status of grant projects. FEMA uses this information to report quarterly to Congress, as required by the Act. Grantees may use existing Hazard Mitigation recordkeeping systems to meet FEMA's financial reporting requirements and to document program funds that are not used in violation of existing regulations. Subgrantees are responsible for reporting their funds to States that are under the Supplemental Property Acquisition and Elevation Assistance Program. Financial records are maintained to document all project expenditures.

#### **Collection of Information**

Title: Supplemental Property
Acquisition and Elevation Assistance.
Type of Information Collection:
Revision of a currently approved
collection.

OMB Number: 1660–0048. Form Numbers: FEMA Form 20–10, Financial Status Report.

Abstract: FEMA Form 20–10 is used to review States' quarterly reports, ensure that overall programs are progressing on schedule, and projects meet the intent of the Act. States receiving grant awards are responsible for documenting and reporting to FEMA

the use of program funds, in accordance with the Act and implementing regulations. Sub-grantees (local governments) are responsible for implementing the scope of work for a grant and reporting quarterly to States, the progress of projects and status of funds received under the grant. The State will review local community reports to ensure grant projects are progressing on schedule and funds are being used appropriately.

Affected Public: State, local or tribal government.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:

Type of collection/forms	Number of respondents	Hours per response	Annual burden hours
FEMA Form 20–10, Financial Status Report 263 × quarterly = 1052  Performance Report 263 × quarterly = 1052	1052 1052	8 4.2	8,416 4,418
Total	1052	12.2	12,834

Estimated Cost: The estimated costs associated with this collection for the quarterly reporting process is \$308,016. This calculation is based on the respondents' wage rate.

Comments: Written comments are solicited to (a) Evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. Comments must be received on or before June 1, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons should submit written comments on the proposed information collection to Muriel B. Anderson, Chief, Records Management Branch, FEMA at 500 C Street, SW., Room 316, Washington, DC 20472, facsimile number (202) 646—3347, or e-mail address: FEMA-Information-Collections@dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Carrie Herndon, Hazard Mitigation Specialist, Mitigation Directorate, telephone number (202) 646–4330 for additional information. You may contact Ms. Anderson for copies of the proposed information collection (see addressee information above).

Dated: March 22, 2004.

#### George S. Trotter,

Acting Division Director, Information Resources Management Division, Information Technology Services Directorate.

[FR Doc. 04–7018 Filed 3–29–04; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

# Federal Emergency Management Agency

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Emergency
Management Agency, Emergency
Preparedness and Response Directorate,
U.S. Department of Homeland Security.
ACTION: Notice and request for
comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has submitted the following information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The submission describes the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (i.e., the time, effort and resources used by respondents to respond) and cost, and includes a description of the information collections required in 44 CFR 61,

Appendix A(2)VII(T) and implementing guidance and the Closed Basin Lakes Conservation Easements Biennial Report that FEMA will use.

Title: Closed-Basin Lake and Endorsement Requirements. OMB Number: 1660–0050.

Abstract: A closed basin lake is a natural lake from which water leaves primarily through evaporation and whose surface area exceeds or has exceeded one square mile at any time in the recorded past. FEMA Regional Directors shall determine that State, local government, or tribe has established new building restrictions and the State is providing the support needed to eliminate future flood losses before policyholders can qualify for insurance claim benefits under the closed basin lake standard flood insurance policy endorsement.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, business or other for profit, and State, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 16,270.
Estimated Time per Respondent: The total estimated hours per respondent for the completion of all ten collections in this information collection request is 103.25 hours, with an estimated average of 10.33 hours per respondent, per collection.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 5,356 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion and biennially for responses to the Closed-Basin Lakes Conservation Easements Biennial Report.

Comments: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of Homeland Security/FEMA at e-mail address *kflee@omb.eop.gov* or facsimile number (202) 395–7285. Comments must be submitted on or before April 29, 2004. In addition, interested persons may also send comments to FEMA (*see* contact information below).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection should be made to Muriel B. Anderson, Chief, Records Management Branch, FEMA at 500 C Street, SW., Room 316, Washington, DC 20472, facsimile number (202) 646–3347, or e-mail address FEMA-Information-Collections@dhs.gov.

Dated: March 22, 2004.

#### George S. Trotter,

Acting Division Director, Information Resources Management Division, Information Technology Services Directorate.

[FR Doc. 04–7019 Filed 3–29–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 9110–11–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **Central Utah Project Completion Act**

AGENCIES: Department of the Interior, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Water and Science, (Interior); Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, (Mitigation Commission); Central Utah Water Conservancy District, (CUWCD).

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Utah Lake Drainage Basin Water Delivery System, Draft Environmental Impact Statement authorized in Section 202(a)(1) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act (CUPCA), which is part of the Bonneville Unit of the Central Utah Project.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, Interior, CUWCD, and the Mitigation Commission (joint lead agencies), have issued a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Utah Lake Drainage Basin Water Delivery System (Utah Lake System), Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project. The DEIS addresses potential impacts related to construction and operation of the features proposed for the Utah Lake System. The DEIS is intended to satisfy disclosure requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and will serve as the NEPA compliance document for contracts, agreements and permits that would be required for construction and operation of the Utah

Lake System. The DEIS is intended to provide NEPA compliance for the Clean Water Act Section 404(r) exemption process. In addition to this notification, notices will be published in local newspapers. The purpose of these publications is to give notice to all interested parties of the availability of the Utah Lake System DEIS and provide an opportunity for the public to submit written comments.

**DATES:** Written comments on the DEIS must be submitted or postmarked no later than June 11, 2004. Electronic comments will not be accepted. Comments on the DEIS may also be presented verbally or submitted in writing at public hearings that will be held on: April 28, 2004, at 5 p.m. at the Sandy City Hall, located at 10,000 South Centennial Parkway, Sandy, Utah; and April 29, 2004, at 5 p.m. at the Veterans Memorial Building in Spanish Fork, located at 386 North Main Street, Spanish Fork, Utah. Verbal comments at the hearings will be limited to 5 minutes. Those wishing to give verbal comments should submit a registration form, included at the end of the DEIS, to the address listed below one week prior to the public hearings. In order to be included as part of the hearing record, written comments must be submitted at the time of the hearing. **ADDRESSES:** Comments on the DEIS should be addressed to Mr. Mark Breitenbach, Central Utah Water Conservancy District, 355 West University Parkway, Orem, Utah, 84058.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Additional information on matters related to this notice can be obtained from Mr. Reed Murray at (801) 379–1237, or he can be reached over the Internet at rmurray@uc.usbr.gov.

Additional copies of the DEIS, and copies of the resource technical reports can be obtained from Ms. Laurie Barnett, Central Utah Water Conservancy District, 355 West University Parkway, Orem, Utah, 84058. Copies are also available for inspection at:

Central Utah Water Conservancy
District, 355 West University
Parkway, Orem, Utah 84058.
Utah Reclamation Mitigation and
Conservation Commission, 102 West
500 South, Suite 315, Salt Lake City

UT 84101.
Department of the Interior, Natural
Resource Library, Serials Branch, 18th
C Streets, NW., Washington, DC
20240.

Department of the Interior, Central Utah Project Completion Act Office, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606. and on the Central Utah Water Conservancy District Web site at: http://www.cuwcd.com/cupca/projects/uls/index.htm.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background—The Utah Lake System is one of the systems of the Bonneville Unit of the Central Utah Project that would develop central Utah's water resources for municipal and industrial supply, fish and wildlife, and recreation. Initiation of planning for the Utah Lake System was announced in the Federal Register on October 14, 1998, (FR Doc. 98-27484). Notice of intent to initiate scoping and prepare a DEIS was announced in the Federal Register on August 23, 2000, (FR Doc. 00-21458). Scoping was accomplished in two stages: informal scoping was conducted through public meetings in September 2000 and October 2001; and formal scoping was conducted through mailings and public meetings during February 2002. Comments received through the scoping process were considered during preparation of the DEIS.

Preferred Alternative—The Utah Lake System Preferred Alternative would provide an average transbasin diversion of 101,900 acre-feet which consists of 30,000 acre-feet of Municipal and Industrial (M&I) secondary water to southern Utah County and 30,000 acrefeet of M&I water to Salt Lake County water treatment plants; 1,590 acre-feet of M&I water already contracted to southern Utah County cities, and 40,310 acre-feet of M&I water to Utah Lake for exchange to Jordanelle Reservoir. It would conserve water in a Mapleton-Springville Lateral Pipeline, conserve water in the Provo River basin and deliver it along with acquired water to assist June sucker spawning and rearing, convey water to support in-stream flows in Provo River and in Hobble Creek to assist recovery of the June sucker, and develop hydropower. This alternative would involve construction of five new pipelines for delivery of water and 2 new hydropower plants and associated transmission lines. Under this alternative, Interior would acquire up to 57,003 acre-feet of the District's secondary water rights in Utah Lake to provide a firm annual yield of 60,000 acre-feet of M&I water.

Bonneville Unit Water Alternative— The Bonneville Unit Water Alternative would have an average transbasin diversion of 101,900 acre-feet consisting of: 1,590 acre-feet of M&I water already contracted to the southern Utah County cities; 15,800 acre-feet of M&I water to southern Utah County to be used in secondary water systems; and 84,510 acre-feet of M&I water delivered to Utah