

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 800

Administrative practice and procedure, Grains.

PART 800—GENERAL PROVISIONS

For reason set out in the preamble, GIPSA proposes to amend 7 CFR part 800 as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 800 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 94–582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

2. Section 800.125 (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 800.125 Who may request reinspection services or review of weighing services.

* * * * *

(b) *Kind and scope of request.* A reinspection or review of weighing service is limited to the kind and scope of the original service. If the request specifies a different kind or scope, the request shall be dismissed but may be resubmitted as a request for original services: Provided, however, that an applicant for service may request a reinspection of a specific factor(s), official grade and factors, or official criteria. In addition, reinspections for grade may include a review of any pertinent factor(s), as deemed necessary by official personnel. Official criteria are considered separately from official grade or official factors when determining the kind and scope. When requested, a reinspection for official grade or official factors and official criteria may be handled separately even though both sets of results are reported on the same certificate. Moreover, a reinspection or review of weighing may be requested on either the inspection or Class X weighing results when both results are reported on a combination inspection and Class X weight certificate.

3. Section 800.135(b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 800.135 Who may request appeal inspection services.

* * * * *

(b) *Kind and scope of request.* An appeal inspection service is limited to the kind and scope of the original or reinspection service; or, in the case of a Board Appeal inspection service, the kind and scope of the appeal inspection service. If the request specifies a different kind or scope, the request shall be dismissed but may be resubmitted as a request for original services: Provided, however, that an applicant for service may request an appeal or Board Appeal inspection of a specific factor(s), official grade and factors, or official criteria. In addition, appeal and Board Appeal

inspections for grade may include a review of any pertinent factor(s), as deemed necessary by official personnel. Official criteria are considered separately from official grade or official factors when determining kind and scope. When requested, an appeal inspection for grade, or official factors, and official criteria may be handled separately even though both results are reported on the same certificate. Moreover, an appeal inspection may be requested on the inspection results when both inspection and Class X weighing results are reported on a combination inspection and Class X weight certificate.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0580–0013)

Dated: August 15, 2002.

Donna Reifschneider,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02–21158 Filed 8–20–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–EN–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Bureau of Industry and Security****15 CFR Chapter VII**

[Docket No. 020725179–2179–01]

Effectiveness of Licensing Procedures for Agricultural Commodities to Cuba

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) is requesting public comments on the effectiveness of its licensing procedures as defined in the Export Administration Regulations for the export of agricultural commodities to Cuba. BIS is required to submit a biennial report to the Congress on the operation of the licensing system for such exports, which was created to implement the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000. To help make this assessment, BIS is seeking public comments on the effectiveness of these measures.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 20, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (three copies) should be sent to Sheila Quarterman, Regulatory Policy Division, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 273, Washington, DC 20044. Comments may also be e-mailed to Brian Nilsson, Office of Strategic Trade and Foreign Policy Controls, at BNilsson@bis.doc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joan Roberts, Director, Foreign Policy

Controls Division, Bureau of Industry and Security, Telephone: (202) 482–5400. Additional information on BIS procedures is available under the heading “Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act” at www.bis.doc.gov. Copies of this material may also be requested by contacting the Office of Strategic Trade and Foreign Policy Controls.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The current procedures of the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) for authorizing the export of agricultural commodities to Cuba are set forth in § 740.18 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR). Under the provisions of section 906(c) of the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000 (TSRA) (Pub. L. 106–387), as amended, BIS must submit a report to the Congress on the operation of the licensing system under Section 906 of TSRA for the preceding two-year period. This report is to include the number and types of licenses applied for, the number and types of licenses approved, the average amount of time elapsed from the date of filing of a license application until the date of its approval, the extent to which the licensing procedures were effectively implemented, and a description of comments received from interested parties about the extent to which the licensing procedures were effective, after holding a public 30-day comment period. This notice serves as public notice to solicit such comments.

Parties submitting comments are asked to be as specific as possible. All comments received by the close of the comment period will be considered by BIS in developing the report to Congress. All information relating to the notice will be a matter of public record and will be available for public inspection and copying. In the interest of accuracy and completeness, BIS requires written comments. Oral comments must be followed by written memoranda, which will also be a matter of public record and will be available for public review and copying.

Copies of the public record concerning these regulations may be requested from: Bureau of Industry and Security, Office of Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 6883, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482–0637. This component does not maintain a separate public inspection facility. Requesters should first view BIS’s website (which can be reached through www.bis.doc.gov). If requesters cannot

access BIS's website, please call the number above for assistance.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-21161 Filed 8-20-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-U

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Part 101

Consolidation of Customs Drawback Centers

AGENCY: Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the Customs Regulations to reflect a planned closure of the Customs Drawback Centers located at the ports of Boston, Massachusetts; Miami, Florida; and New Orleans, Louisiana. Because of a sustained decrease in the number of drawback claims and the amount of drawback payments, Customs is proposing a consolidation of the Drawback Program. The closing of the three Drawback Centers is part of the planned consolidation and is intended to promote operational efficiency in the processing of drawback claims.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 20, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (preferably in triplicate) may be submitted to the U.S. Customs Service, Office of Regulations & Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at the U.S. Customs Service, 799 9th Street, NW., Washington, DC, during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark at (202) 572-8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sherri Hoffman, U.S. Customs Service, Entry and Drawback Management, (202) 927-0300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Consolidation of Drawback Centers

Since 1996, Customs has recognized a decrease in both the number of drawback claims and the amount of drawback payments. To verify these trends, and to determine how to most efficiently operate the Drawback

Program, Customs conducted an internal evaluation of the program. Customs also retained the services of an independent contractor to review the Drawback Program to ensure that the agency's findings were valid.

The findings of both the agency-led review and the independent contractor's assessment indicated the benefits of consolidating the processing of drawback claims by reducing the number of Drawback Centers.

In a Notice to Congress on March 12, 2001, filed in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 2075, Customs proposed the closure of four Drawback Centers. The Senate Finance and House Ways and Means Committees concurred with the proposal for consolidation, but with the recommendation that only three Drawback Centers be eliminated and the San Francisco Drawback Center remain operational. The Commissioner of Customs concurred with this recommendation and it was proposed to phase-in the closure of the Drawback Centers located at the ports of Boston, MA; Miami, FL; and New Orleans, LA. The remaining five Drawback Centers, located at the ports of New York, NY/ New York, NJ; Houston, TX; Chicago, IL; Los Angeles, CA; and San Francisco, CA would remain operational.

Closing of Drawback Centers To Be Phased-In

To assist the remaining five Drawback Centers in accommodating an increased number of drawback claims, it is proposed to phase-in the closing of the three Drawback Centers. If, after further consideration and review of any comments submitted in response to the solicitation of comments set forth in this document, Customs decides to adopt as a final rule these proposed changes, it is proposed to phase-in the closing of the Drawback Centers as follows:

(1) The first Drawback Centers to close would be the centers at the ports of Boston, Massachusetts and New Orleans, Louisiana. These two centers would close 30 days from the date a final rule adopting these proposed changes is published in the **Federal Register**. At that time, drawback claims would no longer be accepted at the Boston or New Orleans Drawback Centers, and claims would be required to be filed at one of the five remaining Drawback Centers. Drawback claims submitted to the Boston or New Orleans Drawback Centers after this date would be rejected. Once rejected, it would be the responsibility of the claimant to ensure timely filing of the drawback claim at one of the five remaining Drawback Centers. Customs personnel at the ports of Boston and New Orleans

would continue to process drawback claims that were submitted prior to commencement of this first phase-in period, for a period of 12-months. After this time, all remaining claims filed at the Boston Drawback Center prior to commencement of this first phase-in period, that have not been liquidated and require Customs review, would be forwarded to the New York/Newark Drawback Center for final processing. All remaining claims that were filed at the New Orleans Drawback Center prior to commencement of this first phase-in period, that have not been liquidated and require Customs review, would be forwarded to the Houston Drawback Center for final processing.

(2) The third Drawback Center to close would be the one located at the port of Miami, Florida. This center would close 180 days from the date a final rule adopting these proposed changes is published in the **Federal Register**. At that time, drawback claims would no longer be accepted at the Miami Drawback Center, and claims would be required to be filed at one of the five remaining Drawback Centers. Drawback claims submitted to the Miami Drawback Center after this date would be rejected. Once rejected, it would be the responsibility of the claimant to ensure timely filing of the drawback claim at one of the five remaining Drawback Centers. Customs personnel at the port of Miami would continue to process drawback claims that were submitted prior to commencement of this second phase-in period, for a period of 12-months. After this time, all remaining claims filed at the Miami Drawback Center prior to commencement of this second phase-in period, that have not been liquidated and require Customs review, would be forwarded to the Chicago Drawback Center for final processing.

Claimant Requirements To File in Designated Alternate Drawback Centers

In order to file a drawback claim at one of the five remaining Drawback Centers, a claimant would be required to possess either a district permit for the location at which the claim will be filed or a national permit. Claimants are reminded that a national permit requires use of the Automated Broker Interface of Customs Automated Commercial System when filing drawback claims. Claimants must ensure that all permit, license and bond requirements are met in accordance with the regulations. See parts 111 and 113 of the Customs Regulations.