The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of October, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–29271 Filed 11–21–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,879]

Jan-Sew Manufacturing, Crossville, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 15, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Jan-Sew Manufacturing, Crossville, Tennessee.

The petitioning worker group is included in a petition filed on September 15, 2003 (TA–W–53,092) that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further

investigation in this case would therefore serve no purpose and the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of October 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–29272 Filed 11–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under title II,

chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 4, 2003.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, no later than December 4, 2003.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C–5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Dated: Signed at Washington, DC., this 31st day of October, 2003.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[Petition's instituted between 10/20/2003 and 10/24/2003]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
53,284	Jolly Tundra (MN)	Winthrop, MN	10/20/2003	10/20/2003
53,285	Mastercraft Fabrics (Wkrs)	Spindale, NC	10/20/2003	9/20/2003
53,286	Elox Corp (Comp)	Davidson, NC	10/20/2003	10/17/2003
53,287	Filtertek (PR)	Patillas, PR	10/20/2003	10/10/2003
53,288	Biddeford Blankets, LLC (Comp)	Biddeford, ME	10/20/2003	10/17/2003
53,289	L and I Atlantic, Inc. (ME)	Bangor, ME	10/20/2003	10/14/2003
53,290	Finisar Corporation (Wkrs)	Sunnyvale, CA	10/20/2003	10/04/2003
53,291	Cone Mills Corp. (Comp)	Cliffside, NC	10/20/2003	10/14/2003
53,292	Salmon Falls Precision Fabricators, Inc.	Rochester, NH	10/20/2003	10/16/2003
53,293	Harriet and Henderson Yarns, Inc. (Comp)	Clarkton, NC	10/20/2003	10/17/2003
53,294	Newell Porcelain (Wkrs)	Newell, WV	10/20/2003	10/14/2003
53,295	Stimson Lumber (Comp)	Coeur d'Alene, ID	10/20/2003	10/15/2003
53,296	Solectron Technology, Inc. (NC)	Charlotte, NC	10/20/2003	10/17/2003
53,297	DSM Pharma Chemicals (Comp)	Greenville, NC	10/20/2003	10/17/2003
53,298	Fisher Controls (Wkrs)	McKinney, TX	10/21/2003	10/21/2003
53,299	Cannon ITT Industries (Comp)	Santa Ana, CA	10/21/2003	10/08/2003
53,300	Kraft Foods (NJ)	Fairlawn, NJ	10/21/2003	10/02/2003
53,301	Celanese Acetate (UNITE)	Rock Hill, SC	10/21/2003	10/11/2003
53,302	Kiker Hosiery, Inc. (Comp)	Locust, NC	10/21/2003	10/15/2003
53,303	Champagne Dye Works, Inc. (Comp)	Asheboro, NC	10/21/2003	10/20/2003
53,304	Quality Scientific Plastics, Inc. (Comp)	Petaluma, CA	10/21/2003	09/24/2003
53,305	Dillon Floral Corp. (Wkrs)	Bloomsburg, PA	10/21/2003	10/01/2003
53,306	Springs Window Fashions (Comp)	Wausau, WI	10/21/2003	10/20/2003
53,307	Manpower (Wkrs)	Miami, FL	10/21/2003	10/06/2003
53,308	Burger Iron Management Corp. (USWA)	Akron, OH	10/21/2003	10/03/2003
53,309	Candle Corporation (Wkrs)	El Segundo, CA	10/21/2003	10/09/2003
53,310	Endeavor Mold and Design, Inc. (Comp)	Erie, PA	10/21/2003	10/02/2003
53,311	Honeywell (Wkrs)	Freeport, IL	10/22/2003	10/17/2003
53,312	Ethan Allen Furniture (Wkrs)	Dublin, VA	10/22/2003	10/16/2003
53,313	Classic Hosiery, Inc. (Comp)	Burlington, NC	10/22/2003	10/17/2003

APPENDIX—Continued

[Petition's instituted between 10/20/2003 and 10/24/2003]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
53,314	Acrotech Midwest Inc. (MN)	Crosby, MN	10/22/2003	10/21/2003
53,315	OBG Manufacturing Co. (Comp)	Liberty, KY	10/22/2003	10/22/2003
53,316	Robert Bosch Tool Corp. (Comp)	Elizabethtown, KY	10/22/2003	10/21/2003
53,317	Sofanou, Inc. of Kentucky (Wkrs)	Morgantown, KY	10/23/2003	10/21/2003
53,318	Moll Industries (Comp)	Austin, TX	10/23/2003	10/21/2003
53,319	Meadwestvaco (PA)	Pittsfield, MA	10/23/2003	10/15/2003
53,320	Standard Motor Products (Wkrs)	Argos, IN	10/23/2003	10/16/2003
53,321	Charter Fabrics, Inc. (Comp)	New York, NY	10/23/2003	09/29/2003
53,322	John Crane, Inc. (Comp)	Vandalia, IL	10/23/2003	10/22/2003
53,323	Franklin Electric (Wkrs)	Jonesboro, IN	10/23/2003	10/16/2003
53,324	New River Industries, Inc. (Comp)	Radford, VA	10/23/2003	10/15/2003
53,325	Security DBS (Comp)	Dallas, TX	10/23/2003	10/21/2003
53,326	Weyerhaeuser (AR)	W. Memphis, AR	10/23/2003	10/21/2003
53,327	Portland Pattern, Inc. (Comp)	Portland, OR	10/23/2003	10/22/2003
53,328	International Paper (Wkrs)	Kaukauna, WI	10/23/2003	10/20/2003
53,329	Advanced Forming Technology (CO)	Longmont, CO	10/23/2003	10/14/2003
53,330	Air Products and Chemical, Inc. (Wkrs)	Allentown, PA	10/23/2003	10/23/2003
53,331	Budd Group (The) (Comp)	Grover, NC	10/23/2003	10/23/2003
53,332	NXL Investments, Inc. (Comp)	Euclid, OH	10/23/2003	10/23/2003
53,333	Fishing Vessel (F/V) WW Northland (Comp)	Haines, AK	10/24/2003	10/12/2003
53,334	Eugene Aluminum and Brass Foundry, Inc. (Comp)	Eugene, OR	10/24/2003	10/17/2003
53,335	Fairchild Semiconductor (Comp)	Mountaintop, PA	10/24/2003	10/20/2003
53,336	Henredon Furniture Ind. (Comp)	Spruce Pine, NC	10/24/2003	10/23/2003
53,337	De Machine Shop (Comp)	Berthoud, CO	10/24/2003	10/23/2003
53,338	Diversified Tool Corp. (Wkrs)	Cambridge Springs, PA	10/24/2003	10/17/2003
53,339	National Manufacturing Co. (Comp)	Sterling IL	10/24/2003	10/15/2003
53,340	Star Machine Shop (Wkrs)	Galax, VA	10/24/2003	10/17/2003
53,341	Underwood Industries of NY (Comp)	Waverly, NY	10/24/2003	10/15/2003
53,342	Halmode Apparel, Inc. (Wkrs)	Roanoke, VA	10/24/2003	09/30/2003
53,343	Arteva Specialities S.a.r.l. (Comp)	Shelby, NC	10/24/2003	10/23/2003
53,344	Royal Appliance Mfg., Co. (Comp)	Glenwillow, OH	10/24/2003	10/16/2003
53,345	Parkdale American, LLC (Comp)	Landis, NC	10/24/2003	10/09/2003
53,346	Parkdale Mills, Inc. (Comp)	Belmont, NC	10/24/2003	10/09/2003
53,347	Thomas Apparel Company (Wkrs)	Hartville, MO	10/24/2003	10/31/2003
53,348	Sampo Corporation of America (Wkrs)	Fremont, CA	10/24/2003	10/07/2003
53,349	Ethan Allen Mfg., Co. (Wkrs)	Beecher Falls, VT	10/24/2003	10/20/2003
53,350	Zytec America, Inc. (Comp)	Greensboro, NC	10/24/2003	10/15/2003

[FR Doc. 03-29254 Filed 11-21-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,929]

LeSportsac, Manufacturing and Distribution Division, Stearns, KY; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of August 1, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on July 11, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 2003 (68 FR 43371).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of LeSportsac, Manufacturing and Distribution Division, Stearns, Kentucky was denied because criterion (2) was not met. Sales and production of luggage and women's handbags at the subject plant increased from February through May 2001 to the corresponding period of 2002 and also increased from February through May of 2002 to the same period in 2003.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that figures indicating sales and production increases at the subject facility incorporated volumes of imports from China. The petitioner questions how an employment decline could have occurred in conjunction with a sales/production increase.

A communication with a company official in regard to this allegation revealed that the sales and production figures provided to the Department in this investigation were for the Stearns facility exclusively. The official further stated that the company is in the midst of a reorganization of its domestic facilities and that employment declines were a result of streamlining production processes more efficiently and reapportioning employment to other domestic facilities.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the