Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for redesign of the airspace in the Baltimore-Washington area. The proposed action is to redesign the airspace in the Baltimore-Washington metropolitan area excluding noise abatement procedures. This involves developing new routes, altitudes and procedures to take advantage of the new Potomac Consolidated TRACON, improved aircraft performance, and emerging air traffic control technologies. The proposed action is not dependent on development at any of the airports in the study area.

The airspace redesign study encompasses the area within a 75nautical mile radius centered on a radio navigational aid in Georgetown, within the District of Columbia. The study area comprises portions of five states— Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia—and the entire District.

This EIS is tiered from an earlier EIS that evaluated environmental impacts that could result from a decision to physically consolidate the four Baltimore-Washington metropolitan areas TRACONs into a new building somewhere in the area. The first tier or "building EIS" resulted in FAA issuing a Record of Decision (ROD) on June 3, 1999. The ROD documented the decision to consolidate four existing TRACONs into a new facility at Vint Hill in Fauquier County, Virginia. Subsequent to the ROD, the decision was made to consolidate the Richmond TRACON into the PCT. However, the incorporation of the Richmond TRACON has no effect on the scope of the airspace redesign.

The purpose of this airspace redesign is to take full advantage of the benefits afforded by the newly consolidated TRACON facility by increasing air traffic efficiency and enhancing safety in the Baltimore-Washington metropolitan area.

Copies of the FEIS are available for review at major libraries in the study area. A summary of the FEIS can be viewed on the Internet at *http:// www.faa.gov/ats/potomac.*

DATES: Written comments on the FEIS will be accepted until February 18, 2003. Written comments may be sent to: FAA Potomac TRACON, Air Traffic 2400, Attention: Fred Bankert, 3699 Macintosh Drive, Warrenton, VA 20187.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Potomac Consolidated TRACON (800) 762–9531, E-mail: 9–AEA–PTC-Comments@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A TRACON facility provides radar air traffic control services to aircraft

operating on Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and Visual Flight Rules (VFR) procedures generally beyond 5 miles and within 50 miles of the host airport at altitudes from the surface to approximately 17,000 feet. These distances and altitudes may vary depending on local conditions and infrastructural constraints such as adequate radar and radio frequency coverage. The primary functions of the TRACON is to provide a variety of air traffic control services to arrival, departure, and transient aircraft within its assigned airspace. These services include aircraft separation, in flight traffic advisories and navigational assistance. When fully operational, the Potomac Consolidated TRACON will provide terminal radar air traffic control services to the four major airports and a number of small reliever airports located within the Baltimore-Washington area. It will also provide service to the Richmond, VA airport.

This EIS considers four airspace redesign alternatives consisting of a No Action Alternative and three other alternatives that address changes in routes and altitudes for aircraft away from the close-in airport environment. Changes to initial departure of final arrival procedures are not proposed. Generally, aircraft would be three to five miles from the departure/arrival airport before the changes that are proposed for each alternative would take effect, with the exception of the No Action Alternative, which considers no changes to the existing airspace. None of the alternatives would produce significant environmental impact. Additionally, current noise abatement procedures at the airports would not be changed under any of the alternatives. The FEIS identifies Alternative 2 at the Preferred Alternative.

Dated: January 14, 2003 in Washington, DC.

Alan Hendry,

Program Director, Potomac Consolidated TRACON.

[FR Doc. 03–1352 Filed 1–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2003-02]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of petition for exemption received.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption, part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of a certain petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before February 11, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the petition to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You must identify docket number FAA–2002–13885–1 at the beginning of your comments. If you wish to receive confirmation that the FAA received your comments, include a self-addressed, stamped postcard.

You may also submit comments through the Internet to *http:// dms.dot.gov.* You may review the public docket containing the petition, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Dockets Office (telephone 1–800–647–5527) is on the plaza level of the NASSIF Building at the Department of Transportation at the above address. Also, you may review public dockets on the Internet at *http://dms.dot.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carol Greb (816–329–4136), Small Airplane Directorate (ACE–111), Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; or Vanessa Wilkins (202–267–8029), Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591. This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 15, 2003.

Donald P. Byrne,

 $\ Assistant\ Chief\ Counsel\ for\ Regulations.$

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA–2002–13885–1. Petitioner: Raytheon Aircraft Services. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR part 23, 23.807(d)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought: Raytheon Aircraft Services (RAS) seeks exemption from 14 CFR 23.807(d)(1)(ii) for the Model 1900D. This rule requires commuter category aircraft, with a passenger seating capacity of 16 or greater, to be equipped with an accessible emergency exit on the same side of the aircraft as the entry door and two exits on the opposite side of the aircraft. RAS seeks a Supplemental Type Certificate for the 1900D to install a cargo configuration floor plan. The floor plan design would allow access to the forward entry door, but block access to the emergency exit on that side of the airplane. An emergency exit on the opposite side of the airplane would remain accessible.

The cargo configuration floor plan allows seating for three occupants: the pilot, co-pilot, and an observer. The baseline Model 1900D is certificated to a total passenger seating capacity of 19, and is equipped with a main cabin door and three emergency exits, per 14 CFR 23.807(d)(1)(ii). A simple comparison shows that egress for three persons through two exits, one on each side of the cabin, gives a level of safety equal to the original certification basis.

[FR Doc. 03–1318 Filed 1–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Jackson International Airport, Jackson, MS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Jackson International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 21, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Jackson Airports District Office, 100 West Cross Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39208–2307.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Dirk Vanderleest, Executive Director of the Jackson Municipal Airport Authority at the following address: Post Office Box 98109, Jackson, MS 39298–8109.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Jackson Municipal Airport Authority under § 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Shumate, Program Manager, Jackson Airports District Office, 100 West Cross Street, Jackson, Mississippi (601) 664–9882. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Jackson International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On January 7, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Jackson Municipal Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than Date 120 days after receipt of application supplement.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application No.: 03–04–C–00– JAN.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed charge effective date: February 1, 2007.

Proposed charge expiration date: June 1, 2010.

Total estimated net PFC revenue: \$6,211,722.

Brief description of proposed project(s): Runway Sweeper; Tricherator; Local Share & Engineering West Parallel Lights; Local Share & Engineering West Taxiway Overlay; Local Share Air Cargo Road; Local Share Air Cargo Apron/Taxiway; H. F. Environmental Assessment; Metes & Bounds Survey; Surface Transportation System; Rehab International Drive.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: All air taxi/ commercial operators (ATCO) are requested to be excluded from the collection of a PFC.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office

listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Jackson Municipal Airport Authority.

Issued in Jackson, Mississippi on January 10, 2003.

Wayne Atkinson,

Manager, Jackson Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 03–1316 Filed 1–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application (#03–03–C–00–SGU) To Impose and To Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at the St. George Municipal Airport, Submitted by the City of St. George, UT

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent To Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use a PFC at the St. George Municipal Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 21, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Alan Wiechmann, Manager; Denver Airports District Office, DEN–ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 26805 E. 68th Avenue, Suite 224; Denver, CO 80249–6361.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. David R. Ulane, Airport Manager, at the following address: St. George Municipal Airport, 620 S. Airport Road, St. George, Utah 84770.

Air Carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the St. George Municipal Airport, under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Christopher Schaffer, (303) 342–1258; Denver Airports District Office, DEN– ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 26805 E. 68th Avenue, Suite 224; Denver, CO 80249–6361. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.