NAFTA-TAA-06205; ZF-Meritor, LLC, Meritor Clutch Co., Maxton, NC NAFTA-TAA-05955; Swanson Erie Corp., Assembly Systems, Erie, PA NAFTA-TAA-05981; Truman Logging, Inc., Rexford, MT

NAFTA-TAÅ-05853; Tri-Way Manufacturing, Inc., El Paso, TX NAFTA-TAA-05835; Pabst Brewing Co., Lehigh Valley Plant, Fogelsville, PA NAFTA-TAA-05949; Schaeff, Inc., A Subsidiary of Terex, Sioux City, IA

NAFTA-TAA-06196; Bemis Manufacturing Co., Crandon Div., Crandon, WI

NAFTA-TAA-05974; Quality Components, Inc., Klamath Falls,

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

NAFTA-TAA-05783; Maska U.S., Inc., A Subsidiary of The Hockey Co., Williston, VT

NAFTA-TAA-05764; J. Dashew, Inc., Baltimore, MD

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-06187; Honeywell International Garett Engine Boosting (Formerly Doing Business as Allied Signal), Garrett Engine Boosting Systems, Torrance, CA: April 14, 2002.

NAFTA-TAA-06113; Crossroad Knitting, Inc., Claudville, VA: April 15, 2001.

NAFTA-TAA-06107; Modine Manufacturing Co., Emporia Facility, Emporia, KS: January 16,

NAFTA-TAA-06102 & A; Harris Welco, Plastics Departmentm Kings Mountain, NC and Personnel Services Unlimited, Kings Mountain, NC (Employed in the Plastics Department, Harris Welco, Kings Mountain, NC): April 22, 2001.

NAFTA-TAA-06063; Celestica, Inc., Westminster, CO: March 29, 2001. NAFTA-TAA-05978; Fourply, Inc.,

Plywood Div., Grans Pass, OR:

March 8, 2001.

NAFTA-TAA-5964; Levolor Kirsch Window Fashions, Newell Rubbermaid Div., Shamokin, PA: March 12, 2001.

NAFTA-TAA-05914; Cedar Hill Manufacturing, Inc., Ansonville, NC: February 15, 2001.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were

issued during the month of June, 2002. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: July 3, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-17138 Filed 7-8-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,024]

Whisper Jet Inc., Sanford, FL; Notice of **Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 11, 2002, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Whisper Jet, Inc., Sanford, Florida.

The petitioner submitting the petition has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of June, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-17137 Filed 7-8-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05773]

Superior Milling, Inc., Watersmeet, MI; **Notice of Negative Determination** Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 29, 2002, the employees requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 18, 2002, and was published in the Federal Register on May 2, 2002 (67 FR 22115).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of

the decision.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of rough green lumber at Superior Milling, Inc, Watersmeet, Michigan was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no increased company imports of rough green lumber from Mexico or Canada, nor did the subject firm shift production from the subject plant to Mexico or Canada. A survey of customers conducted by the Department of Labor revealed that customers did not increase their import purchase of products like or directly competitive with those produced at the Watersmeet plant from Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that some customers of the subject plant imported rough green lumber during the relevant period. The petitioner also specifies which customers they believe are importing rough green lumber and thus impacting the subject plant.

A review of the initial investigation and the corresponding survey results conducted during the investigation shows that the company supplied a customer list that accounted for greater than 85% of the subject plant's sales for the years 2000 and 2001. Extrapolating the provided customer list sales from subject plant sales shows that the unreported customers as a group increased their purchases from the subject firm during the relevant period.

During the initial investigation the Department of Labor surveyed the reported declining customers of the subject firm regarding their purchases of rough green lumber during the relevant period (2000 and 2001). The survey revealed that none of the respondents increased their imports of rough green lumber from Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The petitioner further alleges that a major customer imported a sizeable amount of flooring stock from Canada and believes that those imports

adversely affected the profitability of the Superior Milling.

Imports of flooring stock from Canada by the major customer is not "like or directly competitive" with articles produced by the firm and therefore is not a relevant factor in meeting the eligibility requirement of section 250 of the Trade Act.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of June 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–17149 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c) (2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed revision of the "Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) Program." A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the Addresses section below on or before September 9, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Washington, DC 20212, telephone number 202–691–7628 (This is not a toll free number.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, telephone number 202–691–7628. (See ADDRESSES section.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The BLS has been charged by Congress (29 USC Chapters 1 and 2) with the responsibility of collecting and publishing monthly information on employment, the average wage received, and the hours worked by area and industry. The process for developing residency-based employment and unemployment estimates is a cooperative Federal-State program which uses employment and unemployment inputs available in State Employment Security Agencies (SESAs).

The labor force estimates developed and issued in this program are used for economic analysis and as a tool in the implementation of Federal economic policy in such areas as employment and economic development under the Workforce Investment Act and the Public Works and Economic Development Act, among others.

The estimates also are used in economic analysis by public agencies and private industry, and for State and area funding allocations and eligibility determinations according to legal and administrative requirements.

Implementation of current policy and legislative authorities could not be accomplished without collection of the data.

The reports and manual covered by this request are integral parts of the LAUS program insofar as they insure and/or measure the timeliness, quality, consistency, and adherence to program directions of the LAUS estimates and related research.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Action

The BLS is revising the information collection request that makes up the LAUS program.

All aspects of the program are automated. All data are entered directly into BLS-provided systems.

The BLS, as part of its responsibility to develop concepts and methods by which SESAs prepare estimates under the LAUS program, developed a manual for use by the SESAs. The manual explains the conceptual framework for the State and area estimates of employment and unemployment, specifies the procedures to be used, provides input information, and discusses the theoretical and empirical basis for each procedure. This manual is updated on a regular schedule.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Title: Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) Program. OMB Number: 1220–0017. Affected Public: State government. Total Respondents: 52. Frequency: Monthly and Annually.

Average Time Per Response: 1.60 hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 139,680 hours.

Total Responses: 87,300.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of June, 2002.

Jesús Salinas,

Acting Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
[FR Doc. 02–17150 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–24–P