Dated: February 27, 2002. **Richard W. Surdi**, *Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.* [FR Doc. 02–5100 Filed 3–1–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Announcement of a Grace Period on Export Visa and Quota Requirements for Certain Textile Costumes Produced or Manufactured in Various Countries, Exported Before April 1, 2002, and Entered for Consumption or Withdrawn from Warehouse for Consumption Before June 1, 2002

February 28, 2002.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs to allow a grace period on export visa and quota requirements for certain textile costumes.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2002, the U.S. Customs Service published a notice in the Federal Register informing the public that certain imported textile costumes, entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption after March 1, 2002, are to be classified as wearing apparel in accordance with the Court of International Trade decision in Rubie's Costume Company v. United States. This announcement applied to imported textile costumes of the character covered by the Customs decision published in the Federal Register on December 4, 1998 (see 63 FR 67170). The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has decided to allow a grace period before imposing quota and visa requirements. Accordingly, in the letter published below, the Chairman of CITA directs the Commissioner of Customs to exempt from export visa and quota requirements goods described above that are exported before April 1, 2002, and entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption before June 1,2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martin Walsh, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–3400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

February 28, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,

Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has decided to allow a grace period on the export visa and quota requirements for the textile costumes of the character covered by the Customs decision published in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 1998 (see 63 FR 67170).

Effective on March 1, 2002, you are directed to exempt from export visa and quota requirements goods as described above that are exported prior to April 1, 2002, and entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption prior to June 1, 2002.

Sincerely,

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc.02–5194 Filed 2–28–02; 1:47 pm] BILLING CODE 3510–DR–S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 13, 2002. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Suppliers Customer Satisfaction Diagnostic Survey; OMB Number 0704– [To Be Determined].

Type of Request: New Collection. Number of Respondents: 380. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 380. Average Burden per Response: 15 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 95. Needs and Uses: The information collection is necessary to determine the reasons for supplier satisfaction/ dissatisfaction with Defense acquisition processes. The information will be used to improve Defense acquisition processes to assure supplier satisfaction. Feedback from suppliers will be used to formulate policies, programs and practices for improving the level of supplier satisfaction. A web-based survey is planned for the supplier diagnostic survey. The survey instrument will be posted on the web, and suppliers will be sent invitations via e-mail to access the Web site and complete the survey instrument.

Affected Public: Business or Other For-Profit.

Frequency: Annually. *Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jackie Zeiher. Written comments and

recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DoD Člearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: February 25, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 02–4982 Filed 3–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 3, 2002. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Security Security Customer Satisfaction Survey; OMB Number 0704—[To Be Determined].

Type of Request: New Collection. Number of Respondents: 6,000. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 6,000. Average Burden per Response: 25 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 2,500. Needs and Uses: This information collection is necessary to obtain information to ascertain the level of satisfaction that private sector industrial users have with the products and services the Defense Security Service (DSS) provides. This survey is necessary to meet the requirements of the FY 2000–2003 Defense Management Council (DMC) Performance Contract. The DMC Performance Contract requires DSS to develop and administer customer satisfaction surveys for each of its three primary business areas: the Personnel Security Investigations Program (PSI), the Industrial Security Program (ISP), and the Security Education and Training Program. The survey will be administered on-line via the Internet.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households; Business or Other For-Profit.

Frequency: Biennially.

Respondents Obligation: Voluntary. OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jackie Zeiher. Written comments and

recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 30503.

DoD Člearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: February 25, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 02–4983 Filed 3–1–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary; Submission for OMB review; comment request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the

following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 3, 2002.

Title, Form, and OMB Number: Application for Training leading to a Commission in the United States Air Force; AF Form 56; OMB Number 0701– 0001.

Type of Request: Reinstatement. Number of Respondents: 2,900. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 2,900. Average Burden per Response: 3 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 8,700. Needs and Uses: Information contained on Air Force Form 56 supports the Air Force's selection for officer training programs for civilian and military applicants. Each student's background and aptitude is reviewed to determine eligibility. If the information on this form is not collected, the individual cannot be considered for admittance to a commissioning program. Data from this form is used to select fully qualified persons for the training leading to commissioning. Data supports the Air Force in verifying the eligibly of applicants and in the selection of those best qualified for dedication of funding and training resources. Eligibility requirements are outlined in Air Force Instruction 36-2013.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Frequency: On Occasion. *Respondents Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jackie Zeiher.

Written comments and

recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DOIS, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: February 25, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 02–4984 Filed 3–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal No. 02-06]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. J. Hurd, DSCA/COMPT/RM, (703) 604–6575.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 02–06 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: February 25, 2002.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. BILLING CODE 5001–08–M