SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Title: Outer Continental Shelf Air Regulations, ICR number 1601.05, and OMB Control Number 2060-0249, expiration date: June 30, 2002. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 29, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments, referencing EPA ICR No. 1601.05 and OMB Control No. 2060–0249, to the following addresses: Susan Auby, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Collection Strategies Division (Mail Code 2822T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; and to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR contact Susan Auby at EPA by phone at (202) 566–1672, by E-Mail at auby.susan@epa.gov or download off the Internet at http:// www.epa.gov/icr and refer to EPA ICR No. 1601.05. For technical questions about the ICR contact David Sanders at EPA by phone at (919) 541–3356. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Outer Continental Shelf Air Regulation, OMB Control Number 2060-0249, EPA ICR Number 1601.05, expiration date June 30, 2002. This is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Section 328 (Air Pollution from Outer Continental Shelf Activities) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended in 1990, gives EPA responsibility for regulating air pollution from OCS sources located offshore of the States along the Pacific, Arctic, and Atlantic Coasts, and along the eastern Gulf of Mexico coast (off the coast of Florida). The U.S. Department of Interior's Minerals Management Service (MMS) retains the responsibility for regulating air pollution from sources located in the western Gulf of Mexico.

There are five types of reporting requirements for the industrial respondent: Notice of intent (NOI) to construct, Preconstruction permit application, Compliance testing, Operating permit application, and Record keeping and reporting tasks. The

owner or operator must submit not more than 18 months prior to submitting a permit application, a NOI to construct to the EPA Administrator through the EPA Regional Office and the air pollution control agency of the nearest onshore area (NOA) and adjacent onshore areas. All major sources must comply with all applicable preconstruction permit requirements including the need to submit an application for a preconstruction review permit. The owner or operator of an OCS source is responsible for developing or collecting all relevant information not otherwise available to the permit reviewing authority. Within 6 months of the start of operations, each new or modified major source is required to complete initial compliance tests to demonstrate compliance with control equipment design and performance specifications in its preconstruction permit. In addition, annual compliance tests are required for existing sources in California. A second type of permit which an owner or operator of major sources must obtain is the operating permit. The operating permits contain information on the ownership and location of a source, equipment and fuel parameters which cause emissions, the amount and type of emissions from each source, control techniques used to control emissions, and record keeping and reporting requirements to ensure that control techniques are properly implemented. Sources, in addition are required to monitor emissions and operating parameters to ensure compliance with operating requirements.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on November 6, 2001; no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 410 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and

maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Owners or operators of existing or new or modified stationary sources associated with the recovery of oil and gas resources.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 49

Frequency of Response: Annually. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 33,649.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$147,793.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1601.05 and OMB Control No. 2060-0249 in any correspondence.

Dated: June 19, 2002.

#### Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 02-16358 Filed 6-27-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6630-7]

# **Environmental Impact Statements and** Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 12, 2002 (67 FR 17992).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-USN-K11107-CA Rating EC2, Naval Station Treasure Island Disposal and Reuse Property, Implementation, Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA), City of San Francisco, San Francisco County, CA.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns about the lack of mitigation for projected air quality impacts; the absence of information on the location and amount of dredging and dredged material disposal associated with future reuse of Naval Station Treasure Island; and hazardous materials substances contamination at the site.

## **Final EISs**

ERP No. F–AFS–J65350–MT West Lake Timber Sale and Road Decommissioning Project, Implementation, Gallatin National Forest, Hebgen Lake Ranger District, Gallatin County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and recommended that a higher level of road decommissioning be incorporated into the preferred alternative. Reductions in road density are critical to aquatic health and wildlife, including the threatened grizzly bear. EPA also believes that, to fully assess and mitigate all potential impacts of the management actions, additional information should be presented regarding aquatic monitoring.

ERP No. F–AFS–J65351–MT Beaverhead-DeerLodge National Forest, Noxious Weed Control Program, Implementation, Integrated Weed Management, Beaverhead, Butte-Silver Bow, Anaconda-Deer Lodge, Granite, Jefferson, Powell and Madison Counties, Dillon, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the potential for unwanted herbicide transport to surface and ground waters and other sensitive areas. EPA believes a minimal level of monitoring for herbicides in sensitive waters should be conducted.

ERP No. F-COE-E39054-FL Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow Protection, Interim Operating Plan (IOP), Alternative 7R Final Recommend Plan, Emergency Sparrow Protection Actions, Implementation, Everglades National Park, Miami-Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action since Alternative 7R has addressed our previous water quality concerns, but still provides adequate protection to the Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow.

ERP No. F-COE-G30016-00 Programmatic—Mississippi River and Tributaries Morganza, Louisiana to the Gulf of Mexico Hurricane Protection Plan, Flood Damage Reduction from Tropical Storms and Hurricane Induced Tidal Flooding along Louisiana to the Gulf of Mexico. *Summary:* EPA had no further comments to offer on the Final Programmatic EIS.

*ERP No. F–COE–K39067–CA* Salinas Valley Water Project, Construction, Monterey County Water Resources Agency (MCWRA), Issuing of Permits or Approval of Action, Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties, CA.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-G61041-AR Little Rock Central High School National Historic Site General Management Plan, Implementation, Future Management and Use, Little Rock, AR.

*Summary:* EPA expressed lack of objections to the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-UAF-G11041-OK Altus Air Force Base (AFB), Proposed Airfield Repairs, Improvements and Adjustments to Aircrew Training and Installation of an Instrument Landing System (ILS) and a Microwave Landing System (MLS), Jackson County, OK.

Summary: EPA had no further comments to offer on the Final EIS. The Final adequately responded to comments offered on the Draft Statement.

ERP No. F–USA–E11049–KY U.S. Army Armor Center and Fort Knox Northern Training Complex, Construction and Operation of a Multi-Purpose Digital Training Ranger and a Series of Maneuver Areas, Drop and Landing Zones, Fort Knox, KY.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the proposed action.

ERP No. FA-COE-K36100-CA American River Watershed Long-Term Study, Updated Information concerning Flood Damage Reduction and Ecosystem Restoration between Folsom Dam and the Sacramento River, Sacramento, Placer and Sutter Counties, CA.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

*ERP No. FA–FHW–K40105–CA* Devil's Slide Bypass Improvement, CA–1 from Half Moon Bay Airport to Linda Mar Boulevard, Preferred Alternative Estimated Future Project-Generated Noise Study, Funding, Pacifica and San Mateo Counties, CA.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FA–NOA–A91065–00 Regulatory Adjustment 2 to the Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish and Sharks Fishery Management Plan, Updated Information concerning a Proposed Rule to Reduce Sea turtle Bycatch and Bycatch Mortality in Highly Migratory Species Fisheries, AtlanticOcean, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS-AFS-J65288-CO Uncompandere National Forest Travel Plan Revision and Forest Plan Amendment, Updated Information concerning New Travel Restrictions for Resource Management, Recreational Opportunities and Winter Travel, Implementation, Gunnision, Hinsdale, Mesa, Montrose, Ouray, San Juan and San Miguel Counties, CO.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 25, 2002.

#### **Clifford Rader**,

Environmental Protection Specialist, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–16365 Filed 6–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## [ER-FRL-6630-6]

#### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or *http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.* 

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed June 17, 2002 Through June 21, 2002

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 020243, DRAFT EIS, COE, CA, Imperial Beach Shore Protection Project, To Provide Shore Protection and to Prevent Damage to Adjacent Beachfront Structures, Silver Strand Shoreline, City of Imperial Beach, San Diego County, CA, Comment Period Ends: August 12, 2002, Contact: Stephanie Hall (213) 452–3862.
- EIS No. 020251, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Post Fire Vegetation and Fuels Management Project, Proposing Fuel Reduction, Bark Beetle Sanitation, and the Maintenance, and/or Restoration of Vegetative Communities, Beaverhead Deerlodge National Forest, Wisdom and Pintler Ranger Districts, Beaverhead and Deerlodge Counties, MT, Comment Period Ends: August 12, 2002, Contact: Amy Nerbun (406) 683–3948.
- EIS No. 020252, DRAFT EIS, FHW, IA, Avenue G Viaduct and Connecting Corridor, To Improve Access for Local Emergency Services and SafetyThrough Expanded Capacity across the Trail Corridor, Funding and NPDES Permit, Pottawattamie County, IA, Comment Period Ends: August 12, 2002,Contact: Bobby Blackmon (515) 233–7300.