§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2002–14–24 Cessna Aircraft Company: Amendment 39–12824. Docket 2000– NM–388–AD.

Applicability: Model 650 airplanes, serial numbers –0001 through –0241 inclusive, and serial numbers –7001 through –7112 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent inadvertent disengagement of the locking mechanism of the side brace mechanism assembly of the left or right main landing gear (MLG), which could lead to collapse of the respective MLG, and result in gear-up landing and possible injury to passengers and crew; accomplish the following:

One-Time Inspection

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, do a one-time inspection of the side brace mechanism assemblies of the left and right MLGs to detect any incorrect part number (P/N) found installed, as specified in Cessna Service Bulletin SB650–32–47, including Cessna Service Bulletin Supplemental Data SB650–32–47, both dated August 14, 2000.

(1) If the correct part number is found installed on the left side brace mechanism assembly, P/N 6217076–201, and on the right side brace mechanism assembly, P/N 6217076–202, no further action is required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

Corrective Action

(2) If incorrect P/N 6217076–2, 6217076–4, or 6217076–9 is found installed on either the left or right side brace mechanism assembly: Prior to further flight, replace any incorrect left side brace mechanism assembly with a new, improved assembly, P/N 6217076–201; and replace any incorrect right side brace mechanism assembly with a new, improved assembly, P/N 6217076–202; per Cessna Service Bulletin SB650–32–47, including Cessna Service Bulletin Supplemental Data SB650–32–47, both dated August 14, 2000. After the replacement action, no further action is required by this AD.

Spares

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a left or right MLG side

brace mechanism assembly, P/N 6217076–2, 6217076–4, or 6217076–9, on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Cessna Service Bulletin SB650-32-47, including Cessna Service Bulletin Supplemental Data SB650-32-47, both dated August 14, 2000. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Cessna Aircraft Co., P.O. Box 7706, Wichita, Kansas 67277. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

Effective Date

(f) This amendment becomes effective on August 26, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 11, 2002.

Lorio Liu-Nelson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 02–18199 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002–CE-11-AD; Amendment 39-12829; AD 2002-15-01]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Models H-36 "Dimona", HK 36 R "Super Dimona", HK 36 TC, HK 36 TS, HK 36 TTC, HK 36 TTC-ECO, HK 36 TTC-ECO (Restricted Category), and HK 36 TTS Sailplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH (Diamond) Models H-36 "Dimona", HK 36 R "Super Dimona", HK 36 TC, HK 36 TS, HK 36 TTC, HK 36 TTC-ECO, HK 36 TTC-ECO (Restricted Category), and HK 36 TTS sailplanes. This AD requires you to inspect the long aileron push rods in both wings for damage and modify the push rods. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Austria. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct damage in the long aileron push control rods, which could result in failure of the aileron push rods and decreased control. Such failure could lead to aeroelastic flutter.

DATES: This AD becomes effective on September 3, 2002.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations as of September 3, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may get the service information referenced in this AD from Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH, N.A. Otto-Strasse 5, A–2700 Wiener Neistadt, Austria; telephone: 43 2622 26 700; facsimile: 43 2622 26 780. You may view this information at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–CE–11–AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, FAA,

Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4144; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

What Events Have Caused This AD?

The Austro Control GmbH (Austro Control), which is the airworthiness authority for Austria, recently notified FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Diamond Models H-36 "Dimona", HK 36 R "Super Dimona", HK 36 TC, HK 36 TS, HK 36 TTC, HK 36 TTC-ECO, HK 36 TTC-ECO (Restricted Category), and HK 36 TTS sailplanes. The Austro Control reports that during the preflight of one sailplane, the long aileron push rod was found to be broken. On several sailplanes, the aileron push control rods in both wings were found damaged due to contact or interference with the support for the aileron bellcrank.

What Is the Potential Impact If FAA Took No Action?

If the damaged aileron push control rods are not detected and corrected, the damage could result in failure of the aileron push rods and decreased control. Such failure could lead to aeroelastic flutter.

Has FAA Taken Any Action to This Point?

We issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to all Diamond Models H—36 "Dimona", HK 36 R "Super Dimona", HK 36 TC, HK 36 TS, HK 36 TTC, HK 36 TTC-ECO (Restricted Category), and HK 36 TTS sailplanes. This proposal was published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on May 20, 2002 (67 FR 35459). The NPRM proposed to require you to inspect the long aileron push rods in both wings for damage and modify the push rods.

Was the Public Invited To Comment?

The FAA encouraged interested persons to participate in the making of this amendment. We did not receive any comments on the proposed rule or on our determination of the cost to the public.

FAA's Determination

What Is FAA's Final Determination on This Issue?

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, we have determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We have determined that these minor corrections:

- Provide the intent that was proposed in the NPRM for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- —Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM.

Cost Impact

How Many Sailplanes Does This AD Impact?

We estimate that this AD affects 45 sailplanes in the U.S. registry.

What Is the Cost Impact of This AD on Owners/Operators of the Affected Sailplanes?

We estimate the following costs to accomplish the inspection:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per sailplane	Total cost on U.S. operators		
1 workhour × \$60 per hour = \$60	None	\$60	\$60 × 45 = \$2,700		
We estimate the following costs to accomplish the modification:					

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per sailplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
2 workhours × \$60 per hour = \$120	\$80	\$200	\$200 × 45 = \$9,000

Compliance Time of This AD

Why Is a Compliance Time of 10 Hours Time-in-Service (TIS) Used for the Inspection of the Long Aileron Push Rods?

Normally, FAA uses a 10-hour TIS compliance time for urgent safety of flight conditions. However, sailplane operation varies among operators. It might take operators between 3 months to 12 months or more to accumulate 10 hours TIS. For this reason, FAA has determined that the compliance time of this AD should be 10 hours TIS to ensure this condition is corrected in a timely manner but does not unduly penalize operators.

Regulatory Impact

Does This AD Impact Various Entities?

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Does This AD Involve a Significant Rule or Regulatory Action?

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the

Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new AD to read as follows:

2002–15–01 Diamond Aircraft Industries GMBH: Amendment 39–12829; Docket No. 2002–CE–11–AD.

(a) What sailplanes are affected by this AD? This AD affects Models H–36 "Dimona", HK 36 R "Super Dimona", HK 36 TC, HK 36 TS, HK 36 TTC, HK 36 TTC–ECO, HK 36 TTC–ECO (Restricted Category), and HK 36

TTS sailplanes, all serial numbers, that are certificated in any category.

(b) Who must comply with this AD? Anyone who wishes to operate any of the sailplanes identified in paragraph (a) of this AD must comply with this AD.

(c) What problem does this AD address? The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct damage in the long aileron push control rods, which could result in failure of the aileron push rods and decreased control. Such failure could lead to aeroelastic flutter.

(d) What actions must I accomplish to address this problem? To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) Inspect the long aileron push rods in both wings.	Within the next 10 hours time-in-service (TIS) after September 3, 2002 (the effective date of this AD).	In accordance with paragraph 1.8 Measures of Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Service Bulletin No. MSB36–72, dated February 1, 2002; Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Work Instruction No. WI–MSB36–72, dated February 1, 2002; and the applicable sail-plane maintenance manual.
(2) If any long aileron push rods are found damaged during the inspection required in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD, modify the push rods.	Before further flight, after the inspection required in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD.	In accordance with paragraph 1.8 Measures of Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Service Bulletin No. MSB36–72, dated February 1, 2002; Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Work Instruction No. WI–MSB36–72, dated February 1, 2002; and the applicable sail-plane maintenance manual.
(3) If no damage is found during the inspection required in paragraph (d)(1), modify the push rods.	Within the next 25 hours TIS after September 3, 2002 (the effective date of this AD).	In accordance with paragraph 1.8 Measures of Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Service Bulletin No. MSB36–72, dated February 1, 2002; Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Work Instruction No. WI–MSB36–72, dated February 1, 2002; and the applicable sail-plane maintenance manual.

(e) Can I comply with this AD in any other way? You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Standards Office Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Standards Office Manager.

Note 1: This AD applies to each sailplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For sailplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

- (f) Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance? Contact Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4144; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.
- (g) What if I need to fly the sailplane to another location to comply with this AD? The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and

21.199) to operate your sailplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference? Actions required by this AD must be done in accordance with Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Service Bulletin No. MSB36-72, dated February 1, 2002; and Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Work Instruction No. WI-MSB36-72, dated February 1, 2002. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get copies from Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH, N.A. Otto-Strasse 5, A-2700 Wiener Neistadt, Austria; telephone: 43 2622 26 700; facsimile: 43 2622 26 780. You may view copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in Austrian AD No. 111, dated February 26, 2002.

(i) When does this amendment become effective? This amendment becomes effective on September 3, 2002.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 12, 2002.

Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–18333 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NE-35-AD; Amendment 39-12826; AD 2002-14-26]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Turbomeca S.A. Arriel Models 1A, 1A1, 1B, 1D, and 1D1 Turboshaft Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for

comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Turbomeca S.A. Arriel models 1A, 1A1, 1B, 1D, and 1D1 turboshaft engines. This action requires installation of containment shield rings around the free turbine blade area, and installation of a double support around the gearbox free turbine bearing housing. This amendment is prompted by two reports of the cantilevered axis of the free turbine moving from its design position and inducing blade trajectories outside the current design free turbine containment area. This condition can lead to uncontainment of the free turbine during an overspeed