# South Dakota

USDA Rural Development State Office, Federal Building, Room 210, 200 4th Street, SW., Huron, SD 57350, (605) 352– 1100.

### Tennessee

USDA Rural Development State Office, 3322 West End Avenue, Suite 300, Nashville, TN 37203–1084, (615) 783–1300.

# Texas

USDA Rural Development State Office, Federal Building, Suite 102, 101 South Main Street, Temple, TX 76501, (254) 742– 9700.

### Utah

USDA Rural Development State Office, Wallace F. Bennett Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Room 4311, Salt Lake City, UT 84138, (801) 524–4320.

### Vermont/New Hampshire

USDA Rural Development State Office, City Center, 3rd Floor, 89 Main Street, Montpelier, VT 05602, (802) 828–6010.

#### Virginia

USDA Rural Development State Office, Culpeper Building, Suite 238, 1606 Santa Rosa Road, Richmond, VA 23229–5014, (804) 287–1550.

#### Washington

- USDA Rural Development State Office, 1835 Black Lake Boulevard, SW., Suite B, Olympia, WA 98512–5715, (360) 704– 7740.
- West Virginia
- USDA Rural Development State Office, Federal Building, 75 High Street, Room 320, Morgantown, WV 26505–7500, (304) 284–4860.

# Wisconsin

USDA Rural Development State Office, 4949 Kirschling Court, Stevens Point, WI 54481, (715) 345–7610.

#### Wyoming

USDA Rural Development State Office, Federal Building, Room 1005, 100 East B Street, P.O. Box 820, Casper, WY 82602, (307) 261–6300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The maximum loan and grant awards are determined in accordance with 7 CFR 1703.28. The maximum loan and grant awards are calculated as 3.0 percent of the projected program levels, rounded to the nearest \$10,000; however, as specified in 7 CFR 1703.28(b), regardless of the projected total amount that will be available, the maximum size may not be lower than \$200,000. The projected program level during FY 2004 for zero-interest loans is \$14,914,000, and the projected program level for grants is \$10,000,000. Applying the specified 3.0 percent to the program level for loans, rounded to the nearest \$10,000, results in the maximum loan award of \$450,000. Applying the

specified 3.0 percent to the program level for grants results in an amount higher than \$200,000. Therefore, the maximum grant award for FY 2004 will be \$300,000. This notice will be amended should an appropriation in excess of projected levels be received.

### Nondiscrimination Statement

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) (Departmental Regulation 4300-3), prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status in employment or in any program or activity conducted or funded by the Department. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326–W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–9410 or call (202) 720–5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer."

Dated: March 1, 2004.

## John Rosso,

Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.

[FR Doc. 04–7035 Filed 3–29–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–XY–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Docket 12-2004]

# Foreign-Trade Zone 78—Nashville, Tennessee, Application for Subzone, Sanford LP (Pencil Manufacturing and Writing and Art Products Distribution); Shelbyville and Lewisburg, TN

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Metropolitan Government of Nashville & Davidson County, grantee of FTZ 78, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the pencil manufacturing and writing and art products warehousing/distribution facility of Sanford LP (Sanford), in Shelbyville and Lewisburg, Tennessee. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a– 81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 18, 2004.

The Sanford facilities are comprised of three sites: Site 1 (3 buildings, 465,000 sq. ft. on 40 acres)-writing instrument repackaging and marker assembly plant, located at One Pencil Street, Shelbyville (Bedford County); Site 2 (1 building, 490,000 sq. ft. on 21 acres)-pencil manufacturing and art product assembly plant, located at 551 Spring Place Road, Lewisburg (Marshall County); and, Site 3 (1 building, 574,000 sq. ft. on 55 acres)-main distribution center, located at 1660 Railroad Avenue, Shelbyville (Bedford County). The facility (800 employees) is used for manufacturing of pencils and pencil components and for the assembly, warehousing, inspection, packaging and distribution of writing and art products. However, manufacturing authority is not being requested at this time. About 7 percent of production is currently exported. Certain pencils (HTSUS 9609.10.00) from China are subject to anti-dumping/countervailing (AD/CVD) duties.

Zone procedures would exempt Sanford from Customs duty payments (including AD/CVD) on foreign products that are re-exported. On domestic sales, the company would be able to defer payments (including AD/CVD) until merchandise is shipped from the plant. FTZ designation would further allow Sanford to utilize certain Customs procedures resulting in increased efficiencies for its logistics and distribution operations. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures will help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

1. Submissions Via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade-Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th St. NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. Submissions Via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade-Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB— Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is June 1, 2004. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to June 14, 2004).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at address Number 1 listed above, and at the U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 211 Commerce Street, Suite 100, Nashville, TN 37201–1802.

Dated: March 19, 2004. **Dennis Puccinelli,**  *Executive Secretary.* [FR Doc. 04–7095 Filed 3–29–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

### [A-122-822]

# Notice of Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 30, 2003, the Department published the initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosionresistant carbon steel flat products from Canada, covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation and Deferral of Administrative Reviews (68 FR 56262) (Initiation). This administrative review was initiated on the following exporters: Continuous Color Coat, Ltd. (CCC), Dofasco Inc. (Dofasco), Ideal Roofing Company, Ltd. (Ideal Roofing), Impact Steel Canada, Ltd. (Impact Steel), Russel Metals Export (Russel Metals), Sorevco and Company, Ltd. (Sorevco), and Stelco Inc. (Stelco). For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding the administrative review of Russel Metals.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Addilyn Chams-Eddine or Dana Mermelstein at (202) 482–0648 and (202) 482–1391, respectively; Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

On September 30, 2003, the Department published the initiation of administrative review of CCC, Dofasco, Ideal Roofing, Impact Steel, Russel Metals, Sorevco, and Stelco, covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Initiation. On December 19, 2003 we rescinded the review of CCC, Ideal Roofing and Impact Steel. See 68 FR 70764. On December 24, 2003, Russel Metals timely withdrew its request for an administrative review. The request was the only request for an administrative review of Russel Metals. See Memorandum For the File from Dana S. Mermelstein: Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Russel Metals Withdrawal of Request for Review, dated January 12, 2004, and on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) located in room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building.

# Rescission, in Part, of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Since Russel Metals submitted a timely withdrawal of its request for review, and since this was the only request for a review of Russel Metals, the Department is rescinding its antidumping administrative review of Russel Metals in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Based on this rescission, the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003, now covers the following companies: Dofasco, Sorevco, and Stelco.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 23, 2004.

## James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 04–7094 Filed 3–29–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-833, A-580-854, A-570-897]

# Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Circular Welded Carbon Quality Line Pipe From Mexico, The Republic of Korea, and the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer at 202–482–0405 or John Drury at 202–482–0195, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Initiation of Investigations

### The Petition

On March 3, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("Department") received an Antidumping Duty Petition filed in proper form by American Steel Pipe **Division of American Cast Iron Pipe** Company, IPSCO Tubulars Inc., Lone Star Steel Company, Maverick Tube Corporation, Northwest Pipe Company, and Stupp Corporation ("Petitioners"). On March 15 and 19, 2004, Petitioners submitted clarifications of the Petition. Petitioners are domestic producers of circular welded carbon quality line pipe ("Line Pipe"). In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), Petitioner alleges imports of Line Pipe from Mexico, the Republic of Korea ("Korea") and the People's Republic of China ("China") are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the U.S. industry.

The Department finds that Petitioners filed their Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act, and they have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the investigations they are presently seeking. *See Determination of Industry Support for the Petition* section below.

# Scope of the Investigations

These investigations cover circular welded carbon quality steel pipe of a