of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION **AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231, 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6 and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. Add temporary § 165.T05-043 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T05-043 Safety Zone; Amtrak Railroad Bridge, Susquehanna River, Havre de Grace, Maryland.

(a) Regulated Area. The waters of the Susquehanna River, 10 yards in all directions from the swing portion of the Amtrak Railroad Bridge (Mile 1.0 on the Susquehanna River.)

(b) Regulations. Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the safety zone.

(c) Effective date. This section is effective from 5 p.m. on April 23, 2003 through 5 p.m. on May 23, 2003.

Dated: April 23, 2003.

### Evan Q. Kahler,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland. [FR Doc. 03-11298 Filed 5-6-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 165 [COTP San Francisco Bay 03-008] RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety Zone; San Francisco Bay, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone in the navigable waters of San Francisco Bay, California, off the San Francisco waterfront, for the "KFOG KaBoom" fireworks display. The safety zone will encompass the navigable waters within a 1,000-foot radius of the launch platform, which will be located approximately 1,000 feet off Piers 30 and 32 in San Francisco, California. This safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of mariners in the vicinity of the fireworks display and for the safety of the vessel, its crew, and technicians working the fireworks launch barge and the pyrotechnics. **DATES:** This temporary rule is effective from 7 p.m. to 10 p.m. on May 10, 2003. ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of the docket [COTP San Francisco Bay 03-008] and are available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Marine Safety Office San Francisco Bay, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, California, 94501, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Diana J. Cranston, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office San Francisco Bay, at (510) 437-3073.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) and 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM and for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Because the event's sponsor scheduled this year's event on a date inconsistent with the date listed in Table 1 to 33 CFR 165.1191 (Safety Zones: Northern California annual fireworks events), a temporary final rule became necessary. Due to specific event sponsored logistical coordination issues, the Coast Guard only recently became aware of

the date change, and therefore there was insufficient time for the Coast Guard to draft and publish an NPRM, or a temporary final rule 30 days prior to the event. As such, the event would occur before the rulemaking process was complete. Any delay in implementing this rule would be contrary to the public interest since immediate action is necessary to temporarily close the fireworks area and to protect the maritime public from the hazards associated with these fireworks displays, which are intended for public entertainment.

On July 21, 1999, we published a final rule entitled "Special Local Regulations and Safety Zones; Northern California Annual Marine Events" in the **Federal** Register (64 FR 39027), after publishing an NPRM on August 31, 1998 (63 FR 46206). The July 21, 1999 final rule, among other things, added a master list of recurring fireworks events to the Code of Federal Regulations in new § 165.1112 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations. This section was redesignated as § 165.1191 on June 25, 2001 (66 FR 33642). Table 1 to § 165.1191 lists the annual date for "KFOG KaBoom" as "Last Saturday in May.'

This year's event will take place on May 10, 2003. The Coast Guard will work with the event sponsor to determine the date of future KFOG KaBoom events. If necessary, the Coast Guard will publish an NPRM to propose appropriate changes to 33 CFR § 165.1191, so mariners and members of the public can better anticipate future fireworks events in Northern California.

# **Background and Purpose**

The KFOG KaBoom is an annual fireworks show, which combines fireworks and music and is presented by KFOG, a San Francisco radio station. This safety zone is necessary to protect the spectators, and vessels and other property from the hazards associated with the fireworks show. This temporary safety zone will consist of a small portion of the navigable waters of the San Francisco Bay along the San Francisco waterfront.

#### Discussion of Rule

The temporary safety zone consists of the navigable waters of San Francisco Bay within a 1,000 foot radius of the launch platform, located approximately 1,000 feet off Piers 30 and 32 in San Francisco, California. Entry into, transit through or anchoring within the safety zone by all vessels is prohibited, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Although this safety zone will restrict boating traffic, the effect of this regulation will not be significant as the safety zone is will affect only a small portion of the waterway and will be short in duration.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. For the same reasons set forth in the above Regulatory Evaluation, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b) that this rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on any substantial number of entities, regardless of their

Any impact to small entities would not be significant since this zone will encompass only a small portion of the waterway for a limited period of time and vessels can safely navigate around the safety zone.

# **Assistance For Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

# **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

# **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

# **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

# **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation because we are establishing a safety zone.

A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. From 7 p.m. to 10 p.m. on May 10, 2003, in § 165.1191 temporarily suspend the entry in Table 1 to the section for "KFOG KaBoom" and add a new temporary paragraph (c) to read as follows:

# § 165.1191 Safety Zones: Northern California annual fireworks events.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) KFOG KaBoom Safety Zone. The safety zone for KFOG KaBoom in San Francisco consists of the navigable waters within a 1,000-foot radius of the launch platform, which will be located approximately 1,000 feet off Piers 30 and 32 in San Francisco, California. This safety zone will be enforced from 7 p.m. PDT to 10 p.m. PDT on May 10, 2003. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this zone is prohibited, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or the Patrol Commander, or their designated representative.

Dated: April 25, 2003.

#### Gerald M. Swanson,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Francisco Bay, California. [FR Doc. 03–11299 Filed 5–6–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD136-3091a; FRL-7483-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Amendments to Stage II Vapor Recovery at Gasoline Dispensing Facilities

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Maryland State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions allow existing gasoline dispensing facilities to continue using installed vapor recovery equipment and require new gasoline dispensing facilities to be equipped with the most recently approved system. EPA is proposing to approve these revisions in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 7, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse written comment by June 6, 2003. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Makeba Morris, Acting Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room B108, Washington, DC 20460; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 705, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Anderson, (215) 814–2173, or

by e-mail at anderson.kathleen@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Background

On May 23, 2002, the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) submitted a formal revision (#02–03) to its State Implementation Plan (SIP) revising certain provisions in the State's regulations pertaining to Stage II Vapor Recovery at Gasoline Dispensing Stations. The SIP revision went to public hearing on February 27, 2002 and became effective on March 14, 2002. On April 5, 2002, MDE made corrections to the adopted rule to remove incorrectly placed brackets and an incorrect reference to a test method.

# II. Summary of SIP Revision

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) required states to develop regulations requiring owners or operators of certain gasoline dispensing facilities to install systems for recovery of gasoline vapor emissions. This requirement is also known as Stage II Vapor Recovery (Stage II) and is required in areas classified as moderate and above ozone nonattainment. Stage II is the control of gasoline vapors when dispensing gasoline into vehicle fuel tanks. The MDE adopted Stage II regulations on January 18, 1993 which became effective on February 15, 1993.

These regulations were submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on January 18, 1993 and approved as a final rule by EPA on June 9, 1994 (54 FR 29730).

Maryland's SIP-approved Stage II regulation requires the use of vapor recovery systems that have been certified or "approved" by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). In general, these systems are 95 percent efficient. However, CARB has decided to de-certify the existing approved systems in favor of those able to achieve an efficiency of 98 percent. This means that in California, all existing CARBapproved systems will be de-certified and will be required, within a specified time frame, to be re-certified using systems that meet, among other things, the new efficiency requirements. MDE is continuing to evaluate the CARB system changes. In the meantime, MDE will require existing gasoline dispensing facilities to continue to use the installed equipment and require new gasoline dispensing facilities to be equipped with a system that was approved by CARB prior to April 1, 2001.

The changes proposed by this SIP revision to MDE's Stage II regulations

are to:

(A) Redefine the term "approved Stage II Vapor Recovery System" as a system approved by CARB before April 1, 2001 or a system approved by the department. This change will require existing and new gas station operators to use systems that were previously approved by CARB.

(B) Identify "vapor assist system I" as the conventional vapor assist system and a "vapor assist system II" as the "Healy" system that requires different

tests.

(C) Clarify the requirements for continued use of an existing Stage II system regardless of ownership unless the monthly throughput drops below 10,000 gallons.

(D) Clarify the requirements when a person purchases a facility that is not equipped with an approved system.

(E) Allow approved systems to be used after April 1, 2001 (the date when CARB-approved systems are decertified) for both existing and new gasoline dispensing facilities.

(F) Require the use of a pressure/vacuum valve on gasoline tanks.

(G) Require owners to maintain inspection and testing reports on site and to notify the MDE of tests to be performed.

(H) Incorporate by reference the CARB-approved test methods.

EPA has reviewed these changes and has determined that the revisions continue to meet the CAAA requirements for states to have an