

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket ID Number SFUND-2004-0003; FRL-7635-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; CAMEO Software Usability Evaluation Survey

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following new Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): National Survey of CAMEO Software Usability Evaluation Survey, EPA ICR Number 2132.01, OMB Control No. 2050.XXXX. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the information collection.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before May 11, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Follow the detailed instructions in **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sherry Fielding, Office of Emergency Prevention, Preparedness and Response (OEPPR), Mail code 5104A, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-6174; fax number: (202) 564-8211; e-mail address: fielding.sherry@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID number SFUND-2004-0003, which is available for public viewing at the Superfund Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Superfund Docket is (202) 566-0276. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA Dockets (EDOCKET) at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>. Use EDOCKET to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system,

select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified above.

Any comments related to this ICR should be submitted to EPA within 60 days of this notice, and according to the following detailed instructions: Submit your comments to EPA online using EDOCKET (our preferred method), by e-mail to superfund.docket@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Superfund Docket, Mail Code 5305T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington DC 20460.

EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EDOCKET as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDOCKET. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDOCKET. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's **Federal Register** notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to www.epa.gov/edocket.

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are State and local agencies and members of the public.

Title: CAMEO Software Usability Evaluation Survey, EPA ICR No. 2132.01, OMB Control No. 2050.XXXX.

Abstract: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Emergency Prevention, Preparedness and Response (OEPPR), is requesting an Information Collection Request (ICR) to conduct a nationwide survey of Computer-aided Management of Emergency Operations (CAMEO) Web site listserv members and users to determine user satisfaction with the CAMEO software and its features. CAMEO is a system of software applications used widely to plan for and respond to chemical emergencies. It is one of the tools developed by EPA to assist front-line chemical emergency planners and responders. Users can employ CAMEO to access, store, and evaluate information critical for developing emergency plans. In

addition, CAMEO supports regulatory compliance by helping users meet the chemical inventory reporting requirement of SARA Title III. CAMEO has been in use by local emergency planners, first responders, state and tribal groups, and industry personnel since 1988. During the intervening years, EPA surveyed CAMEO users in 1994 and 1997 to identify needed changes and enhancements. While these previous surveys were the starting point of the current CAMEO survey, survey materials have been modified to capture emerging needs of users, particularly as they relate to the availability of Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know (EPCRA) and Risk Management Program Rule data.

The survey will be conducted via the Internet after 600 names are randomly selected from the CAMEO Listserv. EPA will send prior notification to the entire Listserv (6,000-7,000 names) informing users of the survey and the process. EPA will send a message to the 600 selected participants with a link to the Web-based survey; there will be no passwords to access the survey. In completing the survey, EPA will not require participants to provide any identifying information.

The primary goals of this research are to: (i) Evaluate customer satisfaction with CAMEO; (ii) probe current user practices and preferences regarding several important sets of issues, including the effectiveness of selected Agency products and services, required reporting requirements, and new homeland security responsibilities; and (iii) identify emerging user needs. EPA will use the information collected through this survey to judge the success and efficacy of the Agency's chemical emergency technical assistance efforts and improve program implementation.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15. The EPA would like to solicit comments to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those

who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The proposed survey will take advantage of an existing, CAMEO on-line listserv of respondents. Thus, the only burden imposed by the survey on respondents will be the time required to complete the survey. Based upon pretest interviews, EPA estimates that this will involve an average of one-half hour (0.5 hours) per respondent. With 600 respondents completing the survey, EPA estimates the total burden to be 300 hours. Based on an average hourly rate of \$70.60 (including employer costs of all employee benefits), the one-time total cost to all respondents will be \$21,180. Because this information collection is voluntary and does not involve any special equipment, respondents will not incur any capital or operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: CAMEO Users.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 600.

Frequency of Response: One-time.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 300.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$0.

Dated: February 26, 2004.

Deborah Y. Dietrich,

Director, Office of Emergency Prevention, Preparedness and Response.

[FR Doc. 04-5646 Filed 3-11-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6649-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 04, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65016-UT Rating EC2, Bear Hodges II Timber Sale Management Plan. Selective Timber Harvest of Spruce Stands With or Without Road Construction, Implementation, Wasatch National Forest (WCNF), Logan Ranger District, Cache and Rich Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with adverse impacts to soil and vegetation, the potential for impacts to aquatic resources, and need for more specific information on mitigation of these impacts.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65399-00 Rating EC2, High Mountains Heli-Skiing (HMH) Project, Issuance of a New 5-Year Special Use Permit (SUP) to Continue Operating Guided Helicopter Skiing in Portions of the Bridger-Teton National Forest and Caribou-Targhee National Forest (CTNF), Teton and Lincoln Counties, WY and Teton and Bonneville Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to wildlife and reduced likelihood that proposed wilderness areas would be designated as Wilderness. EPA requested additional information on refueling sites, avalanche control activities and winter range impacts.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65400-UT Rating EC2, East Fork Fire Salvage Project Timber Harvesting of Dead and Dying Trees, Implementation, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Evanston Ranger District, Summit County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with soil erosion, soil disturbance and soil compaction; runoff and potential degradation of water quality and aquatic habitats; sedimentation of streams and

water-storage reservoirs; fish and wildlife impacts to sensitive species; and the potential to establish and spread noxious weeds. EPA recommended the selected alternative restrict harvest to the lands accessible with existing roads to minimize impact to water quality.

ERP No. D-BLM-J65393-CO Rating LO, Colorado Canyons National Conservation Area and Black Ridge Canyons Wilderness Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Mesa County, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections however, EPA suggest additional analysis of compliance with land health standards, increased use of the adaptive management to address recreational use and additional monitoring for water quality, erosion and levels of vegetative cover.

ERP No. D-BLM-L65440-OR Rating EC2, Upper Deschutes Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Deschutes, Klamath, Jefferson and Cook Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about ongoing water quality problems on streams in the planning area. EPA recommended that the final EIS include goals for the restoration of water quality through planned revision of grazing management, or other appropriate means.

ERP No. D-DOE-L02032-OR Rating EC2, COB Energy Facility, Construction of a 1,160-megawatt (MW) Natural Gas-Fired and Combined-Cycle Electric Generating Plant, Right-of-Way Grant across Federal Land under the Jurisdiction of BLM, Klamath Basin, Klamath County, OR.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns related to the lack of detailed evaluation of alternatives to the proposed site for the energy generation facility and the route of the proposed transmission line. EPA recommended that additional evaluation and discussion of alternatives to the proposed project be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-C40161-NY Rating EC2, NY-17 Parkville/SH-5223, Liberty-County Line, Part 1 Construction and Reconstruction to Interstate Standards, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Town of Liberty, Sullivan County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding indirect impacts to wetlands. EPA requested additional information on these impacts and on the proposed wetlands and water quality mitigation plan.

ERP No. D-FHW-J40161-UT Rating LO, I-15, 31st Street in Ogden to 2700