Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Reinstatement without change: Form N–8b–4, SEC File No. 270–180, OMB Control No. 3235–0247

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) ("PRA"), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for reinstatement without change of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Form N–8b–4—Registration Statement of Face-Amount Certificate Companies

Form N-8b-4 is the form used by face-amount certificate companies to comply with the filing and disclosure requirements imposed by section 8(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. 80a-8(b)]. Form N-8b-4 requires disclosure about the organization of a face-amount certificate company, its business and policies, its investment in securities, its certificates issued, the personnel and affiliated persons of the depositor, the distribution and redemption of securities, and financial statements. The Commission uses the information provided in the collection of information to determine compliance with section 8(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Based on the Commission's industry statistics, the Commission estimates that there would be approximately 1 annual filing on Form N–8b–4. The Commission estimates that each registrant filing a Form N–8b–4 would spend 171 hours in preparing and filing the Form and that the total hour burden for all Form N–8b–4 filings would be 171 hours. Estimates of the burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the PRA, and are not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of SEC rules and forms.

The information provided on Form N–8b–4 is mandatory. The information provided on Form N–8b–4 will not be kept confidential. The Commission may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

General comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; and (ii) Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: September 6, 2002.

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–23237 Filed 9–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Rule 17f–2(d), SEC File No. 270–36, OMB Control No. 3235–0028

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for approval of extension on the following previously approved information collection.

Rule 17f–2(d) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") was adopted on March 16, 1976, and was last amended on November 18, 1982. Paragraph (d) of the rule (i) requires that records produced pursuant to the fingerprinting requirements of Section 17(f)(2) of the Exchange Act be maintained, (ii) permits the designating examining authorities of broker-dealers or members of exchanges, under certain circumstances, to store and to maintain records required to be kept by this rule, and (iii) permits the required records to be maintained on microfilm.

The general purposes for Rule 17f–2 are: (i) To identify security risk personnel; (ii) to provide criminal record information so that employers can make fully informed employment decisions; and (iii) to deter persons with criminal records from seeking employment or association with covered entities.

Retention of fingerprint records, as required under paragraph (d) of the Rule, enables the Commission or other examining authority to ascertain whether all required persons are being fingerprinted and whether proper procedures regarding fingerprinting are

being followed. Retention of these records for the term of employment of all personnel plus three years ensures that law enforcement officials will have easy access to fingerprint cards on a timely basis. This in turn acts as an effective deterrent to employee misconduct.

Approximately 9,468 respondents are subject to the recordkeeping requirements of the rule. Each respondent keeps approximately 32 new records per year, which takes approximately 2 minutes per record for the respondent to maintain, for an annual burden of 64 minutes per respondent. All records subject to the rule must be retained for the term of employment plus 3 years. The Commission estimates that the total annual cost to submitting entities is approximately \$196,850. This figure reflects estimated costs of labor and storage of records.

Written comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th St., NW., Washington, DC 20503; and (ii) Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: September 6, 2002.

### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–23238 Filed 9–11–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 8010–01–P** 

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-46463; File No. SR-CBOE-2002-32]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Time and Manner in Which the Allocation Committee May Reallocate a Security

September 5, 2002.

On June 11, 2002, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend CBOE Rule 8.95, Allocation of Securities and Location of Trading Crowds and DPMs, to extend from six months to one year, the time in which the Allocation Committee may reallocate a security if the trading crowd or Designated Primary Market-Maker ("DPM") to which the security had been allocated fails to adhere to any market performance commitments made by the trading crowd or DPM in connection with receiving the allocation. Notice of the proposed rule change appeared in the Federal Register on July 19, 2002.3 The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change. On August 28, 2002, the CBOE filed an amendment to the proposed rule change.4 This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of section 6 of the Act 5 in general, and the rules and regulations thereunder.<sup>6</sup> In particular, the Commission believes that the proposal is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,7 which requires, among other things, that an exchange's rule be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that CBOE's proposal to extend the initial review period from six months to one year should give the Allocation Committee a sufficient amount of time to monitor the trading patterns of DPMs and trading crowds while considering other relevant factors such as current market conditions, and if necessary, reallocate a security if the DPM or trading crowd fails to adhere to any market performance commitments in connection with receiving the allocation.8

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>9</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR–CBOE–2002–32), as amended, is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to the delegated authority.  $^{10}$ 

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–23236 Filed 9–11–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–46461; File No. SR–PCX–2002–33]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Pacific Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval To Proposed Rule Change To Revise the Process for Designating Arbitrators for Member-to-Member Disputes

September 5, 2002.

On May 30, 2002, the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, <sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend PCX Rule 12.8(e) to revise the process for designating arbitrators for member-to-member disputes.

The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 19, 2002.<sup>3</sup> The Commission received no comments regarding the proposed rule change.

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.<sup>4</sup> Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act <sup>5</sup> because it is designed to promote just and equitable principals of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities,

and to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission notes that the proposed rule change would simplify the PCX arbitrator selection process for Member Controversies by coordinating the rule with existing rules on Public Controversies and provide uniformity with PCX Rules for Public Controversies by raising the amount in controversy from \$10,000 to \$30,000 as the threshold in determining whether the controversy would be heard by at least three arbitrators. The proposed rule would also provide for a consistent source of arbitrators by using the same arbitrator list for the selection of arbitrators for both Public and Member Controversies.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR–PCX–2002–33) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>7</sup>

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–23235 Filed 9–11–02; 8:45 am]

### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### Social Security Ruling, SSR 02–1p; Titles II and XVI: Evaluation of Obesity

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of Social Security ruling.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 20 CFR 402.35(b)(1), the Commissioner of Social Security gives notice of Social Security Ruling, SSR 02–1p. This Ruling supersedes SSR 00–3p and provides guidance on the evaluation of disability claims involving obesity following our deletion of listing 9.09, Obesity, from the Listing of Impairments (the listings). The final rule deleting listing 9.09 was effective on October 25, 1999 (64 FR 46122 (1999)).

### **EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 12, 2002.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bonnie Davis, Office of Disability, Social Security Administration, 6401

Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235–6401, (410) 965–4172 or TTY (410) 966–5609. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–1213 or TTY 1–800–325–0778, or visit our Internet Web site, Social Security Online, at http://www.ssa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Although we are not required to do so pursuant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46183 (July 11, 2002), 67 FR 47584.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See letter to Lisa N. Jones, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, from Patrick Sexton, Assistant General Counsel, Legal Division, CBOE ("Amendment No. 1"). Amendment No. 1 corrects an inadvertently deleted word ("and") in the proposed rule text. This is a technical amendment and therefore is not subject to notice and comment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered its impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The CBOE noted that market performance commitments may relate to pledges to keep bid-ask spreads within a particular width, or pledges to make every effort possible to become the exchange of choice in a particular option class, as measured during the initial months of trading by consistently

achieving a certain market share if the class is listed on more than one options exchange.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>10 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

 $<sup>^3\,</sup>See$  Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46190 (July 11, 2002), 67 FR 47590.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78cffl.

<sup>5 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>7 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).