

farm efficiencies, and could include recreation, fisheries, downstream flows, irrigation or other uses. There are no significant environmental, socioeconomic, or agricultural impacts associated with the Improved Efficiencies Alternative when compared to the No Action Alternative. The long-term water service contract with the Angostura Irrigation District will have a term of 25 years beginning on January 1, 2003.

Locations for Inspection and Review of the FEIS

- Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office, Rapid City Field Office, 515 9th Street, Room 101, Rapid City, SD 57701—telephone (605) 394-9757 x3004.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office, 304 East Broadway, Bismarck, ND 58502—telephone (701) 250-4242.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Great Plains Regional Office, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, MT 59101—telephone (406) 247-7720.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Reclamation Service Center Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, Sixth and Kipling, Denver, CO 80225—telephone (303) 445-2072.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Program Analysis Office, Room 7456, 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 20240—telephone (202) 208-4662.
- Angostura Irrigation District, Main Street, Oral, SD 57766.

Libraries

- South Dakota State Library, Mercedes MacKay Building, 800 Governors Drive, Pierre, SD 57501-2294.
- Rapid City Public Library, 610 Quincy Street, Rapid City, SD 57701-3655.
- Hot Springs Library, 1543 Baltimore Avenue, Hot Springs, SD 57747.
- Custer County Library, 447 Crook #4, Custer, SD 57730.
- Oglala Lakota College, 3 Mile Creek, Piya Wiconi Road, Kyle, SD 57752.
- Cheyenne River Community College, Main Street, Box 212, Eagle Butte, SD 57625.
- Lower Brule Tribal Library, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD 57548.
- Pine Ridge Library, Main St., Box 439, Pine Ridge, SD 57770.

Dated: July 23, 2002.

Maryanne C. Bach,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 02-21511 Filed 8-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-478]

Certain Ground Fault Circuit Interrupters and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 23, 2002, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc. of Little Neck, New York. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on August 14, 2002. The complaint as supplemented alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ground fault circuit interrupters ("GFCIs") and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-4 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,595,894.

The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent general exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David H. Hollander, Jr., Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2746.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in §210.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2002).

Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 19, 2002, *Ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain ground fault circuit interrupters or products containing same by reason of infringement of claim 1, 2, 3, or 4 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,595,894, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc., 59-25 Little Neck Parkway, Little Neck, NY 11362.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Yueqing Huameili Electronic Co., Ltd., d/b/a HML, Yueqing Huameili Electronic Co., Ltd., No. 2 Tongxing Road, Songhu Industrial Zone, Yueqing, China P.C. 325600.
 Jiamei Electrical Engineering Co., Ltd., Xuezhai Liushi Town, Yueqing Zhejiang, China 325604.
 Sammax International Ltd., Room 2411-12, Shui On Centre, 6-8 Harbour Road, Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
 Van-Sheen Electric Appliance Co., Ltd., d/b/a Yatai Switch Factory, 43 Gongyuan Road, Baixiang, Yueqing Zhejiang, China.

(c) David H. Hollander, Jr., Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.
 Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with §210.13 of the

Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: August 20, 2002.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 02-21584 Filed 8-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committees on Rules of Bankruptcy and Criminal Procedure, and the Rules of Evidence

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committees on Rules of Bankruptcy and Criminal Procedure, and the Rules of Evidence.

ACTION: Notice of proposed amendments and open hearings.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committees on Rules of Bankruptcy and Criminal Procedure, and the Rules of Evidence have proposed amendments to the following rules:

Bankruptcy Rule: 9014.

Criminal Rules: 41; Rules Governing § 2254 Cases and § 2255. Proceedings and accompanying forms.

Rules Governing § 2254 Cases: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11.

Rules Governing § 2255 Proceedings: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12.

Evidence Rule: 804.

The Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure submits these rules for public comment. All comments and suggestions with

respect to them must be placed in the hands of the Secretary as soon as convenient and, in any event, not later than February 15, 2003. All written comments on the proposed rule amendments can be sent by one of the following three ways: By overnight mail to Peter G. McCabe, Secretary, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, Washington, DC 20002; by electronic mail via the Internet at <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/>; or by facsimile to Peter G. McCabe at (202) 502-1755. In accordance with established procedures all comments submitted on the proposed amendments are available to public inspection.

Public hearings are scheduled to be held on the amendments to:

- Bankruptcy Rules in Washington, DC, on January 24, 2003;
- Criminal Rules and Rules Governing § 2254 Cases and § 2255 Proceedings in Atlanta, Georgia, on January 31, 2003; and
- Evidence Rules in Washington, DC, on January 27, 2003.

Those wishing to testify should contact the Secretary at the address above in writing at least 30 days before the hearing.

The text of the proposed rule amendments and the accompanying Committee Notes can be found at the United States Federal Courts' Home Page at <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/> on the Internet.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.

Dated: August 19, 2002.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 02-21533 Filed 8-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc., and Viacom International, Inc., Case No. 72-820-RJK (C.D. Cal.)

Notice is hereby given that Viacom International, Inc. ("Viacom"), has moved to terminate the Final Judgment entered against it by the United States District Court for the Central District of California on January 17, 1973. In a stipulation also filed with the Court, the United States has tentatively agreed not

to oppose the motion, but as a matter of policy will not finally consent to the termination of any judgment without providing public notice and an opportunity for public comments to be received and considered.

On April 14, 1972, the United States filed separate actions against CBS, NBC and ABC, the companies that operated the three then-existing national television networks (the "Network Cases"). The Network Cases charged the three networks with restraint of trade, monopolization and attempted monopolization of the market for prime time entertainment programming in violation of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act.

The syndication operations of CBS had been spun off from CBS to Viacom in 1971 in order to comply with a 1970 FCC rule. Viacom was named as an additional defendant in the action against CBS to insure that any relief obtained against CBS would be effective. The Final Judgment prohibited any Viacom director or officer from also being a director or officer of a broadcast television network, and from owning or controlling more than one percent of the stock of any such network.

Between 1977 and 1980, each of the three networks entered into settlements with the United States (the "Network Decrees"). Among other things, the Network Decrees: (1) Prohibited the three networks from acquiring certain financial interests or proprietary rights in television programs produced by others; (2) limited the amount of programming that each network could produce for its own use; and (3) prohibited the networks from engaging in the domestic syndication of television programs. Following significant changes in the marketplace and the erosion of broadcast television's share of the overall television market, the Network Decrees were modified by the Court in 1993 and have ceased to be operable.

Viacom has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons it believes that termination of the Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of Viacom's motion and supporting memorandum, the stipulation containing the United States' tentative consent, and all further papers filed with the Court in connection with the motion will be available for inspection at the Antitrust Documents Group of the Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street, NW., Room 215 North, Liberty Place Building, Washington, DC 20530, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Copies of these materials may be obtained from