forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, by the above date. Because of the continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that petitions for leave to intervene and requests for hearing be transmitted to the Secretary of the Commission either by means of facsimile transmission to 301–415–1101 or by e-mail to *hearingdocket@nrc.gov.* A copy of the petition for leave to intervene and request for hearing should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and because of continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that copies be transmitted either by means of facsimile transmission to 301–415–3725 or by e-mail to *OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov.* A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to John H. O'Neill, Jr., Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated April 30, 2002, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.* Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to *pdr@nrc.gov.*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of September, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John G. Lamb,

Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–23092 Filed 9–10–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PRESIDIO TRUST

The Presidio of San Francisco, California; Notice of Adoption of the Presidio Trust Management Plan and Availability of the Record of Decision

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: The Presidio Trust Board of Directors (Board) has adopted the ''Presidio Trust Management Plan, Land Use Policies for Area B of The Presidio of San Francisco'' (PTMP) from among six plan alternatives and one variant as the plan that will guide the Presidio Trust's (Trust's) future management and implementation of projects within the area of The Presidio of San Francisco (Presidio) under the Trust's jurisdiction (Area B). The selection and basis for the Trust's decision is set forth in a Record of Decision (ROD) for the PTMP Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS).

The Board made the decision set forth in the ROD after more than two years of planning and environmental review by the Trust in compliance with the decision-making requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) (NEPA), the NEPA's implementing regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1500–1508), and the Trust's supplemental implementing regulations

at 36 CFR part 1010. The Final EIS is a programmatic document, and supplements the 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment Environmental Impact Statement for the Presidio. Based upon a thorough analysis of the PTMP Final EIS alternatives and their potential environmental consequences, consideration of all public and agency comments received during the NEPA process, and in consideration of the mandates of the Trust Act (16 U.S.C. 460bb note, Title I of Pub. L. 104–333, 110 Stat. 4097), as amended, and the entire agency record, the Board selected the PTMP, analyzed in the Final EIS as the Final Plan Alternative and fully set forth in the separate PTMP document, as the Trust's management plan. The Board approved and adopted the PTMP by unanimous vote on August 22, 2002, and authorized the Trust's Executive Director to execute the ROD memorializing the Board's decision. The ROD was signed on August 27, 2002.

CONTENTS: The ROD documents the decision and rationale for adopting the PTMP (identified during project scoping and review of draft documents under the name Presidio Trust Implementation Plan or PTIP). The ROD also provides background about the Trust and the planning effort, and describes the alternatives considered, public involvement, agency consultation, mitigating measures developed to avoid or minimize environmental impacts of the selected alternative, and use of the Final EIS in subsequent decision making. As required by the NEPA, it identifies the environmentally preferable alternative, and sets forth an evaluation of alternatives and the reasons for adopting the Final Plan Alternative. A report addressing public input received during the period following release of the PTMP and the accompanying Final EIS is also attached to the ROD.

DATES: The Trust initiated a public planning and environmental review process pursuant to the NEPA on June 30, 2000, developed alternative plan options and issued a Draft Plan and Draft EIS on July 25, 2001, invited public participation and considered public comment, and issued a proposed Final Plan, Final EIS, and responses to public comments on May 24, 2002. The 30-day minimum ''no-action'' period required by the NEPA expired on June 23, 2002, and the Trust signed the PTMP ROD, making it immediately effective, on August 27, 2002.

Materials Available to the Public: The approved ROD is available by calling or writing the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Telephone: 415/561–5414. The ROD is available electronically on the Trust's website (*http://www.presidiotrust.gov*). The ROD may also be reviewed in the Trust's library at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Manager, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Telephone: 415/561–5414.

Dated: September 4, 2002.

Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 02–23060 Filed 9–10–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–4R–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

National Research Advisory Council; Notice of Meeting

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) gives notice under Public Law 92–463 (Federal Advisory Committee Act) that the National Research Advisory Council will meet at the Hyatt Dulles, 2300 Dulles Corner Boulevard, Herndon, VA 20171, on Thursday, September 26, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. The meeting is open to the public. The purpose of the Council is to provide external advice and review for VA's research mission.

The meeting will begin with opening remarks and an overview by the Council Chairman. The Council will receive informational briefings on the VA Cooperative Studies Program; the Cooperative Studies Program DNA Bank; the VA Research, Education and Clinical Centers; and the VA research portfolio.

Any member of the public wishing to attend the meeting or wishing further information should contact Ms. Karen Scott, Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research and Development (12C2), 801 I Street, NW., Washington, DC, at (202) 565–8381.

Dated: August 30, 2002.

By Direction of the Secretary.

Nora E. Egan,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–23064 Filed 9–10–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

Research and Development Cooperative Studies Evaluation Committee; Notice of Meeting

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) gives notice under Public Law 92–463 (Federal Advisory Committee Act) that a meeting of the Research and Development, Cooperative Studies Evaluation Committee will be held at the Crystal City Marriott, 1999 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, on October 3, 2002. The session is scheduled to begin at 8 a.m. and end at 5 p.m. The three new studies submitted for review are: Intensive vs Conventional Renal Support in Acute Renal Failure, Perioperative B—Adrenergic Receptor Blockage in Patients Undergoing Major Noncardiac Surgery, Anabolic Steroid Therapy on Pressure Ulcer Healing in Persons with SCI. In addition to the three new studies there will be one resubmission: Diiodothyroproprionic Acid, a Thyroid Analog to Treat Heart Failure and one progress review: Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive Drug Evaluation.

The Committee advises the Chief Research and Development Officer through the Director of the Cooperative Studies Program on the relevance and feasibility of the studies, the adequacy of the protocols, and the scientific validity and propriety of technical details of proposed research.

The meeting will be open to the public from 8 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. to discuss general status of the program. Those who plan to attend should contact Ms. Denise Shorter, Coordinator, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC at (202) 565–7016.

The meeting will be closed from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. This portion of the meeting involves consideration of specific proposals in accordance with provisions set forth in section 10(d) of Public Law 92–463, as amended by sections 5(c) of Public Law 94–409, and 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6). During the closed session of the meeting, discussions and recommendations will address qualifications of study personnel, critiques of research proposals, and similar documents, and the medical records of patients who are study subjects, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personnel privacy.

By Direction of the Secretary.