

496–3702, before December 31, 2003. Repatriation of the human remains to the Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico & Utah may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology is responsible for notifying the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico & Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; and Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico that this notice has been published.

Dated: September 24, 2003.

**John Robbins,**

*Assistant Director, Cultural Resources.*

[FR Doc. 03–29776 Filed 11–28–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–50–S

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–497]

### In the Matter of Certain Universal Transmitters for Garage Door Openers; Notice of Commission Determination To Affirm Initial Determination Denying Temporary Relief

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm the presiding administrative law judge's initial determination finding subject matter jurisdiction and denying temporary relief in the above-captioned investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Herrington, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3090. Copies of the Commission's order, the public version of the administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) on temporary relief, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on

this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 26, 2003, based on a complaint filed by The Chamberlain Group, Inc. ("Chamberlain") of Elmhurst, Illinois. 68 FR 51301 (August 26, 2003). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain universal transmitters for garage door openers by reason of infringement of claims 1–8 of U.S. Patent No. RE 35,364 and claims 5–62 of U.S. Patent No. RE 37,986, and violation of section 1201(a)(2) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"), 17 U.S.C. 1201(a)(2). The respondents named in the complaint and the Commission's notice of investigation are Skylink Technologies, Inc.; Capital Prospect, Ltd.; and Philip Tsui (collectively, "respondents").

At the same time that the Commission instituted the investigation, it provisionally accepted Chamberlain's motion for temporary relief which accompanied the complaint and which was based on the allegation that there was reason to believe that respondents were in violation of section 337. Chamberlain's motion for temporary relief was based solely on respondents' alleged violation of section 1201(a)(2) of the DMCA.

On September 3, 2003, respondents filed their opposition to Chamberlain's motion for temporary relief. In that opposition, respondents argued, *inter alia*, that the Commission does not have jurisdiction under section 337 to consider an allegation of violation of the DMCA. On September 8, 2003, the ALJ invited separate briefing of this jurisdictional issue.

On September 15, 2003, the respondents requested leave of the ALJ to file a motion for summary determination on the substantive question of whether respondents are in violation of section 1201(a)(2) of the DMCA, to waive the temporary relief hearing, and to suspend the temporary relief schedule. Respondents attached their proposed motion for summary determination to their request for leave. Respondents represented that if their motion for summary determination were denied by the ALJ, and if the Commission agreed with such denial, they would voluntarily enter into a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order attached as an exhibit to

their request for leave. All parties supported respondents' request for leave and, on September 17, 2003, the ALJ granted that request in Order No. 6, treating the attached motion for summary determination as filed, setting a briefing schedule, and staying the temporary relief procedural schedule.

On October 2, 2003, a non-party, Consumers Union, filed a motion for leave to file a submission in support of respondents' motion for summary determination, including its proposed submission with its motion for leave. Chamberlain opposed the motion; respondents and the Commission investigative attorney did not oppose the motion. On October 15, 2003, the ALJ granted Consumers Union's motion for leave in Order No. 8 and treated its submission as filed.

On October 10, 2003, Chamberlain filed a motion to strike respondents' arguments in their reply memorandum on summary determination concerning burden of proof or, in the alternative, to consider rebuttal argument in Chamberlain's papers filed in a parallel district court action. Both respondents and the Commission investigative attorney opposed Chamberlain's motion. The ALJ found that the issue of burden of proof was raised in respondents' summary determination motion and that the arguments in Chamberlain's district court filing were largely repetitive of those in its response to that motion. Accordingly, the ALJ denied Chamberlain's motion in its entirety on October 24, 2003, in Order No. 9.

On November 4, 2004, the ALJ issued his ID on temporary relief, finding that (1) the Commission has subject matter jurisdiction over Chamberlain's DMCA claim, and (2) Chamberlain's allegation that respondents violate the DMCA has not been supported as a matter of law. He therefore concluded that there is no basis to issue temporary relief. The Commission understands the ALJ's second conclusion to be a determination that there is no reason to believe a violation of section 337 exists with respect to Chamberlain's DMCA claim because it is unlikely that Chamberlain will succeed on the merits of that claim.

Complainant Chamberlain filed comments with respect to the ID. Respondents and the Commission investigative attorney filed reply comments.

Having examined the relevant record in this investigation, including the ALJ's ID, the written comments on the ID, and the replies thereto, the Commission determined to affirm the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.66 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.66).

Issued: November 24, 2003.  
By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 03-29808 Filed 11-28-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-03-039]

### Sunshine Act Meeting

#### AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** December 4, 2003 at 11:30 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. No. TA-421-4 (Market Disruption)(Certain Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination on market disruption to the President and the United States Trade Representative on December 4, 2003.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: none.  
In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: November 25, 2003.  
By order of the Commission.

**MARILYN R. ABBOTT,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 03-29925 Filed 11-26-03; 11:16 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America v. Northwoods Development, LLC d/b/a Portage Point Inn, Michael DeVoe*, 1:03-CV-336, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division on November 4, 2003.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against Northwoods Development, LLC, d/b/a Portage Point Inn, and Michael DeVoe, pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 U.S.C. 403 to obtain injunctive relief and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging pollutants without a permit into waters of the United States. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring the Defendants to restore the impacted areas and to pay a civil penalty.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to Thomas J. Gezon, Assistant United States Attorney, Western District of Michigan, P.O. Box 208, Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0208, (616) 456-2408, and refer to *United States v. Northwoods Development, LLC*, Court No. 1:03-CV-336, (Internal Case Number 2002V00286).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, B35 Federal Building, 410 W. Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, MI 49007. In addition, the proposed consent decree may be viewed at <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>.

**Thomas J. Gezon,**

*Assistant United States Attorney, Western District of Michigan.*

[FR Doc. 03-29754 Filed 11-28-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Grinding Optimization Venture

Notice is hereby given that, on October 2, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Grinding Optimization Venture has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) The identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust

plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are TechSolve, Inc., Cincinnati, OH; Delphi, Energy & Chassis System, Dayton, OH; Applied Grinding Technologies, Inc., Wixcom, MI; Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN; and Landis Gardner—A UNOVA Company, Waynesboro, PA. The nature and objectives of the venture are to develop and demonstrate the application of an intelligent system that uses techniques of soft computing and artificial intelligence to learn, control, monitor, and optimize a variety of complex precision grinding processes without resorting to trial and error.

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-29831 Filed 11-28-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 30, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Sentient Consulting Limited, Liverpool, United Kingdom; and University of Ulster, Newtownabbey Co., Antrim, United Kingdom have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 7, 2000, IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the