

Therefore, this action has no effect on Indian country. EPA retains the authority to implement and administer the RCRA program in Indian country. However, at this time, there is no Indian country within the State of Ohio.

J. Administrative Requirements

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from the requirements of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), and therefore this action is not subject to review by OMB. This action authorizes State requirements for the purpose of RCRA 3006 and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this action authorizes pre-existing requirements under State law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4). This action does not have tribal implications within the meaning of Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely authorizes State requirements as part of the State RCRA hazardous waste program without altering the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established by RCRA. This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. This action does not include environmental justice-related issues that require consideration under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7929, February 16, 1994).

Under RCRA 3006(b), EPA grants a state's application for authorization as long as the state meets the criteria required by RCRA. It would thus be

inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a state authorization application, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that otherwise satisfies the requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This action does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This proposed action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: September 26, 2002.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 02-26439 Filed 10-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-2321; MB Docket Nos. 02-296; 02-297; 02-298; 02-299; 02-300; 02-301; 02-302; RM-10571, RM-10572; RM-10574; RM-10575; RM-10576; RM-10578; RM-10579]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Broken Bow, Oklahoma, Colorado City, O'Brien, Panhandle, Shamrock, Stamford, Texas; and Taloga, Oklahoma

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes seven separate allotments in Broken Bow, Oklahoma, Colorado City, O'Brien, Panhandle, Shamrock, Stamford, Texas; and Taloga, Oklahoma proceedings in a multiple docket *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*. Katherine Pyeatt requests the allotment of Channel 261A at O'Brien, Texas as the first local aural transmission service at a site 3.7 kilometers (2.3 miles) north of the community at coordinates 33-24-47 NL and 99-51-02 WL. Katherine Pyeatt requests the allotment of Channel 295C2 at Stamford, Texas, as the third local aural transmission service at a site 7.8 kilometers (4.9 miles) north of the community at coordinates 33-00-57 NL and 99-47-46 WL. Linda Crawford requests the allotment of Channel 291C3 at Panhandle, Texas, as the community's first local aural transmission service at a site 18.0 kilometers (11.2 miles) east of the community at coordinates 35-20-38 NL and 101-10-54 WL. Maurice Salsa requests the allotment of Channel 271A at Shamrock, Texas as the second local aural transmission service at a site 2.4 kilometers (1.5 miles) west of the community at coordinates 35-12-22 NL and 100-16-23 WL. Linda Crawford requests the allotment of Channel 257A at Colorado City, Texas, as the community's third local aural transmission service at a site 10.1 kilometers (6.3 miles) northwest of the community at coordinates 32-26-23 NL and 100-57-29 WL. Jeraldine Anderson requests the allotment of Channel 232A at Broken Bow, Oklahoma, as the community's third FM channel at a site 10.2 kilometers (6.3 miles) northeast of the community at coordinates 34-04-37 NL and 94-38-58 WL. Robert Fabian requests the allotment of Channel 226A at Taloga, Oklahoma, as the community's first local aural transmission service at a site 7.8 kilometers (4.8 miles) south of the community at coordinates 35-57-57 NL and 98-59-11 WL.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before November 18, 2002 and reply comments must be filed on or before December 3, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioners as follows: Katherine Pyeatt, 6655 Aintree Circle, Dallas, Texas 75214 (MB Docket Nos. 02-296, 02-297); Linda Crawford, 3500 Maple Avenue #1320, Dallas, Texas 75219 (MB Docket Nos. 02-298, 02-300); Maurice Salsa,

5615 Evergreen Valley Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77345 (MB Docket No. 02-299); Jeraldine Anderson, 1702 Cypress Drive, Irving, Texas 75061 (MB Docket No. 301); Robert Fabian, 4 Hickory Crossing Lane, Argyle, Texas 76226 (MB Docket No. 02-302).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Victoria M. McCauley, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket Nos. 02-296, 02-297, 02-298, 02-299, 02-300, 02-301, 02-302, adopted September 11, 2002, and released, September 27, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445

12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail *qualexint@aol.com*.

The Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Oklahoma, is amended by adding Channel 232A at Broken Bow, and Taloga, Channel 226A.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by adding Channel 257A at Colorado City, O'Brien, Channel 261A, Panhandle, Channel 291C3, Channel 271A at Shamrock, and Channel 233A at Stamford.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 02-26226 Filed 10-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P