

D. The agency estimates respondents will incur no additional costs for reporting other than the hours required to complete the collection. What is the estimated: (1) Total dollar amount annualized for capital and start-up costs; and (2) recurring annual costs of operation and maintenance, and purchase of services associated with this data collection?

E. Does any other Federal, State, or local agency collect similar information? If so, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the method(s) of collection.

As a Potential User

A. Is the information useful at the levels of detail indicated on the form?

B. For what purpose(s) would the information be used? Be specific.

C. Are there alternate sources for the information and are they useful? If so, what are their deficiencies and/or strengths?

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the form. They also will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authority: Section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Issued in Washington, DC.

Nancy J. Kirkendall,

*Director, Statistics and Methods Group,
Energy Information Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-3889 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-347-003]

Canyon Creek Compression Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

February 12, 2002.

Take notice that on February 6, 2002, Canyon Creek Compression Company (Canyon) tendered for filing to be part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, certain pro forma tariff sheets. No effective date is indicated on these revised tariff sheets.

Canyon states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's Letter Order in Docket No. RP00-347-002 issued on January 17, 2002. This proceeding relates to compliance by Canyon with Order Nos. 637, *et seq.*

Canyon states that copies of the filing are being mailed to each person designated on the official service list.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-3912 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR02-4-000]

Chevron Products Company, Complainant, v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

February 12, 2002.

Take notice that on February 11, 2002, pursuant to rule 206 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.206) and the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343(a)(1)), Chevron Products Company (Chevron) filed a complaint in this proceeding. Chevron alleges that SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) has been and is in violation of the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App. Section 1, *et seq.* (ICA), by charging unjust and unreasonable rates for the shipment of petroleum products in interstate commerce, particularly from points in Texas and in California to points in Arizona.

Chevron requests that the Commission (1) determine just and reasonable rates for shipment of petroleum products on SFPP's East and West Lines, (2) order refunds, reparations, damages and other just and appropriate relief, including but not limited to the reasonable costs of

litigation, (3) consolidate its Complaint with ongoing proceedings in Docket Nos. OR96-2-000, *et al.*, and (4) examine existing SFPP rates and charges for shipment of petroleum products on the East and West Lines and determine that such are unjust, unreasonable and otherwise not in accordance with applicable law.

Chevron has served a copy of the Complaint on SFPP.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before March 4, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before March 4, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-3908 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. RP00-407-003, and RP00-619-004]

High Island Offshore System; Notice of Compliance Filing

February 12, 2002.

Take notice that on February 5, 2002, High Island Offshore System (HIOS), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets referenced in Appendix A to its filing. HIOS requests that the tariff sheets become effective March 7, 2002.

HIOS states that it is submitting the referenced tariff sheets to comply with the directives of the Commission's November 8, 2001 Order regarding segmentation and secondary mainline point priority.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-3913 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-78-000]

Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C.; Notice of Application

February 12, 2002.

Take notice that on January 31, 2002, Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (Maritimes), 1284 Soldiers Field Road, Boston, Massachusetts 02135, filed in Docket No. CP02-78-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct and operate certain pipeline and compression facilities in order to render up to 400,000 dekatherms per day of firm transportation service, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS"

link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Specifically, Maritimes proposes to construct and operate: (1) Approximately 31.3 miles of 36-inch diameter pipeline loop in Washington County, Maine; (2) four new compressor stations in the state of Maine in the towns of Eliot, Gorham, Searsmont, and Brewer, each consisting of 26,800 horsepower (a total of 107,200 horsepower); (3) modifications at the existing Baileyville and Richmond Compressor Stations; (4) modifications to three existing compressor stations; and (5) a new meter station in Haverhill, Massachusetts. In addition, Maritimes proposes to construct various auxiliary installation under Section 2.55 of the Commission's regulations. Maritimes estimates that the cost of the proposed facilities is \$250 million and will be financed by equity (25 percent) and a combination of short-term and long-term debt (75 percent).

Maritimes further states that it will provide firm and interruptible service for the capacity created by its proposed facilities pursuant to its existing rate schedules on file with the Commission and the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff. PanCanadian Energy Services Inc. (PanCanadian) has executed a precedent agreement for a ten-year term for the firm transportation of the entire capacity created by the proposed facilities. Maritimes states that it will provide service to PanCanadian at negotiated rates in accordance with its FERC Gas Tariff.

Maritimes states that its proposal is consistent with the Commission's Certificate Policy Statement. Maritimes maintains that its existing customers will not subsidize the proposed facilities since the revenues associated with the transportation of the PanCanadian volumes will exceed the costs associated with the proposed facilities.¹ Maritimes adds that its proposed facilities will have minimal impact on existing pipelines and their customers, or on landowners and communities and that the public benefits of its proposal outweigh any adverse effects.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Joseph F. McHugh, Director, Regulatory Affairs, M&N Management Company, 1284 Soldiers Field Road, Boston, Massachusetts 02135 at 617-560-1518.

¹ Maritimes states that it does not seek a determination at this time regarding the appropriateness of rolling-in the costs of the proposed facilities, but may do so in a future rate case.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before March 5, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-