

American Engineering Record (HAER) standard records appropriate for documentation of the bridges are prepared for bridges that are removed, demolished, or are rehabilitated to the point that the historic integrity is affected.

3. For bridges that are to be replaced, the existing bridge is made available for an alternative use, provided a responsible party agrees to maintain and preserve the bridge; and

4. For bridges that are adversely affected, agreement among the NYSDOT, SHPO, and FHWA, is reached through the Canal Agreement, or through procedures individually implementing the NHPA, on measures to minimize harm and those measures are incorporated into the project. This programmatic section 4(f) evaluation does not apply to projects where such an agreement cannot be reached.

#### Procedures

This programmatic section 4(f) evaluation applies only when the FHWA Division Administrator:

1. Determines that the project meets the applicability criteria set forth above;

2. Determines that all of the alternatives set forth in the findings section have been fully evaluated;

3. Determines by use of the findings in this document that there are no feasible and prudent alternatives to the use of the historic bridge;

4. Determines that the project complies with the Measures to Minimize Harm section of this document;

5. Assures that implementation of the measures to minimize harm is completed;

6. Documents in the project file that the programmatic section 4(f) evaluation applies to the project on which it is to be used and;

7. Insures that the provisions of the Canal Agreement are followed to protect the integrity of the Historic Bridge and Historic Canal System.

#### Coordination

The Programmatic Agreement concerning Historic Bridges over the Historic Canal System is being

coordinated with the New York State Department of Transportation and the New York State Historic Preservation Officer. Pursuant to section 4(f), this programmatic agreement is being coordinated with the New York State Department of Transportation, the New York State Canal Corporation, and Departments of the Interior, Agriculture, and Housing and Urban Development.

Before applying this programmatic evaluation to projects requiring an individual bridge permit, the District Administrator shall coordinate with the U.S. Coast Guard District Commander.

Issued on January 6, 2003.

**Vincent P. Barone,**

*Assistant Division Administrator, New York Division, Federal Highway Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-571 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

**[Docket Nos. FMCSA-2000-7165, FMCSA-2000-7363, and FMCSA-2000-8203]**

##### Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of renewal of exemption; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This notice publishes the FMCSA's decision to renew the exemptions from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations for nine individuals.

**DATES:** This decision is effective January 13, 2003. Comments from interested persons should be submitted by February 12, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** You can mail or deliver comments to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Dockets Management Facility, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. You can also submit comments at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Please include the docket numbers that appear in the heading of this document in your

submission. You can examine and copy this document and all comments received at the same Internet address or at the Dockets Management Facility from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. If you want us to notify you that we received your comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope or postcard.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department of Transportation's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Sandra Zywockarte, Office of Bus and Truck Standards and Operations, (202) 366-2987, FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Exemption Decision

Under 49 U.S.C. §§ 31315 and 31316(e), the FMCSA may renew an exemption from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), which applies to drivers of commercial motor vehicles in interstate commerce, for a 2-year period if it finds "such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level that would be achieved absent such exemption." The procedures for receiving an exemption (including renewals) are set out in 49 CFR Part 381. This notice addresses nine individuals who have requested renewal of their exemptions in a timely manner. The FMCSA has evaluated these nine petitions for renewal on their merits and decided to extend each exemption for a renewable 2-year period. They are:

Timothy J. Bryant .....  
Robert J. Johnson .....  
Charles R. Kuderer .....

Thomas D. Laws .....  
Leo L. McMurray .....  
Jimmy R. Millage .....

Clifford C. Priesmeyer.  
George S. Rayson.  
Gerald R. Rietmann.

These exemptions are extended subject to the following conditions: (1) That each individual have a physical exam every year (a) by an

ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the standard in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), and (b) by a medical

examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that each individual provide a copy of the ophthalmologist's

or optometrist's report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver's qualification file and retain a copy of the certification on his/her person while driving for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official. Each exemption will be valid for 2 years unless rescinded earlier by the FMCSA. The exemption will be rescinded if: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e).

#### Basis for Renewing Exemptions

Under 49 U.S.C. 31315(b)(1), an exemption may be granted for no longer than 2 years from its approval date and may be renewed upon application for additional 2-year periods. In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e), each of the nine applicants has satisfied the entry conditions for obtaining an exemption from the vision requirements (63 FR 30285, 63 FR 54519, 65 FR 33406, 65 FR 57234, 65 FR 45817, 65 FR 77066), and three of the applicants have previously satisfied the conditions for renewing an exemption (65 FR 66293, 65 FR 77069). Each of these nine applicants has requested timely renewal of the exemption and has submitted evidence showing that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the standard specified at 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) and that the vision impairment is stable. In addition, a review of each record of safety while driving with the respective vision deficiencies over the past 2 years indicates each applicant continues to meet the vision exemption standards. These factors provide an adequate basis for predicting each driver's ability to continue to drive safely in interstate commerce. Therefore, the FMCSA concludes that extending the exemption for a period of 2 years is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to that existing without the exemption for each renewal applicant.

#### Comments

The FMCSA will review comments received at any time concerning a particular driver's safety record and determine if the continuation of the exemption is consistent with the requirements at 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e). However, the FMCSA requests

that interested parties with specific data concerning the safety records of these drivers submit comments by February 12, 2003.

In the past the FMCSA has received comments from Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety (Advocates) expressing continued opposition to the FMCSA's procedures for renewing exemptions from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10). Specifically, Advocates objects to the agency's extension of the exemptions without any opportunity for public comment prior to the decision to renew and reliance on a summary statement of evidence to make its decision to extend the exemption of each driver.

The issues raised by Advocates were addressed at length in 66 FR 17994 (April 4, 2001). The FMCSA continues to find its exemption process appropriate to the statutory and regulatory requirements.

Issued on: January 7, 2003.

**Brian M. McLaughlin,**

*Associate Administrator, Policy and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 03-579 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

January 2, 2003.

The Department of the Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 11000, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before February 10, 2003 to be assured of consideration.

### Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN)

*OMB Number:* 1506-0020.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Anti-Money Laundering Programs for Money Services, Businesses, Mutual Funds, and Operators of Credit Card Systems.

*Description:* This information collection, which applies to money

services businesses, mutual funds, and operators of credit card systems, will help to ensure that such entities are not used to facilitate money laundering or terrorist financing, and will enable federal agencies to examine such entities for compliance with the anti-money laundering program requirements.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Estimated Number of Recordkeepers:* 203,006.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Recordkeeper:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Reporting/Recordkeeping Burden:* 20,000 hours.

*Clearance Officer:* Steve Rudzinski, (703) 905-3845, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, 2070 Chain Bridge Road, Suite 200, Vienna, VA 22182.

*OMB Reviewer:* Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., (202) 395-7316, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

**Lois K. Holland,**

*Departmental Reports, Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-560 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Customs Service

[T.D. 03-03]

### Recordation of Trade Name: "ORTHOTEC"

**AGENCY:** Customs Service, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of final action.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice that "ORTHOTEC" is recorded by Customs as the trade name for Orthotec, L.L.C., a Delaware Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, located at 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 502, Beverly Hills, California 90212. This application for trade name recordation was properly submitted to Customs and published in the **Federal Register**. As no public comments in opposition to the recordation of this trade name was received by Customs within the 60-day comment period, the trade name is duly recorded with Customs and will remain in force as long as this trade name is used by this corporation, unless other action is required.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 7, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gwendolyn Savoy, Intellectual Property Rights Branch, Office of Regulations & Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, 1300