screen. First time users will have to establish a user name and password. The Commission will send an automatic acknowledgment to the sender's E-mail address upon receipt of comments. User assistance for electronic filings is available at (202) 208–0258 or by e-mail to <code>efiling@ferc.fed.us</code>. Comments should not be submitted to the e-mail address.

All comments may be viewed, printed or downloaded remotely via the Internet through FERC's Home page using the FERRIS link. User assistance for FERRIS is available at (202) 502–8222, or by email to contentmaster@ferc.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Miller may be reached by telephone at (202)502–8415, by fax at (202)208–2425, and by e-mail at michael.miller@ferc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description

The information collected submitted for OMB review contains: 1. Collection of Information: FERC–600 "Rules of Practice and Procedure: Complaint Procedures". 2. Sponsor: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. 3. Control No.: 1902–0180.

The Commission is now requesting that OMB approve a three-year extension of the current expiration date, with no changes to the existing collection. There is an adjustment only to the reporting burden. The information filed with the Commission is voluntary but submitted with prescribed information. Requests for confidential treatment of the information are provided for under Section 388.112 of the Commission's regulations.4. Necessity of the Collection of Information: Submission of the information is necessary to enable the Commission to carry out its responsibilities in implementing the statutory provisions of the Federal Power Act (FPA.), 16 U.S.C. 791a-825r; the Natural Gas Act (NGA), 15 U.S.C. 717-717w; the Natural Gas Policy Act(NGPA); 15 U.S.C. 3301-3432; the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA), 16 U.S.C. 2601-2645; the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App. § 1 et seq. and the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, 43 U.S.C. 1301-1356.9.

In Order No. 602, 64 FR 17087 (April 8, 1999), the Commission revised its regulations governing complaints filed with the Commission under the above statutes. Order No. 602 was designed to encourage and support consensual resolution of complaints, and to organize the complaint procedures so that all complaints are handled in a timely and fair manner. In order to

achieve the latter, the Commission revised Rule 206 of its Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206) to require that a complaint satisfy certain informational requirements, that answers be filed in a shorter, 20-day time frame, and that parties may employ various types of alternative dispute resolution procedures to resolve complaints.

With respect to public utilities, Section 205(e) of the FPA provides: Whenever any such new schedule is filed, the Commission shall have the authority, either upon complaint or upon its own initiative without complaint at once, and, if it so orders, without answer or formal pleading by the public utility, but upon reasonable notice to enter upon hearing concerning the lawfulness of such rate, charge, classification, or service; and pending such hearing and the decision of the Commission * * *

For the natural gas industry, Section 14(a) of the NGA provides: The Commission may permit any person to file with it a statement in writing, under oath or otherwise, as it shall determine, as to any or all facts and circumstances concerning a matter which may be the subject of an investigation.

Concerning hydroelectric projects, Section 19 of the FPA provides: * * * it is agreed as a condition of such license that jurisdiction is hereby conferred upon the Commission, upon complaint of any person aggrieved or upon its own initiative, to exercise such regulation and control until such time as the State shall have provided a commission or other authority for such regulation and control * * *

For qualifying facilities, Section 210(h)(2)(B) of PURPA provides: Any electric utility, qualifying cogenerator, or qualifying small power producer may petition the Commission to enforce the requirements of subsection (f) as provided in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph.

Likewise for oil pipelines, Part 1 of the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA), Sections 1, 6 and 15 (recodified by P.L. 95–473 and found as an appendix to Title 49 U.S.C.) authorize the Commission to investigate the rates charged by oil pipeline companies subject to its jurisdiction. If a proposed oil rate has been filed and allowed by the Commission to go into effect without suspension and hearing, the Commission can investigate the effective rate on its own motion or by complaint filed with the Commission. Section 13 of the ICA provides that: Any person, firm, corporation, company or association, or any mercantile, agricultural, or manufacturing society or

other organization, or any common carrier complaining of anything done or omitted to be done by any common carrier subject to the provisions of this chapter in contravention of the provisions thereof, may apply to the Commission by petition, which shall briefly state the facts; whereupon a statement of the complaint thus made shall be forwarded by the Commission to such common carrier, who shall be called upon to satisfy the complaint, or to answer the same in writing, within a reasonable time, to be specified by the Commission * * *

The Commission implements these filing requirements in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) under 18 CFR Sections 385.206 and 385.213.5. Respondent Description: The respondent universe currently comprises 8 companies (on average) subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. 6. Estimated Burden: 1,064 total hours, 76 respondents(average), 1 response per respondent, 14 hours per response (average).7. Estimated Cost Burden to respondents: 1,064 hours / 2080 hours per years x \$117,041 per year = \$59,870. The cost per respondent is equal to \$787.00.

Statutory Authority: Sections 19 and 205(e) of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 797(e), 799; Section 14(a) of the Natural Gas Act; Section 210(h)(2)(B) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act; Part 1 of the Interstate Commerce Act (recodified by Pub. L. 95–473 and found as an appendix to Title 49 U.S.C. and 43 U.S.C. 1301–1356.9.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–26805 Filed 10–21–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER02-250-000, ER02-527-000 and ER02-479-000]

California Independent System Operator Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Filings

October 15, 2002.

Take notice that on October 11, 2002, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) tendered for filing a Joint Motion to Adjust Interim Settlement Rates and a Request to Shorten the Time Period for Answers to the motion.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385,214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http:// www.ferc.gov, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or TTY, (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: October 25, 2002.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–26804 Filed 10–21–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-439-003]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Petition To Amend

October 15, 2002.

Take notice that on October 4, 2002, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 12801 Fair Lakes Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia 22030-0146, filed in Docket No. CP01-439-003, a petition to amend the order issued May 17, 2002, in Docket No. CP01-439-000. In Docket No. CP01–439–003 Columbia requests a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section (c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and part 157 of the Commission's regulations authorizing the construction and operation of certain pipeline, compression and appurtenant facilities in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Details of this request are more fully set forth

in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202)502–8222 or for TTY, (202)502–8659.

In Docket No. CP01-439-003, the Delaware Valley Energy Expansion Project (DVEEP), Columbia proposes to serve Mantua Creek Generating Company, L.P. (Mantua Creek), which is constructing an electric generating facility in Gloucester County, New Jersey. ¹ Columbia proposes to construct and operate 7.5 miles of 20-inch pipeline looping in Gloucester County, New Jersey, and 2.1 miles of 20-inch lateral pipeline in Gloucester County (to be designated Line 10359), two 6,000 horsepower compressor units at the existing Eagle Compressor Station in Delaware County, Pennsylvania, and a new measuring and regulating station and associated appurtenances at the Mantua Creek Power Plant in Gloucester

Columbia proposes to provide firm mainline transportation service to Mantua Creek under its Rate Schedule FTS for a contract term of 20 years and 2 months, commencing April 1, 2005, delivering 135,000 Dth per day (phased in with a contract demand of 55,000 Dth per day beginning April 1, 2005, increasing to 110,000 Dth per day on May 1, 2005, and 135,000 Dth per day on June 1, 2005) and transportation on the lateral line under Columbia's FTS-LAT rate schedule for a contract term of 20 years and 8 months, commencing October 1, 2004. Columbia notes that the FTS-LAT rate schedule was approved by the Commission in Docket No. CP01–260–000 and reaffirmed in Docket No. CP01-439-000.

Columbia estimates the total cost of facilities proposed herein at \$32,359,700.

Columbia requests that an order be issued by January 31, 2003, so that work may commence in the early spring of 2003.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Fredric J. George, Senior Attorney, at (304) 357–2359, Columbia Gas

Transmission Company, PO Box 1273, Charleston, West Virginia 25325–1273.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before November 5, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding. Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of environmental documents, and will be able to participate in meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, Commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission, and will not have the right to seek rehearing or appeal the Commission's final order to a Federal court.

The Commission will consider all comments and concerns equally, whether filed by commenters or those requesting intervenor status.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the

¹ In Docket No. CP01–439–000, Columbia proposed to construct facilities for the same service for Mantua Creek and was authorized to do so, but has since made changes, because of changing needs and customer circumstances, as discussed in a public meeting at the Commission held September 4, 2002.