specific time period will terminate on July 1, 2003, approximately one (1) year. At the end of the extension of time requested, all locomotives should be up to standard and meet the FRA requirements and standards in regards to complying with the Locomotive Cab Sanitation requirements, 49 CFR 229.137.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA-2002-12408) and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400—7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.—5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's web site at http://dms.dot.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 19, 2002.

### Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.
[FR Doc. 02–24725 Filed 9–27–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Railroad Administration**

[Docket Number FRA-2002-13202]

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

## **Applicant**

Kansas City Southern Railway, Mr. Vernon A. Jones, Signal Engineer, 4601 Blanchard Highway, Shreveport, Louisiana 71107–5799.

Kansas City Southern Railway seeks approval of the proposed modification of the Ouachita River Bridge, milepost V-72.07, on the Transcontinental Division, near Monroe, Louisiana. The proposed changes consist of the removal of the electrically-locked pipeline driven rail lock surface detection system; the addition of proximity sensors attached to the self-aligning Lift Rails and Bridge alignment rocker; and the monitoring by redundant logic controllers, to detect and verify the bridge member alignment. The reason given for the proposed changes is to improve safety and reliability.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at http://dms.dot.gov.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 17, 2002.

### Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 02–24724 Filed 9–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2002-13201]

## Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

# *Applicants*

Norfolk Southern Corporation, Mr. Brian L. Sykes, Chief Engineer C&S Engineering, 99 Spring Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Columbus & Ohio River Railroad, Mr. Michael J. Connor, Vice President, 136 South Fifth Street, Coshocton, Ohio 43812.

Norfolk Southern Corporation and the Columbus & Ohio River Railroad jointly seek approval of the proposed modification and reduction of the interlocking limits at C. W. Tower, Columbus, Ohio, milepost N–704.8, Lake Division, Columbus, District. The proposed changes consist of the conversion of power-operated switches 44 and 55 to hand operation, and the discontinuance and removal of associated controlled signals 44R and 66L.

The reason given for the proposed changes is to improve and increase efficiency of operations.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI–401, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at http:// dms.dot.gov.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 12, 2002.

#### Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 02–24722 Filed 9–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Railroad Administration**

[Docket Number FRA-2002-12837]

## Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief from Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

#### Applicant

Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. Phil M. Abaray, Chief Engineer— Signals, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179–1000.

Union Pacific Railroad Company seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the automatic block signal system on the single main track, between milepost 84.1 and milepost 86.0, on the Peoria Subdivision, at Sommer, Illinois, consisting of the following:

- 1. Removal of the fixed approach signals at milepost 83.24 and 86.7;
- 2. Removal of automatic signal 01, at milepost 84.1 and automatic signal 02, at milepost 86.0;
- 3. Removal of the two electric switch locks at milepost 84.2 and milepost 85.9: and
- 4. Removal of the four switch circuit controllers and associated track circuits.

The reasons given for the proposed changes are that the electric locks and signals are not necessary for present day operation. The application area is track warrant control territory and all trains must obtain authority from the UP train dispatcher before entering the main line onto the Peoria Subdivision. The affected signals only display a lunar or red aspect, and the speed in the area is limited to 30 mph.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at http:/ /dms.dot.gov.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 12, 2002.

## Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 02–24723 Filed 9–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

# Denial of Motor Vehicle Defect Petition, DP02-008

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Denial of petition for a defect recall.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the reasons for the denial of a petition submitted to NHTSA under 49 U.S.C. 30162, requesting that the agency compel General Motors Corporation (GM) to recall model year (MY) 1999 Chevrolet Malibu vehicles to address an alleged safety-related defect. The petition is identified as DP02–008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jonathan White, Office of Defects Investigation (ODI), NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–5226.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Mr. Robert N. Green of Alexandria, VA, submitted a petition to NHTSA by letter dated May 21, 2002, requesting NHTSA to compel GM to recall MY 1999 Chevrolet Malibu vehicles (subject vehicles). The petitioner alleges that the engine coolant in his MY 1999 Chevrolet Malibu boils over, the low coolant red warning light comes on, and the coolant system reservoir requires frequent refilling. He believes that the alleged defect causes safety problems.

GM has issued two Technical Service Bulletins (TSB) that may pertain to the alleged defect. TSB No. 99-06-02-009, issued in March 1999, concerns malfunction of the check valve in the coolant pressure cap in the subject vehicles, which may cause one or more of the following conditions: coolant leaks, the low coolant light to come on, overheating or no heat, odors coming from the air conditioning system, and no start. The TSB applies to MY 1999 Chevrolet Malibu and Cavalier, Oldsmobile Alero and Cutlass, Pontiac Grand AM and Sunfire, and Chevrolet and GMC Silverado and Sierra vehicles. The second TSB, No. 00-06-02-001, issued in January 2000, concerns a radiator filler neck that may have an