- 1. Identification of issues to be analyzed in depth.
- 2. Identification of additional reasonable alternatives.
- 3. Identification of potential environmental effects of the alternatives.

Alternatives to this proposal will include the "no action" alternative, and other alternatives will examine variations in the amount and method of weed treatment.

The preliminary issues identified are:

- 1. The potential impacts of noxious weed treatment on wildlife.
- 2. The potential impacts of noxious weed treatment on water quality and fisheries.
- 3. The potential impacts of noxious weed treatment on native grasses, forbs, shrubs and trees.
- 4. The potential effects on human health from herbicide use.
- 5. The potential effects of noxious weed treatment in Wilderness Areas on the quality of the wilderness experience.

The Forest Service will analyze and disclose in the DEIS and FEIS the environmental effects of the proposed action and a reasonable range of alternatives. The DEIS and FEIS will disclose the direct, indirect and cumulative environmental effects of each alternative and its associated site-specific mitigation measures.

Public participation is especially important at several points of the analysis. Interested parties may visit with the Forest Service officials at any time during the analysis. However, two periods of time are specifically identified for the receipt of comments. The first comment period is during the scoping process when the public is invited to give written comments to the Forest Service. The Helena National Forest would like to receive scoping comments by June 1, 2002. The second review period is during the 45 day review of the DEIS when the public is invited to comment on the DEIS.

The DEIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in August, 2002. At that time, the EPA will publish a notice of availability of the DEIS in the **Federal Register**.

The comment period on the DEIS will be 45 cays from the date the notice of availability is published in the **Federal Register**.

At this early stage in the scoping process, the Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviews of DEIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so

that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC. 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Secondly, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage, but that are not raised until after completion of the FEIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F. 2d 10 1 6, 1022 (9th cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Hermitages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the FEIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considered issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on **Environmental Quality Regulations for** implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.)

After the comment period ends on the DEIS, the comments will be analyzed and considered by the Forest Service in preparing the FEIS. The FEIS is expected to be filed in January, 2003.

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Thomas J. Clifford,

Forest Supervisor, Helena National Forest. [FR Doc. 02–4051 Filed 2–19–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3910–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee will meet on Thursday, March 7, 2002 and Tuesday, March 19, 2002 at the Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council building, main conference room, 2363 SW Glacier Place, Redmond, Oregon. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until 5 p.m. Committee members will review projects proposed and make recommendations under Resource Advisory Committee consideration under Title II of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000. All Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are welcome to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Leslie Weldon, Designated Federal Official, USDA, Deschutes National Forest, 1645 Highway 20 East, Bend, Oregon 97702, 541–383–5512.

Dated: February 13, 2002.

David Summer,

Acting Forest Supervisor, Deschutes National Forest.

[FR Doc. 02–4019 Filed 2–19–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Hood/Willamette Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Hood/Willamette Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet on Friday, March 8, 2002. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 9 a.m. and will conclude at approximately 4 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Salem Office of the Bureau of Land Management Office; 1717 Fabry Road SE, Salem, Oregon; (503) 375-5646. The tentative agenda includes: (1) Reviewing and Recommending Projects; (2) Public Forum. The Public Forum is tentatively scheduled to begin at 1 p.m. Time allotted for individual presentations will be limited to 3-4 minutes. Written comments are encouraged, particularly if the material cannot be presented within the time limits for the Public Forum. Written comments may be submitted prior to the March 8 meeting by sending them to Designated Federal

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more information regarding this meeting, contact Designated Federal Official Donna Short; Sweet Home Ranger District; 3225 Highway 20, Sweet Home, Oregon 97386; (541) 367–9220.

Official Donna Short at the address

given below.

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Kim Titus,

Acting Deputy Forest Supervisor.
[FR Doc. 02–4020 Filed 2–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

The United States Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board announces that it will convene a Public Meeting beginning at 10:00 a.m. local time on February 27, 2002, at 2175 K Street, Suite 400 Conference Room. Topics will include:

Performance Goal #1: Produce Timely, High Quality Investigation Reports, Recommendations, and Other Technical Reports

- 1. Initiation of CSB's investigation into the January 16 fatal toxic gas release that occurred at the Georgia-Pacific Corporation's Naheola Mill.
- 2. Update on CSB investigation into the July 17, 2001 catastrophic failure of a storage tank containing spent sulfuric acid at Motiva Enterprise's Delaware City (Del.) refinery.
- 3. Update on CSB investigation into a fatal explosion at the BP Amoco Polymers plant in Augusta, Georgia on March 13, 2001, that killed three workers.
- 4. Results of the CSB investigation into the fatal incident that occurred on February 2, 2001, at the Bethlehem Steel Burns Harbor Mill in Chesterton, Indiana that killed two workers and injured four others.
- 5. CSB case study of a destructive explosion that occurred at the plant of Concept Sciences Inc., near Allentown, PA, on February 19, 1999.
- 6. Update on reactive chemical hazard investigation.

Performance Goal #2: Develop Effective Outreach and Partnerships With Stakeholders

- 7. Creation of CSB's Office of Prevention, Outreach, and Policy (OPOP).
- 8. CSB/National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) Spring Workshop.
- 9. Participation in American Institute for Chemical Engineers' (AIChE) Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS) Activities.

Performance Goal #3: Implement a System for Chemical Accident Data Collection and Analysis That Can Be Used to Measure Prevention Effectiveness

10. CSB Data initiatives.

Enabling Goal: Enhance Management of CSB and Establish a Diverse, Highly Skilled, Productive Workforce

- 11. Chief Operating Officer position filling process.
- 12. Tentative date for next Board meeting.

The meeting will be open to the public. Please notify CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed, 10 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board's Office of Congressional and Public Affairs, (202)–261–7600, or visit our Web site at: www.csb.gov.

Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel.
[FR Doc. 02–4223 Filed 2–15–02; 3:54 pm]
BILLING CODE 6350–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-428-825]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Germany; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On August 13, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter. The period of review (POR) is January 4, 1999 through June 30, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted—average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 20, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Tran, Michael Heaney, or Robert James at (202) 482–1121, (202) 482–4475, or (202) 482–0649, respectively, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

Scope of the Review

For purposes of this order, the products covered are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject sheet and strip may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, aluminized, coated, etc.) provided that it maintains the specific dimensions of sheet and strip following such processing.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) at subheadings: 7219.13.00.31, 7219.13.00.51, 7219.13.00.71, 7219.13.00.81, 7219.14.00.30, 7219.14.00.65, 7219.14.00.90,

7219.32.00.25, 7219.32.00.35, 7219.32.00.36, 7219.32.00.38, 7219.32.00.42, 7219.32.00.44, 7219.33.00.05, 7219.33.00.20,

7219.32.00.05, 7219.32.00.20,

7219.33.00.25, 7219.33.00.35, 7219.33.00.36, 7219.33.00.38,

7219.33.00.42, 7219.33.00.44, 7219.34.00.05, 7219.34.00.20, 7219.34.00.25, 7219.34.00.30, 7219.34.00.35, 7219.35.00.05,

7219.35.00.15, 7219.35.00.30, 7219.35.00.35, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80,

7220.12.10.00, 7220.12.50.00,