

widening the existing bridges across Mobile Bay from four to eight lanes.

Interstate Route 10 now goes under the Mobile River in a four-lane tunnel and crosses Mobile Bay on two, two-lane bridges, each seven mile long bridges. Existing and predicted traffic volumes require that additional capacity on I-10 across the Mobile River and Mobile Bay be added. Currently, vehicles transporting flammables, corrosives, and explosives are prohibited from using the I-10 tunnel, which requires these hazardous materials to be transported along a circuitous route along a surface street, part of I-165, a bridge over the Mobile River, and a segment of a noncontrolled-access State route.

An Environmental Assessment (EA) has been prepared for the project which essentially evaluated a single alignment. The alignment evaluated in the EA emerged from a *Feasibility Study for a Mobile River I-10 Bridge*, which was completed in 1997 for the South Alabama Regional Planning Commission. The proposed design for the new bridge provides 190 feet of vertical clearance with a 1,250-foot span over the Mobile River ship channel.

Because of concerns relating to visual impacts of the bridge on historic properties, including a National Register Landmark structure (Old City Hall), it has been decided to prepare an EIS which will include reevaluation of all three alignments included in the feasibility study.

Alternatives under consideration are no build and adding capacity by constructing a six-lane bridge across the Mobile River, which will tie or merge with the existing I-10 bridges across Mobile Bay, and widening the current Mobile Bay bridges from four to eight lanes. Three build alternates were considered in a feasibility study performed for the project. All three alternates will be further evaluated in the development of the EIS.

The prior EA process included two public involvement meetings, meetings with local historic interests, resource agencies, a Bridge Aesthetic Design Workshop, a neighborhood workshop, and two public hearings. Early coordination letters were sent to resource agencies, tribes, and interested parties. The EA was also distributed to interested parties.

Cooperating agencies include the U.S. Coast Guard and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

New early coordination letters, two additional public involvement meetings, and two public hearings are proposed at this time. The existing, cooperating

agencies will be requested to maintain that status for the EIS.

During the evaluation of effect on historic properties, an adverse effect was determined for several properties including the Old City Hall. Therefore, coordination with the Department of Interior, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the State Historic Preservation Officer (Alabama Historic Commission) is required. Also, the National Trust on Historic Preservation and the Mobile Historic Commission requested to be consulting parties during the EA process. That coordination will continue during the EIS process.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this project are addressed and that all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Joe D. Wilkerson,

Division Administrator, Montgomery, Alabama.

[FR Doc. 03-26342 Filed 10-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and its implementing regulations, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) hereby announces that it is seeking approval of the following information collection activities. Before submitting these information collection requirements for clearance by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), FRA is soliciting public comment on specific aspects of the activities identified below.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than December 19, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on any or all of the following proposed

activities by mail to either: Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Safety, Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS-21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590, or Ms. Debra Steward, Office of Information Technology and Productivity Improvement, RAD-20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590. Commenters requesting FRA to acknowledge receipt of their respective comments must include a self-addressed stamped postcard stating, "Comments on OMB control number 2130-New". Alternatively, comments may be transmitted via facsimile to (202) 493-6230 or (202) 493-6170, or E-mail to Mr. Brogan at robert.brogan@fra.dot.gov, or to Ms. Steward at debra.steward@fra.dot.gov. Please refer to the assigned OMB control number or collection title in any correspondence submitted. FRA will summarize comments received in response to this notice in a subsequent notice and include them in its information collection submission to OMB for approval.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS-21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493-6292) or Debra Steward, Office of Information Technology and Productivity Improvement, RAD-20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493-6139). (These telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Pub. L. 104-13, Sec. 2, 109 Stat. 163 (1995) (codified as revised at 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, require Federal agencies to provide 60-days notice to the public for comment on information collection activities before seeking approval by OMB. 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), 1320.10(e)(1), 1320.12(a). Specifically, FRA invites interested respondents to comment on the following summary of proposed information collection activities regarding (i) whether the information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the activities will have practical utility; (ii) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the

methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (iii) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (iv) ways for FRA to minimize the burden of information collection activities on the public by automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses). See 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)(i)–(iv); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1)(i)–(iv). FRA believes that soliciting public comment will promote its efforts to reduce the administrative and paperwork burdens associated with the collection of information mandated by Federal regulations. In summary, FRA reasons that comments received will advance three objectives: (i) Reduce reporting burdens; (ii) ensure that it organizes information collection requirements in a “user friendly” format to improve the use of such information; and (iii) accurately assess the resources expended to retrieve and produce information requested. See 44 U.S.C. 3501.

Below is a brief summary of proposed new information collection activities that FRA will submit for clearance by OMB as required under the PRA:

Title: Work Schedules and Sleep Patterns of Maintenance of Way Employees.

OMB Control Number: 2130–New.

Abstract: In a continuing effort to improve rail safety and to reduce the number of injuries and fatalities to rail workers, FRA and the rail industry have recently focused on the issue of fatigue among train and engine crew personnel. Because railroading is an around-the-clock, seven-days-a-week operation and because a wide array of workers are needed both to operate and to maintain the nation's railroads, other crafts—besides train and engine crews—can also be subject to fatigue. The non-operating crafts, including locomotive and car repair, track maintenance, signal system maintenance and telecommunications, fall into this second category. FRA is proposing a study which will focus on maintenance of way employees, one of the non-operating railroad crafts. The project will be very similar in both method and scope to a current study focusing on railroad signalmen. To develop an understanding of the work schedule-related fatigue issues for maintenance of way employees, FRA proposes to undertake this study. The proposed study has two primary purposes: (1) It aims to document and characterize the work/rest schedules and sleep patterns

of the maintenance of way employees; and (2) It intends to examine the relationship between these schedules and level of alertness/fatigue for the individuals who work these schedules. The intent is to report results in the aggregate, not by railroad. Subjective ratings from participants of their alertness/sleepiness on both work and non-work days will be an integral part of this study. The data will be collected through the use of a daily diary or log, as well as a brief background questionnaire for each participant. Analysis of the diary data will allow FRA to assess whether or not there are any work-related fatigue issues for maintenance of way employees.

Form Number(s): FRA F 6180.113; FRA F 6180.114.

Affected Public: Rail Workers.

Respondent Universe: 338 Maintenance of Way Employees.

Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

Estimated Annual Burden: 874 hours.

Status: Regular Review.

Pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b), 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Issued in Washington, DC on October 14, 2003.

Kathy A. Weiner,

Director, Office of Information Technology and Support Systems, Federal Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–26377 Filed 10–17–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), §§ 211.9 and 211.41 notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has received a request for waiver of compliance from certain requirements of Federal railroad safety regulations. The individual petition is described below, including the parties seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

Alaska Railroad Corporation

[Docket Number FRA–2003–15756]

The Alaska Railroad Corporation (ARRC) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain sections of 49 CFR parts 216, Special Notice and Emergency Order Procedures: Railroad Track, Locomotive and Equipment; 217, Railroad Operating Rules; 218, Railroad Operating Practices; 229, Railroad Locomotive Safety Standards; 233, Signal Systems Reporting Requirements; 235, Instructions Governing Applications for Approval of a Discontinuance or Material Modification of a Signal System or Relief from the Requirements of Part 236; 236, Rules, Standards, and Instructions Governing the Installation, Inspection, Maintenance, and Repair of Signal and Train Control Systems, Devices, and Appliances; and 240, Qualification and Certification Of Locomotive Engineers, under § 211.51, Tests, to allow them to acquire, test, and implement technology designed to prevent train collisions, overspeed violations, and protect roadway workers. The program will enable ARRC to demonstrate and validate an integrated system provided by three vendors, Quantum Engineering, Inc. provider of the on-board equipment, Meteor Communications Corporation, provider of the communications backbone and wayside devices, and Engesis, provider of the computer-aided dispatching (CAD) system. This technology is referred to as a Collision Avoidance System (CAS).

Petitioner's Justification

The petitioner provided the following justification for relief:

CAS is a communications-based train control system designed to enhance safety by precisely managing the movements of locomotives, trains, and on-track equipment in real time. The CAS safety enhancements are achieved through a communications-based system that enforces movement authority and speed restrictions for CAS equipped trains.

The CAS integrates four segments to provide the enforcement: the location segment, the locomotive segment, the dispatcher system segment, and the communications segment. The location segment utilizes Global Position System (GPS) satellites to precisely determine the location of equipped locomotives and/or end of train devices using Differential GPS based location system. The dispatcher segment provides full support for train dispatching over the ARRC. The system is field proven, runs in logical modules, and is interfaced