Act to the extent that the exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policies and provisions of the Act. Applicants request an exemption under section 6(c) of the Act from section 15(a) of the Act and rule 18f–2 under the Act to permit them to enter into and materially amend Subadvisory Agreements without shareholder approval.

3. Applicants assert that shareholders rely on the Advisor to select and monitor the Subadvisors best suited to achieve a Series' investment objectives. Applicants assert that, from the perspective of the investor, the role of the Subadvisors is comparable to that of individual portfolio managers employed by other investment advisory firms. Applicants contend that requiring shareholder approval of the Subadvisory Agreements would impose expenses and unnecessary delays on the Series, and may preclude the Advisor from acting promptly in a manner considered advisable by the Board. Applicants note that the Advisory Agreement will remain fully subject to section 15(a) of the Act and rule 18f–2 under the Act, including the requirements for shareholder approval.

Applicants' Conditions

Applicants agree that the order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:

1. The Advisor will not enter into a Subadvisory Agreement with any Affiliated Subadvisor without that agreement, including the compensation to be paid thereunder, being approved by the shareholders of the applicable Series (or, if the Series serves as a funding medium for any sub-account of a registered separate account, pursuant to voting instructions provided by owners of the variable annuity contracts and variable life insurance contracts (''Contract Owners'') who have allocated assets to that sub-account).

2. At all times, a majority of the Board will be Independent Trustees, subject to the suspension of this requirement for the death, disqualification or bona fide resignation of trustees as provided by rule 10e–1 under the Act, and the nomination of new or additional Independent Trustees will be at the discretion of the then-existing Independent Trustees.

3. When a Subadvisor change is proposed for a Series with an Affiliated Subadvisor, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, will make a separate finding, reflected in the Board minutes, that the change is in the best interests of the Series and its shareholders (or, if the Series serves as a funding medium for any sub-account of a registered separate account, in the best interests of the Series and the Contract Owners who have allocated assets to that sub-account), and does not involve a conflict of interest from which the Advisor or the Affiliated Subadvisor derives an inappropriate advantage.

4. Before a Series may rely on the requested order, the operation of the Series in the manner described in the application will be approved by a majority of the Series' outstanding voting securities, (or, if the Series serves as a funding medium for any sub-account of a registered separate account, pursuant to voting instructions provided by Contract Owners who have allocated assets to that sub-account) or, in the case of a Series whose public shareholders (or Contract Owners through a sub-account of a registered separate account) purchase shares on the basis of a prospectus containing the disclosure contemplated by condition 6 below, by the initial shareholder(s) before offering shares of that Series to the public (or to Contract Owners through a sub-account of a registered separate account).

5. The Advisor will provide general management services to the Fund and its Series, including overall supervisory responsibility for the general management and investment of each Series' securities portfolio, and, subject to review and approval by the Board, will: (a) Set the Series' overall investment strategies; (b) evaluate, select and recommend Subadvisors to manage all or part of a Series' assets; (c) allocate and, when appropriate, reallocate a Series' assets among multiple Subadvisors; (d) monitor and evaluate the performance of Subadvisors; and (e) implement procedures reasonably designed to ensure that the Subadvisors comply with the relevant Series' investment objectives, policies and restrictions.

6. Each Series relying on the requested order will disclose in its prospectus the existence, substance, and effect of any order granted pursuant to the application. In addition, each Series will hold itself out to the public as employing the management structure described in the application. The prospectus will prominently disclose that the Advisor has the ultimate responsibility (subject to oversight by the Board) to oversee the Subadvisors and recommend their hiring, termination, and replacement.

7. No trustee or officer of the Fund or officer or director of the Advisor will own directly or indirectly (other than through a pooled investment vehicle that is not controlled by that trustee, director or officer), any interest in a Subadvisor, except for: (a) Ownership of interests in the Advisor or any entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the Advisor; or (b) ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding securities of any class of equity or debt of a publicly-traded company that is either a Subadvisor or an entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with a Subadvisor.

8. Within 90 days of the hiring of any new Subadvisor, shareholders of the Series (or, if the Series serves as a funding medium for any sub-account of a registered separate account, Contract Owners who have allocated assets to that sub-account) will be furnished all information about the new Subadvisor that would be included in a proxy statement, including any change in such disclosure caused by an addition of a new Subadvisor. To meet this condition, the Series will provide shareholders (or Contract Owners) with an information statement meeting the requirements of Regulation 14C, Schedule 14C, and Item 22 of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–17916 Filed 7–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–46176; File No. SR–Amex–2002–60]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC To Extend for an Additional 90 Days Its Pilot Program Relating to Facilitation Cross Transactions

July 9, 2002.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on July 3, 2002, the American Stock Exchange LLC (''Amex'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is granting accelerated approval of the proposed rule change.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to extend for an additional 90 days its pilot program relating to facilitation cross transactions, described in Item II.A. below. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, Amex, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to extend for an additional 90 days its pilot program relating to member firm facilitation cross transactions, which was originally approved by the Commission in June 2000, was most recently extended on April 8, 2002, and expires on July 6, 2002.[3]

Revised Commentary .02(d) to Amex Rule 950(d) establishes a pilot program to allow facilitation cross transactions in equity options.[4] The pilot program entitles a floor broker, under certain conditions, to cross a specified percentage of a customer order with a member firm's proprietary account before market makers in the crowd can participate in the transaction. The provision generally applies to orders of 400 contracts or more. However, the Exchange is permitted to establish smaller eligible order sizes, on a class by class basis, provided that the eligible order size is not for fewer than 50 contracts.

Under the current program, when a trade takes place at the market provided by the crowd, all public customer orders on the specialist's book or represented in the trading crowd at the time the market was established must be satisfied first. Following satisfaction of any customer orders on the specialist's book, the floor broker is entitled to facilitate up to 20% of the contracts remaining in the customer order. When a floor broker proposes to execute a facilitation cross at a price between the best bid and offer provided by the crowd in response to his initial request for a market—and the crowd then wants to take part or all of the order at the improved price—the floor broker is entitled to priority over the crowd to facilitate up to 40% of the contracts. If the floor broker has proposed the cross at a price between the best bid and offer provided by the crowd in response to his initial request for a market, and the trading crowd subsequently improves the floor broker's price, and the facilitation cross is executed at that improved price, the floor broker would only be entitled to priority to facilitate up to 20% of the contracts.

The program also provides that if the facilitation transaction takes place at the specialist's quoted bid or offer, any participation allocated to the specialist pursuant to Amex trading floor practices would apply only to the number of contracts remaining after all public customer orders have been filled and the member firm's crossing rights have been exercised.[5] However, in no case could the total number of contracts guaranteed to the member firm and the specialist exceed 40% of the facilitation transaction.

In the two years since the pilot program was first implemented, the Exchange has found it to be generally successful. The Exchange seeks to extend the pilot program for an additional 90 days, pending consideration of a related proposed rule change it has filed with the Commission[6] concerning revisions to the program that the Amex believes will provide further incentive for price improvement by using different procedures to determine specialist and registered option trader participation. The related proposal would also make the program permanent.

In order to allow the pilot program to be extended without significant interruption, the Amex has requested that the Commission expedite review of, and grant accelerated approval to, the proposal to extend it, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.[7]

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act[8] in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act[9] in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will impose no burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the

[3] The pilot program, originally approved on June 2, 2000, was subsequently extended on two occasions, reinstated after a brief lapse in July 2001, and extended again in October 2001, and in January and April 2002. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 42894 (June 2, 2000), 65 FR 36850 (June 12, 2000), 43229 (August 30, 2000), 65 FR 54572 (September 8, 2000); 44019 (February 28, 2001), 66 FR 13819 (March 7, 2001); 44538 (July 11, 2001), 66 FR 37507 (July 18, 2001); 44924 (October 11, 2001), 66 FR 53456 (October 22, 2001); 45241 (January 7, 2002), 67 FR 1524 (January 11, 2002); and 45703 (April 8, 2002), 67 FR 18272 (April 15, 2002).

[4] Facilitation cross transactions occur when a floor broker representing the order of a public customer of a member firm crosses that order with a contra side order from the firm's proprietary account.

[5] Amex trading floor practices provide specialists with a greater than equal participation in trades that take place at a price at which the specialist is on parity with registered options traders in the crowd. These practices are subject to a separate filing that seeks to codify specialist allocation practices. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 42964 (June 20, 2000), 65 FR 39972 (June 28, 2000).

[6] *See* File No. SR–Amex–2000–49, available for inspection at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

[8] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

[9] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–Amex–2002–60 and should be submitted by August 7, 2002.

IV. Commission Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.[10] In its original approval of the pilot program,[11] the Commission detailed its reasons for finding its substantive features consistent with the Act, and, in particular, the requirements of Sections 6(b)(5) and 6(b)(8) of the Act.[12] The Commission has previously approved rules on other exchanges that establish substantially similar programs on a permanent basis,[13] and the extension of the pilot program on the Amex—pending review of its related proposal to revise the program and make it permanent—raises no new regulatory issues for consideration by the Commission.

The Commission finds good cause, consistent with Sections 6(b) and 19(b)(2) of the Act, for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of the notice of filing thereof in the **Federal Register**. The proposal will extend the pilot program without significant interruption while revisions are considered, and does not raise any new regulatory issues.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (SR–Amex–2002–60) be, and hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis as a pilot program through October 4, 2002.

[10] In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

[11] *See supra,* note 3.

[12] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and (b)(8).

[13] *See, e.g.,* Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 42835 (May 26, 2000), 65 FR 35683 (June 5, 2000), and 42848 (May 26, 2000), 65 FR 36206 (June 7, 2000).

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[14]

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–17978 Filed 7–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–46178; File No. SR–DTC–2001–19]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Automated Corporation Action Program Applicable to the Exercise of Warrants, Conversions, and Put Option Privileges

July 10, 2002.

On December 18, 2001, The Depository Trust Company (''DTC'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') a proposed rule change (File No. SR–DTC–2001–19) pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'').[1] Notice of the proposed rule change was published in the **Federal Register** on May 8, 2002.[2] No comment letters were received. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is granting approval of the proposed rule change.

I. Description

The Commission has proposed for comment amendments to Rule 17Ad–14 under the Act[3] that will expand the scope of the rule to include reorganization events in addition to tender offers and exchange offers.[4] Under the proposed changes to Rule 17Ad–14, a ''reorganization agent''[5] acting on behalf of an issuer in connection with a ''reorganization event''[6] which involves securities eligible at a ''qualified registered securities depository''[7] would be required to establish an account at DTC to receive the subject securities from DTC participants by book-entry deliveries. In addition, the reorganization agent would not be permitted to require DTC to deliver any physical securities prior to the third business day following the record date, payment date, or expiration date, as applicable, of the reorganization event. These proposed changes to Rule 17Ad–14 would subject transfer agents acting as reorganization agents to requirements under Rule 17Ad–14 similar to those that currently apply to transfer agents acting as depositaries in tender offers and as exchange agents in exchange offers.

In order to be ready for processing changes that will occur if the Commission adopts the proposed amendments to Rule 17Ad–14, DTC is establishing its Automated Corporation Action Program (''ACAP''). The ACAP procedures and ACAP agreement will govern participants' exercises of warrants, conversions, and put options privileges that DTC has made eligible for ACAP (''ACAP reorganization event''). Tender offers and exchange offers will continue to be processed through DTC's Automated Tender Offer Program. Prior to making one of the above-listed reorganization events eligible for ACAP, DTC and the agent will have entered into an ACAP agreement that provides that DTC's ACAP procedures are applicable to the event.[8]

Under the ACAP procedures, participants wishing to exercise warrant, conversion, or put option privileges in an ACAP reorganization event will transmit the acceptance to DTC. DTC will transmit an instruction to the agent in the form of a DTC ''agent's message'' and will affect a book-entry delivery of the subject securities to the account of the reorganization agent maintained at DTC

[14] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45867 (May 2, 2002), 67 FR 30986 (May 8, 2002).

[3] 17 CFR 240.17Ad–14.

[4] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 40386 (August 31, 1998), 63 FR 47209 [File No. S7–25–98].

[5] *Id.* As proposed, a ''reorganization agent'' would be the transfer agent receiving shares from tendering depository participants and performing payment or exchange functions in connection with a reorganization event.

[6] *Id.* As proposed, a ''reorganization event'' would mean and include conversions, maturities, full and partial redemptions, calls, put option exercises, and warrant and rights exercises involving corporate and municipal securities of an issuer.

[7] ''Qualified registered securities depository'' is defined in Rule 17Ad–14 as a registered clearing agency having rules and procedures approved by the Commission pursuant to section 19 of the Act to enable book-entry delivery of the securities of the subject company to, and return of those securities from, the transfer agent through the facilities of that securities depository.

[8] DTC and the reorganization agent will enter into an ACAP agreement, the terms of which will apply to all reorganization events for that reorganization agent thereafter made eligible for ACAP. When ACAP is fully automated, it is contemplated that DTC's Participant Terminal System or other electronic means will be used to confirm the agreement between DTC and the reorganization agent with respect to each reorganization event and to confirm any special procedures applicable to an event. Prior to completion of ACAP system automation, event information may be exchanged by telephone, fax, or e-mail.