One-Time Inspection

(a) For airplanes on which a forward engine mount vibration isolator has been removed or reinstalled prior to the effective date of this AD: Within 2,500 flight cycles after the first removal or reinstallation of a forward engine mount vibration isolator, or within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever comes later, do a one-time torque test (inspection) of the attachment bolts of the forward engine mount vibration isolators on the left- and right-hand sides of the airplane to determine if the bolts are adequately torqued, according to Dornier Service Bulletin SB-328J-71-109, dated March 26, 2001, including Dornier 328JET Aircraft Maintenance Manual (AMM) Temporary Revision (TR) 71-130, dated March 8, 2001.

Replacement of Bolts

(b) During the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, if the torque value of any attachment bolt is found to be outside the limits specified in Dornier Service Bulletin SB-328J-71-109, dated March 26, 2001, including Dornier 328JET AMM TR 71-130, dated March 8, 2001: Before further flight, do all actions associated with replacing all bolts on the vibration isolator on which the improperly torqued bolt was found (including performing a detailed visual inspection to determine the condition of components of the vibration isolator and replacement of any damaged components with new components, removing the existing bolts and washers that attach the forward engine mount vibration isolators to the engine, installing new bolts to reattach the forward engine mount vibration isolators to the engine, and torquing the new bolts to adequate torque values), according to the service bulletin.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed visual inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

Torque Requirements

(c) For all airplanes: As of the effective date of this AD, no one may install an attachment bolt on the forward engine mount vibration isolators on any airplane, unless the attachment bolt is torqued within the limits specified in Dornier 328JET AMM TR 71–130, dated March 8, 2001.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

Special Flight Permits

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(f) The actions shall be done in accordance with Dornier Service Bulletin SB-328J-71-109, dated March 26, 2001, including Dornier 328JET Aircraft Maintenance Manual Temporary Revision 71-130, dated March 8, 2001. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from FAIRCHILD DORNIER, DORNIER Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D-82230 Wessling, Germany. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in German airworthiness directive 2001–163, dated June 14, 2001.

Effective Date

(g) This amendment becomes effective on February 8, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 21, 2001.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 02–147 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 01-ACE-7]

Amendment to Class E Airspace, Ankeny, IA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of

effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Ankeny, IA. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, December 27, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the Federal Register on September 24, 2001 (66 FR 48794). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a noncontroversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on December 27, 2001. No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on November 7, 2001

Herman J. Lyons,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region. [FR Doc. 02–166 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 01-ASO-17]

Establishment of Class E5 Airspace, Wauchula, FL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action establishes Class E5 airspace at Wauchula, FL. A Non-Directional Beacon (NDB) Runway (RWY) 36 Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) has been developed for Wauchula Municipal Airport, Wauchula, FL. As a result, controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is needed to contain the SIAP and other Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Wauchula Municipal Airport. The operating status of the airport will change from Visual Flight Rules (VFR) to include IFR operations concurrent with the publication of the SIAP.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, April 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Walter R. Cochran, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–5588.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On November 20, 2001, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) by establishing Class E5 airspace at Wauchula, FL (66 FR 58082) to provide adequate controlled airspace to contain the NDB RWY 36 SIAP and other IFR operations at Wauchula Municipal Airport. Class E airspace designations for airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in FAA Order 7400.9J, dated August 31, 2001, and effective September 16, 2001, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.7 The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received.

The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) establishes Class E5 airspace at Wauchula, FL.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, if, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation, as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by Reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR Part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; EO 10854, 24 CF 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9J, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 31, 2001, and effective September 16, 2001, is amended as follows: Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward from 700 feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

ASO FL E5 Wauchula, FL [New]

Wauchula Municipal Airport, FL (Lat. 27°30′36″ N, long. 81°52′50″ W) Wauchula NDB

(Lat. 27°30′36″ N, long. 81°53′00″ W) That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of Wauchula Municipal Airport and within 4 miles east and 8 miles west of the 176° bearing from the Wauchula NDB extending from the 6.4-mile radius to 16 miles south of the airport.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on December 27, 2001.

Cesar I. Perez,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 02–164 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 01-ASO-15]

Amendment of Class E5 Airspace, Andrews-Murphy, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends Class E5 airspace at Andrews-Murphy, NC. A Area Navigation (RNAV), Global Positioning System (GPS), Runway (RWY) 8 Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP), has been developed for Andrews-Murphy, NC. As a result, controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level

(AGL) is needed to accommodate the SIAP.

DATES: 0901 UTC, April 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Walter R. Cochran, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–5627.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On November 20, 2001, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) by amending Class E5 airspace at Andrews-Murphy, NC, (66 FR 58080). This action provides adequate Class E airspace for IFR operations at Andrews-Murphy, NC. Designations for Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface are published in FAA Order 7400.9J, dated August 31, 2001, and effective September 16, 2001, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR part 71.1. The Class E designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received.

The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) amends Class E5 airspace at Andrews-Murphy, NC.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation, as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, navigation (air).