the documents referenced in this AD from the Cessna Aircraft Company, Product Support, P.O. Box 7706, Wichita, Kansas 67277; telephone: (316) 517–5800; facsimile: (316) 942–9006. You may view these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(g) *Does this AD action affect any existing AD actions?* This amendment supersedes AD 2000–23–01, Amendment 39–11971.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 9, 2003.

James E. Jackson,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03–12111 Filed 5–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

21 CFR Part 1308

[Docket No. DEA–162W]

Schedules of Controlled Substances: Proposed Removal of Fenfluramine From the Controlled Substances Act; Withdrawal of Proposed Rule

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice.

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) is withdrawing a proposed rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of May 6, 1997 (62 FR 24620) and is terminating the rulemaking. The proposed rule would have removed fenfluramine from schedule IV of the Controlled Substances Act. The drug's manufacturer has withdrawn its original petition that requested decontrol. DEA has determined that fenfluramine should remain in schedule IV due to the withdrawal of the petition, the removal of products containing the drug from the United States marketplace, and the public health and safety concerns expressed by the Department of Health and Human Services that arose after publication of the proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Sapienza, Chief, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, (202) 307–7183.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1973, fenfluramine, its salts, isomers and salts of isomers were placed into schedule IV of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA), 21 CFR 1308.14(d). On March 18, 1991, Interneuron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., the manufacturer of a fenfluramine product (dexfenfluramine, brand name Redux), petitioned DEA to decontrol fenfluramine. The fenfluramine product Redux, an anorectic indicated for the management of exogenous obesity, was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) for marketing in the United States in 1996. After receiving Interneuron's petition, and in accordance with the CSA requirements at 21 U.S.C. 811(b), DEA reviewed available data about fenfluramine. On June 3, 1996, the DHHS Assistant Secretary of Health submitted a recommendation to DEA that the substance be decontrolled. As a result of DEA's review and DHHS's recommendation, a notice of proposed rulemaking titled ''Schedules of Controlled Substances: Proposed Removal of Fenfluramine From the Controlled Substances Act'' was published on May 6, 1997 in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 24620). This notice of proposed rulemaking was in direct response to Interneuron's petition to decontrol fenfluramine. A sixty day comment period was provided during which four comments were received, two in favor of the proposed action and two against decontrol.

On July 8, 1997, two months after the proposed rulemaking was published, FDA issued a public health advisory regarding the use of fenfluramine, especially in conjunction with phentermine (commonly known as ''fen-phen''), citing evidence of significant side effects associated with fenfluramine. FDA announced a voluntary withdrawal by the pharmaceutical manufacturers of fenfluramine (brand name Pondimin) and dexfenfluramine (brand name Redux) from United States markets on September 15, 1997. DHHS issued a final rule on March 8, 1999 listing drug products that were withdrawn or removed from the market because they were found to be unsafe or not effective, including fenfluramine hydrochloride. (64 FR 10944). This regulation is codified at 21 CFR 216.24.

In a February 27, 2003 letter addressed to DEA's Acting Administrator, John B. Brown III, Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., formerly known as Interneuron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., wrote to withdraw its petition to decontrol fenfluramine because the company no longer markets fenfluramine products in the United States.

As a result of the recent withdrawal of the petition and the earlier removal of the drug from the United States marketplace by FDA due to health and safety concerns, DEA now has reason to reconsider its proposed rulemaking. DEA no longer considers it appropriate to remove fenfluramine from schedule IV. The health and safety concerns that prompted the manufacturers' voluntary withdrawal of fenfluramine from the marketplace and DHHS's subsequent codification of this withdrawal, *see* 21 CFR 216.24, occurred after DEA's proposed rulemaking was published. Based on these events, DEA has determined that fenfluramine's current placement in schedule IV should not be altered. Accordingly, DEA withdraws the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on May 6, 1997 (62 FR 24620) and hereby terminates this rulemaking.

Dated: May 2, 2003.

John B. Brown, III,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03–12150 Filed 5–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD05–03–023]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety and Security Zone; Cove Point Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal, Chesapeake Bay, MD

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; notice of public meeting; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: On March 20, 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Baltimore (COTP) published a notice of proposed rulemaking for revising a safety and security zone for the Cove Point Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal. In response to that notice, the COTP received requests for a public meeting to discuss the proposed rule. In this notice, the COTP is announcing a public meeting to receive comments regarding the proposed safety and security zone and is reopening the comment period for this rulemaking.

DATES: The meeting will be held Thursday, June 5, 2003, from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m. Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before June 12, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The meeting location is: The Holiday Inn, 155 Holiday Drive, Solomon's Island, Maryland. You may mail comments and related material to Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Activities, 2401 Hawkins Point Road,

Building 70, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, Baltimore, Maryland, 21226–1791. The Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch of Coast Guard Activities Baltimore maintains the public docket, CGD05–03–023, for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Activities, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Building 70, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, Baltimore, Maryland, 21226–1791 between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Commander Gordon Loebl at U.S. Coast Guard Activities Baltimore (410) 576–2526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background Information

On March 20, 2003, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking entitled ''Safety and Security Zone; Cove Point Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland. (68 FR 13647). The Coast Guard received several requests for public meetings before the comment period closed on April 21, 2003. The Captain of the Port has decided that a public meeting is in the public's interest and is therefore issuing this notice to advise the public of the time and place of the meeting, and of the reopening of the comment period.

Public Meeting

The public meeting will be held June 5, 2003, from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m., at the Holiday Inn, 155 Holiday Drive, Solomon's Island, Maryland. Attendance is open to the public. During this meeting, the Coast Guard will receive comments from the public on the proposed rule for the safety and security zone.

With advance notice, members of the public may provide oral statements. Oral statements will be limited to five minutes. Persons wishing to make oral statements should notify Commander Gordon Loebl listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** no later than two days before the meeting.

Written comments may be submitted to the docket under **ADDRESSES** 30 minutes before, during, or up to one week after the meeting. You may also submit written comments directly to Coast Guard personnel at the public meeting.

Information on Services for Individuals With Disabilities

For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities, or to request assistance at the meeting, contact Commander Gordon Loebl listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** as soon as possible.

Dated: May 5, 2003.

Roger B. Peoples,

Captain, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Baltimore, MD.

[FR Doc. 03–12050 Filed 5–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NO. UT–001–0052b; FRL–7483–5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Utah; Continuous Emission Monitoring Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to take direct final action approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Governor of Utah on September 7, 1999 and February 11, 2003. The September 7, 1999 submittal revises Utah's Air Conservation Regulations (UACR) by repealing and re-enacting the Continuous Emission Monitoring Program (CEM) rule in order to clarify the requirements of the rule. The February 11, 2003 submittal makes additional revisions to the CEM rule to make it in agreement with Federal regulations and the Clean Air Act (CAA). The intended effect of this action is to make the CEM rule federally enforceable. This action is being taken under section 110 of the CAA.

In the ''Rules and Regulations'' section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before June 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 150 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel Dygowski , EPA, Region 8, (303) 312–6144.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 3, 2003.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 03–12030 Filed 5–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[CO–001–0070b; FRL–7489–3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plan; Colorado; Designation of Area for Air Quality Planning Purposes, Aspen

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Governor of the State of Colorado on November 9, 2001, for the purpose of redesignating