DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 03–05–C–00–EUG To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Mahlon Sweet Field, Submitted by the City of Eugene, Mahlon Sweet Field, Eugene, OR

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at Mahlon Sweet Field under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 2, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. J. Wade Bryant, Manager; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA—ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055–4506.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Robert Noble, Airport Manager, at the following address: 28855 Lockheed Drive, Eugene, Oregon 97402.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Mahlon Sweet Field, under § 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Suzanne Lee-Pang, (425) 227–2654, Seattle Airports District Office, SEA–AD0; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055–4056. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application 03–05–C–00–EUG to impose and use PFC revenue at Mahlon Sweet Field, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On July 25, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by City of Eugene, Mahlon Sweet Field, Eugene, Oregon, was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 25, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the application: Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed charge effective date: January 1, 2004. Proposed charge expiration date: October 31, 2005. Total requested for use approval: \$2,032,935. Brief description of proposed project: Parallel runway 16L/34R construction, Construct and expand apron.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFC's: Operations by Air Taxi/Commercial Operators utilizing aircraft having a maximum seating capacity of less than twenty passengers when enplaning revenue passengers in a limited, irregular/nonscheduled, or special service manner. Also exempted are operations by Air Taxi/Commercial Operators, without regard to seating capacity, for revenue passengers transported for student instruction, non-stop sightseeing flights that begin and end at the airport and are conducted within a 25 mile radius of the same airport, fire fighting charters, ferry or training flights, air ambulance/ medivac flights and aerial photography or survey flights.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, ANM–600, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055–4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Mahlon Sweet Field.

Issued in Renton, Washington on July 25, 2003.

David A. Field,

Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 03–19529 Filed 7–30–03; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Policy Statement on Standardization of Application Regarding Hazardous Misleading Heading Information for Attitude-Heading Reference Systems (AHRS); PS-ACE100-2002-003

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of issuance of policy.

SUMMARY: This document announces the issuance of PS-ACE100-2002-003. The purpose of this policy statement is to clarify Federal Aviation Administration certification policy on the application of AC 23.1309-1C, Equipment, Systems, and Installations in Part 23 Airplanes, regarding hazardous misleading heading information.

DATES: PS-ACE100-2002-003 was issued by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate on May 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: A paper copy of PS—ACE100–2002–003 may be obtained by contacting Mr. Erv Dvorak, Standards Office, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, telephone (816) 329–4123, fax (816) 329–4090. The policy will also be available on the Internet at http://www.airweb.faa.gov/policy.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on July 15, 2003.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03–19528 Filed 7–30–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2003-15783]

Decision That Certain Nonconforming Motor Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of decision by NHTSA that certain nonconforming motor vehicles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces decisions by NHTSA that certain motor vehicles not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because they are either (1) substantially similar to vehicles originally manufactured for importation into and/or sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturers as complying with the safety standards, and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards, or (2) they have safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all such standards.

DATES: These decisions are effective as of the date of their publication in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151). SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Where there is no substantially similar U.S.-certified motor vehicle, 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(B) permits a nonconforming motor vehicle to be admitted into the United States if its safety features comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards based on destructive test data or such other evidence as NHTSA decides to be adequate.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

NHTSA received petitions from registered importers to decide whether the vehicles listed in Annex A to this notice are eligible for importation into the United States. To afford an opportunity for public comment, NHTSA published notice of these petitions as specified in Annex A. The reader is referred to those notices for a thorough description of the petitions. No comments were received in response to these notices. Based on its review of the information submitted by the petitioners, NHTSA has decided to grant the petitions.

Vehicle Eligibility Number for Subject Vehicles

The importer of a vehicle admissible under any final decision must indicate

on the form HS–7 accompanying entry the appropriate vehicle eligibility number indicating that the vehicle is eligible for entry. Vehicle eligibility numbers assigned to vehicles admissible under this decision are specified in Annex A.

Final Decision

Accordingly, on the basis of the foregoing, NHTSA hereby decides that each motor vehicle listed in Annex A to this notice, which was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards, is either (1) substantially similar to a motor vehicle manufactured for importation into and/or sale in the United States, and certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, as specified in Annex A, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards or (2) has safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: July 28, 2003.

Kenneth N. Weinstein,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

Annex A

Nonconforming Motor Vehicles Decided To Be Eligible for Importation

1. Docket No. NHTSA-2003-15172

Nonconforming Vehicle: 2001–2003 Mercedes Benz Type 463 short wheel base (SWB) Gelaendewagen Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles (Cabriolet and the Three Door Models)

Because there are no substantially similar U.S.-certified versions of the 2001–2003 Mercedes Benz Type 463 short wheel base (SWB) Gelaendewagen, the petition sought import eligibility under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(B).

Notice of Petition Published at: 68 FR 28877 (May 27, 2003)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VCP-25

2. Docket No. NHTSA-2003-15353

Nonconforming Vehicles: 2002 BMW Z8 Passenger Cars

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicle: 2002 BMW Z8 Passenger Cars

Notice of Petition Published at: 68 FR 34477 (June 9, 2003)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP-406

3. Docket No. NHTSA-2003-15386

Nonconforming Vehicle: 2001 Ducati Monster 600 Motorcycles Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicle: 2001 Ducati Monster 600 Motorcycles

Notice of Petition Published at: 68 FR 35773 (June 16, 2003)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP-407

[FR Doc. 03–19524 Filed 7–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

Notice of Public Information Collection Submitted to OMB for Review

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Extension of a currently approved collection.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval the following proposal for collection of information as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title: Financial Assistance of Railroad Lines.

OMB Form Number: 2140–0003. No. of Respondents: 9. Total Burden Hours: 315.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments by September 2, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to the Surface Transportation Board, Room 705, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423. When submitting comments refer to the OMB number and title of the information collection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barbara G. Saddler, (202) 565–1656. Requests for copies of the information collection may be obtained by contacting Barbara G. Saddler (202) 565–1656.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Surface Transportation Board is, by statute, responsible for the economic regulation of surface transportation carriers operating in interstate and foreign commerce. The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Public Law 104-88, 109 Stat. 803 (1995), which took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission and transferred the responsibility for regulating rail transportation, including the proposed abandonment and discontinuance of rail lines, to the Surface Transportation Board. The Board needs, in each abandonment exemption proceeding, a detailed map of the rail line, depicting its relation to other rail lines, roads,