For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

#### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–19600 Filed 7–31–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL01-118-000 and EL01-118-001]

#### Investigation of Terms and Conditions of Public Utility Market-Based Rate Authorizations; Order Addressing Application of Ex Parte Rule and Requests for Extension of Time

#### Issued: July 25, 2003.

Before Commissioners: Pat Wood, III, Chairman; William L. Massey, and Nora Mead Brownell. 104 FERC ¶ 61,132.

1. This order addresses requests related to the Commission's earlier order in these dockets, issued June 26, 2003, under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act,<sup>1</sup> requesting comments on a proposal to condition all new and existing market-based rate tariffs and authorizations to include a provision prohibiting the seller from engaging in anticompetitive behavior or the exercise of market power. Order Seeking Comments on Proposed Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariffs and Authorizations, 103 FERC ¶61,349 (2003). The Commission has received two requests that it find that its *ex parte* rule<sup>2</sup> does not apply, from the Electric Power Supply Association (EPSA) and jointly from the Edison Electric Institute and the Alliance of Energy Suppliers (EEI/AES). EEI/AES also requests an extension of the time for comments and the scheduling of a technical conference.

### Background

2. The Commission issued its June 26 order as part of the electric dockets indicated in the caption above. The order proposed market behavior rules that would apply to all market-based tariffs and rate authorizations. 103 FERC ¶ 61,349, ¶ 16. The Commission solicited comments from all interested entities. Id., ¶ 52.

3. On the same date, the Commission issued a proposal to amend the blanket certificates for unbundled gas sales services by interstate natural gas pipelines and the blanket marketing certificates held by persons making sales for resale of gas at negotiated rates. This proposal was similar in intent to the electric proposal issued in this proceeding: the Commission proposed to require that pipelines and all sellers for resale adhere to a code of conduct with respect to gas sales. The gas proposals was issued in a new docket, which was designated as a rulemaking docket, No. RM03–10–000. Amendments to Blanket Sales Certificates, 103 FERC ¶ 61,350 (2003). Like the June 26 electric order, the gas order sought comment from interested persons. Id., ¶ 31.

### Discussion

4. EPSA and EEI/ESA both request that the Commission treat this proceeding as a rulemaking, thus making its ex parte rule inapplicable. See 385.2201(c)(1)(ii) (ex parte rules do not apply to notice-and-comment rulemakings). EEI/ESA note that the electric proposal is generic in nature, and state that treatment as a rulemaking will better facilitate open discussion between the Commission and interested parties. EEI/ESA Motion at p.3. EPSA points out that the only effective difference between the electric and gas proposals, for purposes of the comment procedures, is the differing docket designations. EPSA states that, because the Commission in this proceeding is contemplating measures that would apply generally, and not just to specific parties in a contested proceeding, the purposes of the *ex parte* rules would not be served by their application here. EPSA Motion at pp. 2–4.

5. The Commission concludes that the approach adopted in the June 26 order is the functional equivalent of a rulemaking with respect to the applicability of Rule 2201. The order seeks comments and reply comments from interested entities, and does not limit participation to parties. To that end, the order was published in the Federal Register, as is the case with notice-and-comment rulemakings. More to the point, the Commission is not conducting an adjudication between parties, and intends the outcome here to ĥave generic effect. See 103 FERC  $\P$  61,349,  $\P$  6, note 5.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, for the reasons that the Commission found appropriate when it excluded rulemakings from the coverage of the prohibitions on off-the-record proceedings, the Commission believes that this proceeding should also be excluded from the coverage of Rule

2201.<sup>4</sup> In particular, the Commission believes that robust debate in what is essentially a legislative proceeding will be enhanced by removing the restrictions of the *ex parte* rule.

6. EEI/ESA made two further requests. They asked that the Commission extend the deadlines for comments by 60 days. Currently, initial comments are due on August 8, 2003, and reply comments on September 8. EEI/ESA state that an extension will allow interested parties sufficient time to prepare detailed and constructive comments. EEI/ESA Motion at pp. 2–3. EEI/ESA also ask that the Commission schedule a technical conference. *Id.* at p. 4.

7. The Commission does not believe that the requested extension is warranted and does not wish to delay proceedings significantly. However, it will grant a limited extension as follows. Comments will be due on August 18, 2003. Reply comments will be due on September 18, 2003.

The Commission orders:

(A) The requests to treat this proceeding as a rulemaking for the purposes of the applicability of Rule 2201, are granted;

(B) The motions for extension are granted as discussed;

(C) The Commission will determine at a later time whether to convene a technical conference;

(D) The Secretary shall promptly publish this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

#### Linda Mitry,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–19609 Filed 7–31–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### [ER-FRL-6642-5]

# Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or *http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.* 

- Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements
- Filed July 21, 2003 through July 25, 2003
- Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.
- EIS No. 030341, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Lower Santa Ynez River Fish Management Plan and Cachuma Project, Biological Opinion for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>16 U.S.C. 824e (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 18 CFR 385.2201 (2003) (Rule 2201).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission still does not intend, however, to amend Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The approach proposed in the June 26 order focuses on changes to the sellers' tariffs, and does not include regulatory changes.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  See Order No. 607, 88 FERC  $\P$  61,225 (1999), at pp. 15–16.

Southern Steelhead Trout, To Improve Habitat Conditions for the Endangered Southern Steelhead, Santa Barbara County, CA, Comment Period Ends: September 15, 2003, Contact: David Young (559) 487–5127.

- EIS No. 030342, Draft EIS, NOA, Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish, and Sharks Fishery Management Plan, To Prevent Overfishing and Rebuild Overfished Species, Update Essential Fish Habitat, Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea, Comment Period Ends: September 23, 2003, Contact: Christopher Rogers (301) 713–2347.
- EIS No. 030343, Final EIS, AFS, UT, Duck Creek—Swains Access (Duck/ Swains), Management Project, Wildlife Habitat, Soil and Watershed Conditions and Motorized Vehicle Use Management Improvements, Dixie National Forest, Cedar City Ranger District, Iron, Garfield and Kane Counties, UT, Wait Period Ends: September 2, 2003, Contact: Ronald S. Wilson (435) 865–3200.
- EIS No. 030344, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Finger Mountain Timber Sales, Timber Harvesting, Implementation, U.S. Coast Guard, NPDES and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Tongass National Forest, Sitka Ranger District, AK, Wait Period Ends: September 2, 2003, Contact: Rick Abt (907) 747– 4226.
- EIS No. 030345, Final EIS, AFS, WA, Gardin—Taco Ecosystem Restoration Projects, Implementation, Vegetative Restoration, Road Closures, and Decommissioning, and other Road Improvements, Colville National Forest, Newport Ranger District, Pend Oreille and Stevens Counties, WA, Wait Period Ends: September 2, 2003, Contact: Lynn Kaney (509) 447–7300.
- EIS No. 030346, Final EIS, FHW, WV, New River Parkway Project, Design, Construction and Management, between I–64 Interchanges to Hinton, Raleigh and Summers Counties, WV, Wait Period Ends: September 19, 2003, Contact: Greg Akers (304) 558– 2885.
- EIS No. 030347, Draft EIS, NRS, OK, Cavalry Creek Watershed Supplemental Plan for Floodwater Retarding Structure No. 6, Washita River Basin, Washita County, OK, Comment Period Ends: September 15, 2003, Contact: M. Darrel Dominick (405) 742–1206.
- EIS No. 030348, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Mendota Pool 10-Year Exchange Agreements, To Provide Water to Irrigable Lands, Central Valley Project Improvement (CVPIA), Fresno and Madera Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: September 30, 2003, Contact: David Young (559) 487–5127.

This document is available on the Internet at: *http://www.usbr.gov* or *http://www.entrix.com*.

- EIS No. 030349, Draft EIS, NOA, PR, VI, Generic Essential Fish Habitat Amendment To: Spiny Lobster, Queen Conch, Reef Fish and Coral Fishery Management Plans, Implementation, U.S. Caribbean, Extending to U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (NEZ), Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico, Comment Period Ends: October 30, 2003, Contact: David Dale (727) 570–5317.
- EIS No. 030350, Final EIS, BLM, ID, North Rasmussen Ridge Mine, Agrium Conda Phosphate Operations, Proposal to Extend the Existing Mining Operations, Federal Phosphate Leases I–04375 and I– 07619 within the Caribou-Targhee National Forest, and State Lease I– 9313, Soda Springs, Caribou County, ID, Wait Period Ends: September 2, 2003, Contact: Wendell Johnson (208) 478–6353.
- EIS No. 030351, Final EIS, JUS, CA, 14-Mile Border Infrastructure System Completion along the United States and Mexico Border, Areas I, V and VI, Pacific Ocean to just east of Tin Can Hill, San Diego County, CA, Wait Period Ends: September 2, 2003, Contact: Kevin Feeney (202) 353– 9412.
- EIS No. 030352, Second Draft EIS (TIERING) FHW, MI, MI–59 Livingston County Widening Project, between I–96 and U.S. 23, Practical Alternative and a No Build Alternative for Consideration in the Right-of-Way Preservation Corridor, Funding, NPDES and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Livingston County, MI, Comment Period Ends: September 15, 2003, Contact: Abdelmoez Abdalla (517) 702–1820.
- EIS No. 030353, Draft EIS, USA, LA, 2nd Armored Cavalry Regiment Transformation and Installation Mission Support, Joint Readiness Training Center (JRTC) Stryker Brigade Combat Team, Long-Term Military Training Use of Kisatchie National Forest Lands, Fort Polk, LA, Comment Period Ends: September 15, 2003, Contact: Stacy Basham-Wagner (337) 531–7458.

### Amended Notices

EIS No. 030194, Draft EIS, AFS, SD, Sioux Ranger District Oil and Gas Leasing Project, Implementation, Sioux Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Harding County, SD, Comment Period Ends: August 7, 2003, Contact: Laurie Waters-Clark (605) 707–4432. Revision of FR Notice Published on 5/ 9/2003: CEQ Comment Period Ending 7/8/2003 has been Extended to 8/7/ 2003.

EIS No. 030283, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, North End Sheep Allotment Management Plan (AMP) Revision, Proposal to Authorize Continued Livestock Use, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Soda Springs Ranger District, Caribou and Bonneville Counties, ID, Comment Period Ends: August 18, 2003, Contact: Derek Hinckley (208) 547–4356. Revision of FR Notice Published on 6/20/2003: CEQ Comment Period Ending on 8/4/2003 has been Extended to 8/18/2003.

Dated: July 28, 2003.

#### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 03–19641 Filed 7–31–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### [ER-FRL-6642-6]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D–AFS–J65383–MT Rating EC2, Logan Creek Ecosystem Restoration Project, Hazardous Fuel Reduction across the Landscape and Vegetation Management Restoration or Maintenance, Flathead National Forest, Tally Lake Ranger District, Flathead County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with public health and safety issues and recommended the final EIS include a comprehensive risk notification and communication program for the community. The final EIS should also include additional information on facility design, safety features and risk assessment and analysis.

ERP No. D–AFS–K65256–NV Rating EO2, Jarbidge Canyon Project, Road Management Plan Implementation,