgroSciences application and any comments and data received in response to this notice, EPA will decide whether to issue or deny the EUP request for this EUP program, and if issued, the conditions under which it is to be conducted. Any issuance of an EUP will be announced in the **Federal Register**.

IV. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

The specific legal authority for EPA to take this action is under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) section 5.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection,
Experimental use permits.

Dated: January 22, 2004.

Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 04-2158 Filed 2-3-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket ID Number OECA-2004-0002; FRL-; CWA-HQ-2003-6000; CAA-HQ-2003-6000; EPCRA-HQ-2003-6000; FRL-7618-4]

Clean Water Act Class II: Proposed Administrative Settlement, Penalty Assessment and Opportunity To Comment Regarding Nash Finch Company

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has entered into a consent agreement with Nash Finch Company ("Nash Finch" or "Respondent") to resolve violations of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ("EPCRA") and their implementing regulations.

The Administrator is hereby providing public notice of this consent agreement and proposed final order, and providing an opportunity for interested persons to comment on the CWA portions of this consent agreement, in accordance with CWA section 311(b)(6)(C), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(C).

Respondent did not have an Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure ("SPCC") plan or proper controls in accordance with 40 CFR part 112 at the following facilities: Omaha, NE; Statesboro, GA; Bluefield, VA; Cincinnati, OH; Bridgeport, MI; Fargo, ND; Norfolk, VA; and Baltimore, MD. EPA, as authorized by CWA section 311(b)(6), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6), has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

Respondent failed to comply with CAA section 112(r), 42 U.S.C. 7412(r), and 40 CFR 68.10 and 68.150 because Respondent has stored an aggregate quantity of more than 10,000 pounds of ammonia (anhydrous) in its refrigeration systems, without having submitted a Risk Management Plan, for Respondent's Lumberton, NC, Cincinnati, OH and Bridgeport, MI facilities. EPA, as authorized by CAA section 113(d)(1), 42 U.S.C. 7413(d)(1), has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

Respondent failed to comply with EPCRA section 302(c), 42 U.S.C. 11002(c), and the regulations found at 40 CFR Part 355, when they failed to notify the State Emergency Response Committee ("SERC"), and EPCRA section 303(d), 42 U.S.C. 11003(d), and the regulations found at 40 CFR Part 355, when it failed to notify the Local Emergency Planning Committee ("LEPC") of the identity of the emergency coordinator who would participate in the emergency planning process at sixteen (16) facilities, specifically located in Cedar Rapids, IA; St. Cloud, MN; Minot, ND; Fargo, ND; Omaha, NE; Rapid City, SD; Sioux Falls, SD (2 facilities); Bellefontaine, OH; Bridgeport, MI; Cincinnati, OH; Statesboro, GA; Lumberton, NC; Bluefield, VA; Baltimore, MD; and Norfolk, VA.

In addition, Respondent failed to comply with EPCRA section 311(a), 42 U.S.C. 11021(a) and the regulations found at 40 CFR Part 370, when they failed to submit a Material Safety Data Sheet ("MSDS") for a hazardous chemical(s) or, in the alternative, a list of such chemicals, and EPCRA section 312(a), 42 U.S.C. 11022(a) and the regulations found at 40 CFR Part 370, by failing to prepare and submit emergency and chemical inventory forms to the LEPC, the SERC and the fire department with jurisdiction over each facility, for the following seventeen (17) facilities: Cedar Rapids, IA; St. Cloud, MN; Minot, ND; Fargo, ND; Omaha, NE; Rapid City, SD (2 facilities); Sioux Falls, SD (2 facilities); Bellefontaine, OH; Bridgeport, MI; Cincinnati, OH; Statesboro, GA; Lumberton, NC;

Bluefield, VA; Baltimore, MD; and Norfolk, VA. The Agency has assessed a civil penalty under EPCRA section 325 for the violations of EPCRA section 311(a), 42 U.S.C. 11021(a) and EPCRA section 312(a), 42 U.S.C. 11022(a).

DATES: Comments are due on or before March 5, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/ courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Section I.B of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Philip L. Milton, Special Litigation and Projects Division (2248-A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-5029; fax: (202) 564-0010; e-mail: milton.philip@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information ?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under Docket ID No. OECA-2004-0002. The official public docket consists of the Consent Agreement, proposed Final Order, and any public comments received. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket Information Center (ECDIC) in the EPA Docket Center, (EPA/DC) EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the ECDIC is (202) 566-1752. A reasonable fee may be charged by EPA for copying docket materials.

2. *Electronic Access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the Federal Register listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments,

access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Section I.A.1.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the Docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

B. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand

delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

1. *Electronically.* If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed below, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in Docket ID No. OECA-2004-0002. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. *E-mail.* Comments may be sent by electronic mail (e-mail) to docket.oeca@epa.gov, Attention Docket ID No. OECA-2004-0002. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the Docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official

public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

iii. *Disk or CD ROM.* You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Section I.A.1. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

2. *By Mail.* Send your comments to: Enforcement and Compliance Docket Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2201T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 Attention Docket ID No. OECA-2004-0002.

3. *By Hand Delivery or Courier.* Deliver your comments to the address provided in Section I.A.1., Attention Docket ID No. OECA-2004-0002. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Section I.A.1.

C. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

II. Background

Nash Finch is a food retail and distribution company, incorporated in the State of Delaware, with its headquarters office located at 7600 France Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN. Nash Finch disclosed, pursuant to the EPA "Incentives for Self-Policing:

Discovery, Disclosures, Correction and Prevention of Violations" ("Audit Policy"), 65 FR 19618 (April 11, 2000), that it failed to have an SPCC plan for its facilities located in Omaha, NE; Statesboro, GA; Bluefield, VA; Cincinnati, OH; Bridgeport, MI; Fargo, ND; Norfolk, VA; and Baltimore, MD; in violation of the CWA section 311(b)(3) and 40 CFR part 112. Nash Finch disclosed that it failed to comply with CAA section 112(r), 42 U.S.C. 7412(r), and 40 CFR 68.10 and 68.150 because it had stored an aggregate quantity of more than 10,000 pounds of ammonia (anhydrous) in its refrigeration systems, without having submitted a Risk Management Plan, for its Lumberton, NC, Cincinnati, OH and Bridgeport, MI facilities.

In addition, Nash Finch disclosed that it had failed to comply with EPCRA section 302(c), 42 U.S.C. 11002(c), and the regulations found at 40 CFR part 355, when they failed to notify the SERC, and EPCRA section 303(d), 42 U.S.C. 11003(d), and the regulations found at 40 CFR part 355, when they failed to notify the LEPC of the identity of the emergency coordinator who would participate in the emergency planning process at sixteen (16) facilities, specifically located in Cedar Rapids, IA; St. Cloud, MN; Minot, ND; Fargo, ND; Omaha, NE; Rapid City, SD; Sioux Falls, SD (2 facilities); Bellefontaine, OH; Bridgeport, MI; Cincinnati, OH; Statesboro, GA; Lumberton, NC; Bluefield, VA; Baltimore, MD and Norfolk, VA.

Also, Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with EPCRA section 311(a), 42 U.S.C. 11021(a) and the regulations found at 40 CFR part 370, when they failed to submit an MSDS for a hazardous chemical(s) or, in the alternative, a list of such chemicals, and EPCRA section 312(a), 42 U.S.C. 11022(a) and the regulations found at 40 CFR part 370, by failing to prepare and submit emergency and chemical inventory forms to the LEPC, the SERC and the fire department. Also, Respondent disclosed that it failed to comply with EPCRA section 312(a), 42 U.S.C. 11022(a) and the regulations found at 40 CFR part 370, by failing to prepare and submit emergency and chemical inventory forms for the following chemicals: sulfuric acid, diesel fuel, propane, ammonia, and carbon dioxide, to the LEPC, the SERC and the fire department with jurisdiction over each facility, for the following seventeen (17) facilities: Cedar Rapids, IA; St. Cloud, MN; Minot, ND; Fargo, ND; Omaha, NE; Rapid City, SD (2 facilities); Sioux Falls, SD (2 facilities); Bellefontaine, OH;

Bridgeport, MI; Cincinnati, OH; Statesboro, GA; Lumberton, NC; Bluefield, VA; Baltimore, MD; and Norfolk, VA.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 22.45(b)(2)(iii), the following is a list of facilities at which Nash Finch self-disclosed violations of CWA section 311: Omaha, NE; Statesboro, GA; Bluefield, VA; Cincinnati, OH; Bridgeport, MI; Fargo, ND; Norfolk, VA, and Baltimore, MD.

EPA determined that Nash Finch met the criteria set out in the Audit Policy for a 100% waiver of the gravity component of the penalty. As a result, EPA proposes to waive the gravity based penalty (\$864,409) and proposes a settlement penalty amount of seventy-one thousand, one hundred and twenty-seven dollars (\$71,127). This is the amount of the economic benefit gained by Nash Finch, attributable to their delayed compliance with the CWA, CAA, and EPCRA regulations. Nash Finch has agreed to pay this amount. EPA and Nash Finch negotiated and signed an administrative consent agreement, following the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 CFR 22.13(b), on January 20, 2004 (*In Re: Nash Finch Company*, Docket Nos. CWA-HQ-2003-6000, CAA-HQ-2003-6000, EPCRA-HQ-2003-6000). This consent agreement is subject to public notice and comment under CWA section 311(b)(6), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6).

Under CWA section 311(b)(6)(A), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(A), any owner, operator, or person in charge of a vessel, onshore facility, or offshore facility from which oil is discharged in violation of the CWA section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(3), or who fails or refuses to comply with any regulations that have been issued under CWA section 311(j), 33 U.S.C. 1321(j), may be assessed an administrative civil penalty of up to \$137,500 by EPA. Class II proceedings under CWA section 311(b)(6) are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22.

Under CAA section 113(d), the Administrator may issue an administrative order assessing a civil penalty against any person who has violated an applicable requirement of the CAA, including any rule, order, waiver, permit or plan. Proceedings under CAA section 113(d) are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22.

Under EPCRA section 325, the Administrator may issue an administrative order assessing a civil penalty against any person who has violated applicable emergency planning or right to know requirements, or any other requirement of EPCRA. Proceedings under EPCRA section 325

are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22.

The procedures by which the public may comment on a proposed Class II penalty order, or participate in a Clean Water Act Class II penalty proceeding, are set forth in 40 CFR 22.45. The deadline for submitting public comment on this proposed final order is March 5, 2004. All comments will be transferred to the Environmental Appeals Board ("EAB") of EPA for consideration. The powers and duties of the EAB are outlined in 40 CFR 22.4(a).

Pursuant to CWA section 311(b)(6)(C), EPA will not issue an order in this proceeding prior to the close of the public comment period.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: January 29, 2004.

Robert A. Kaplan,

Director, Special Litigation and Projects Division, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

[FR Doc. 04-2269 Filed 2-3-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Sprint Corporation's Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Florida

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought comment on the Sprint Corporation's (Sprint) petition. Sprint is seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) to receive federal universal service support in the portions of its licensed service area in Florida served by non-rural incumbent local exchange carriers.

DATES: Comments are due on or before February 17, 2004. Reply comments are due on or before March 1, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. *See*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for further filing instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Buckley, Attorney, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, (202) 418-7400, TTY (202) 418-0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's public

notice, CC Docket No. 96-45, DA 04-26, released January 8, 2004. On October 10, 2003, Sprint on behalf of its Wireless Division filed with the Commission a petition pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, seeking designation as an ETC in the portions of its licensed service area in Florida served by non-rural incumbent local exchange carriers. Sprint contends that: the Florida Public Service Commission (Florida Commission) has provided an affirmative statement that it does not regulate commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) carriers; Sprint satisfies all the statutory and regulatory prerequisites for ETC designation; and designating Sprint as an ETC will serve the public interest.

We note that Sprint must provide a copy of its petition to the Florida Commission. The Commission will also send a copy of this Public Notice to the Florida Commission by overnight express mail to ensure that the Florida Commission is notified of the notice and comment period.

Pursuant to §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments as follows: comments are due on or before February 17, 2004, and reply comments are due on or before March 1, 2004. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies. *See* Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121, May 1, 1998.

Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply.

Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, commenters