

local governmental agencies as well as from individuals or organizations that may be potentially interested or affected by the proposed action. A scoping letter will be mailed to persons who have expressed interest in the proposed action based on notification in the Tahoe National Forest Quarterly Schedule of Proposed Actions and by notification through a published legal notice in the *Union* newspaper, Grass Valley, CA and in the *Journal* newspaper, Auburn, CA.

This project was originally published in the Tahoe National Forest's quarterly *Schedule of Proposed Actions* (SOPA) in October of 2000. Scoping for trail projects have occurred in this general area since 1998 with Forest Service personnel attending meetings of constituent groups and otherwise meeting with group members.

#### Preliminary Issues

Noise and emissions from motorcycles and equipment used to construct new proposed trail segments may affect California Spotted Owl (CSO) since the project area includes protected activity centers (PACs), home range core areas (HRCAs), suitable CSO habitat and Old Forest Emphasis allocations. In addition other wildlife species may be affected as well. Are there significant impacts to wildlife and habitat caused by construction of trails and use by motorized and non-motorized trail users? Will trail construction and use increase erosion, pollution, and sedimentation of waterways? Should motorized trail activity be allowed to continue in Old Forest Emphasis allocations?

#### Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments submitted during the scoping process should be in writing or e-mail, and should be specific to the proposed action. The comments should describe as clearly and completely as possible any points of dispute, debate, or disagreement the commenter has with the proposal. Once scoping letters are received, the District shall identify all potential issues, eliminate non-significant issues or those covered by another environmental analysis, identify issues to analyze in depth, develop additional alternatives to address those significant issues, and identify potential environmental effects of the proposed action as well as all fully analyzed alternatives.

*Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review:* The draft EIS is

expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in July 2004. EPA will publish a notice of availability of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register** at that time. The comment period on the draft EIS will extend for 45 days from the date the EPA notice appears in the **Federal Register**. At that time, copies of the draft EIS will be mailed to potentially interested and affected agencies, organizations, and individuals for their review and comment and to those who provided comment during the scoping period. It is very important that those interested in the Burlington Ridge Trails Project participate by providing comment at that time.

The final EIS would be completed in August 2004. In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to substantive comments received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft EIS, as well as applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making the decision regarding this proposal.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the two week comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or

chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.

Dated: March 30, 2004.

**Steven T. Eubanks,**

*Forest Supervisor, Tahoe National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 04-7566 Filed 4-2-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Census Bureau

#### Annual Survey of Manufactures

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before June 4, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Mendel D. Gayle, Census Bureau, Room 2108, Building 4, Washington, DC 20233, (301) 763-4769 or via the Internet at [mendel.d.gayle@census.gov](mailto:mendel.d.gayle@census.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## I. Abstract

The Census Bureau has conducted the Annual Survey of Manufactures (ASM) since 1949 to provide key measures of manufacturing activity during intercensal periods. In census years ending in "2" and "7", we mail and collect the ASM as part of the Economic Census covering the Manufacturing Sector. This survey is an integral part of the Government's statistical program. The ASM furnishes up-to-date estimates of employment and payrolls, hours and wages of production workers, value added by manufacture, cost of materials, value of shipments by product class, inventories, and expenditures for both plant and equipment and structures. The survey provides data for most of these items for each of the 5-digit and selected 6-digit industries as defined in the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). It also provides geographic data by state at a more aggregated industry level.

The survey also provides valuable information to private companies, research organizations, and trade associations. Industry makes extensive use of the annual figures on product class shipments at the U.S. level in its market analysis, product planning, and investment planning. The ASM data are used to benchmark and reconcile monthly and quarterly data on manufacturing production and inventories.

This ASM clearance request will be for the years 2004 to 2006. There will be no changes to the information requested from respondents.

## II. Method of Collection

The ASM statistics are based on a survey that includes both a mail and a nonmail components. Previously, the mail portion of the survey was comprised of a probability sample of approximately 55,000 manufacturing establishments from a frame of approximately 225,000 establishments. These 225,000 establishments were all manufacturing establishments of multiunit companies (companies with operations at more than one location) and all single-location manufacturing companies that were mailed in the 1997 Census of Manufacturing. The nonmail component was comprised of the remaining small single-location companies; approximately 155,000 companies. No data has been collected from companies in the nonmail component. Rather, data has been directly obtained from the administrative records of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), the Social Security Administration (SSA), and the

Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). Although the nonmail companies account for over half of the population, they have accounted for less than 2 percent of the manufacturing output.

For the 2004–06 cycles of the ASM, we are researching options to expand the use of administrative record data and reduce the reporting burden on medium size single location companies by significantly expanding the scope of the nonmail component. This spring, we will be developing comparisons between administrative record data and the reported data in the 2002 Economic Census Covering the Manufacturing Sector. Based on these comparisons, we hope to expand the nonmail component to include approximately 200,000 single-unit companies. Currently, we are developing criteria to assist in this determination.

For the 2004–06 ASM's, we will continue to have a mail component of approximately 55,000 establishments from a significantly smaller sample frame. This will allow us to improve the overall quality of the survey estimates and allow us to better direct the sample towards specific tabulation cells that are difficult to estimate due to their size. The relative importance of the nonmail will increase; however, we do not expect the nonmail component to account for more than 10 percent of the survey estimates.

## III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0607–0449.

*Form Number:* MA–10000(L), MA–10000(S).

*Type of Review:* Regular Review.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for profit, non-profit Institutions, small businesses or organizations, and State or Local Governments.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 55,000.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 3.4 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 187,000.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* The estimated cost to the respondents is \$4,885,410.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13, United States Code, Sections 182, 224, and 225.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c)

ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 30, 2004.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 04–7549 Filed 4–2–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Census Bureau

### Generic Clearance for Questionnaire Pretesting Research

**ACTION:** Proposed Collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before June 4, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Theresa J. DeMaio, U. S. Census Bureau, Room 3127, FOB 4, Washington, DC 20233–9150, (301) 457–4894 (or via the Internet at [theresa.j.demaio@census.gov](mailto:theresa.j.demaio@census.gov)).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

The Census Bureau plans to request an extension of the current OMB approval to conduct a variety of small-scale questionnaire pretesting activities under this generic clearance. A block of