

made at prices below the fully absorbed COP, within the meaning of section 773(b) of the Act, and requested that the Department conduct a country-wide sales-below-cost investigation.

Pursuant to section 773(b)(3) of the Act, COP consists of the COM, SG&A, financial expenses, and packing expenses. The petitioners calculated COM based on their own production experience, adjusted for known differences between costs incurred to produce PC Strand in the United States and in Thailand using publicly available data. For initiation purposes, we have recalculated the labor and electricity costs by first indexing the costs in the foreign-denominated currency and then converting the costs to U.S. Dollars based on the prevailing exchange rate for the comparison period. To calculate SG&A and financial expenses, the petitioners relied upon amounts reported in the 2001 financial statements of Siam Wire. Based upon a comparison of the prices of the foreign like product in the home market to the calculated COP of the product, we find reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that sales of the foreign like product were made below the COP, within the meaning of section 773(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Act. Accordingly, the Department is initiating a country-wide cost investigation.

Pursuant to sections 773(a)(4), 773(b) and 773(e) of the Act, the petitioners also based NV for sales in Thailand on CV. The petitioners calculated CV using the same COM, SG&A, and financial expense figures used to compute the Thai home market costs. Consistent with 773(e)(2) of the Act, the petitioners included in CV an amount for profit. For profit, the petitioners relied upon amounts reported in the Siam Wire's 2001 financial statements.

The estimated dumping margin for Thailand, based on a comparison of EP and home market price is 13.53 percent. The estimated dumping margin based on a comparison between EP and CV is 29.68 percent.

Fair Value Comparisons

Based on the data provided by the petitioners, there is reason to believe that imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand are being, or are likely to be, sold at less than fair value.

Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation

The petitioners allege that the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product is being materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, by reason of the cumulated imports from

Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand of the subject merchandise sold at less than NV.

The petitioners contend that the industry's injured condition is evident in the declining trends in net operating profits, net sales volumes, domestic prices, revenue, profit-to-sales ratios, production employment, capacity utilization, and domestic market share. The allegations of injury and causation are supported by relevant evidence including U.S. Customs import data, lost sales, and pricing information. We have assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury and causation, and we have determined that these allegations are properly supported by adequate evidence and meet the statutory requirements for initiation. *See the Initiation Checklist.*

Initiation of Antidumping Investigations

Based upon our examination of the petitions on PC strand, we have found that they meet the requirements of section 732 of the Act. *See the Initiation Checklist.* Therefore, we are initiating antidumping duty investigations to determine whether imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. Unless this deadline is extended, we will make our preliminary determinations no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation.

Distribution of Copies of the Petitions

In accordance with section 732(b)(3)(A) of the Act, a copy of the public version of each petition has been provided to the representatives of the governments of Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand. We will attempt to provide a copy of the public version of each petition to each exporter named in the petitions, as provided for under 19 CFR 351.203(c)(2).

ITC Notification

We have notified the ITC of our initiations as required by section 732(d) of the Act.

Preliminary Determinations by the ITC

The ITC will determine no later than March 17, 2003, whether there is a reasonable indication that imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand are causing material injury, or threatening to cause material injury, to a U.S. industry. A negative ITC determination for any country will result in the investigation being terminated with respect to that country; otherwise, these investigations

will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 20, 2003.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-4652 Filed 2-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-838]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 27, 2003.

SUMMARY: On January 8, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 1030) a notice announcing the initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada, covering the period May 22, 2002, through October 31, 2002. The review covers Sciere La Pointe & Roy Ltee (La Pointe & Roy). We are now rescinding this review as a result of La Pointe & Roy's withdrawal of its request for a new shipper review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vicki Schepker or Keith Nickerson, at (202) 482-1756 or (202) 482-3813, respectively, AD/CVD Enforcement Office V, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c) (April 2002), on November 26, 2002, La Pointe & Roy requested a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada. On December 31, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we initiated a new shipper review of this order for the period May 22, 2002, through October 31, 2002 (68 FR 1030). La Pointe & Roy withdrew its request for

a new shipper review on January 31, 2003.

Rescission of New Shipper Review

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(f)(1) provide that the Department will rescind a new shipper review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 60 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. La Pointe & Roy withdrew its request within the 60-day period. Accordingly, we are rescinding this review.

Notification

Bonding is no longer permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments of certain softwood lumber products from Canada produced and exported by La Pointe & Roy, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the United States on or after the publication of this rescission notice in the **Federal Register**.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(f)(3).

Dated: February 19, 2003.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-4583 Filed 2-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-822-805]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Urea Ammonium Nitrate Solutions from Belarus

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 27, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Martin or Tom Futtner, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936, and (202) 482-3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Final Determination

We determine that urea ammonium nitrate solutions (UANS) from Belarus are being sold, or are likely to be sold, in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 735 of the Act. The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the *Final Determination of Investigation* section of this notice.

Case History

On October 3, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of UANS from Belarus. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Urea Ammonium Nitrate Solutions From Belarus*, 67 FR 62015 (October 3, 2002) (*Preliminary Determination*). Since the preliminary determination, the following events have occurred.

On November 7, 2002, the Department published a postponement of the final determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of UANS from Belarus. *See Postponement of the Final Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations of Urea Ammonium Nitrate Solutions From Belarus, the Russian Federation, and Ukraine*, 67 FR 67823 (November 7, 2002).

During November 2002, the Department conducted a verification of Grodno Production Republican Enterprise's (Grodno) sales and factors of production (FOP) information. *See Memorandum from Tom Martin, Import Compliance Specialist, through Tom Futtner, Program Manager, to The File, "Verification of Sales and Factors of Production Information Reported by Grodno Production Republican Enterprise,"* dated December 20, 2002 (Verification Report). Both the petitioner and Grodno filed surrogate value information and data on November 26, 2002.¹

On November 1, 2002, the petitioner requested a hearing pursuant to 19 CFR

¹ The petitioner in this investigation is the Nitrogen Solutions Fair Trade Committee. Its members consist of CF Industries, Inc., Mississippi Chemical Corporation, and Terra Industries, Inc.

351.301(e). However, no hearing was held in this investigation because the petitioner withdrew its request for a hearing.

In a memorandum filed on December 23, 2002, we altered the time limit for submitting case briefs pursuant to 351.309(c)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. We received a case brief from the petitioner on January 7, 2003. On January 14, 2003, the respondent, through the Embassy of the Republic of Belarus, requested, and the Department granted, an extension for Grodno to submit comments. The respondent provided comments on January 17, 2003.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the product covered is all mixtures of urea and ammonium nitrate in aqueous or ammoniacal solution, regardless of nitrogen content by weight, and regardless of the presence of additives, such as corrosion inhibitors. The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3102.80.00.00. Although the HTSUS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (POI) is October 1, 2001, through March 31, 2002.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the comments by parties to this proceeding and to which we have responded are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Memorandum from Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Urea Ammonium Nitrate Solutions from Belarus C October 1, 2001, through March 31, 2002," dated concurrently with this notice (*Decision Memorandum*), which is hereby adopted by this notice. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this investigation and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and