moderate to difficult opportunities. The trail access would be located between Silverwood Lake Recreation Area and Big Bear.

Γ̈́he trail would be three to five feet in width and would provide varying degrees of difficulty. In some areas, the trail would utilize short sections of existing roads. Information and interpretive signs would be placed at appropriate locations along the trail corridor and at access points (e.g. road intersections, trailheads, parking lots, etc.). The trail would include construction of up to 12 trailheads, accommodating three to ten vehicles each. This proposal includes the construction of four spur trails that would provide access for the mountain communities and to several points of interest. These spurs would connect the trail to Green Valley Lake, Butler Peak, Tunnel Two ridge, and Valley of Enchantment/Breezy Point. Alternatives have not been identified at this time. The FHWA and the Forest Service invite public comments on potential alternatives for the trail.

Construction would begin in late fall of 2003 or spring of 2004, with completion of the entire system by 2005.

As additional funding becomes available, the Forest would consider enhancing interpretation opportunities on the trail. The actions would be subject to separate environmental review. Construction of facilities along the trail or at access points (e.g. water and toilets) is not planned at this time.

## Authorization

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321– 4346), Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500– 1508); U.S. Department of Agriculture NEPA Policies and Procedures (7 CFR part 1b).

## Reviewer's Obligation

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts the agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon

v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wisc. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at the time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewer may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental **Quality Regulations for implementing** the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

[Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning, and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.]

## Jeffrey W. Kolb,

 ${\it Chief, District\ Operations} \hbox{--} South.$ 

## Gene Zimmerman,

Forest Supervisor, San Bernardino NF. [FR Doc. 02–15643 Filed 6–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Maritime Administration**

[Docket No. MARAD-2002-12529]

## Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intentions to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2002.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Gearhart, Maritime Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202–366–1867; FAX: 202–366–7901 or E-MAIL:

elizabeth.gearhart@marad.dot.gov.

Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Shipbuilding Orderbook and Shipyard Employment.

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2133–0029. Form Numbers: MA–832. Expiration Date of Approval: December 31, 2002.

Summary of Collection of Information: In compliance with the Merchant Marine Act of 1936, as amended, MARAD conducts this survey to obtain information from the shipbuilding and ship repair industry to be used primarily to determine if an adequate mobilization base exists for national defense and for use in a national emergency.

Need and Use of the Information: The collection of information is necessary in order for MARAD to perform and carry out its duties required by Sections 210 and 211 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1936.

Description of Respondents: Owners of U.S. shipyards who agree to complete the requested information.

Annual Responses: 800 responses. Annual Burden: 400 hours.

Comments: Comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Comments may also be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at http://dmses.dot.gov/submit. Specifically address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. EDT (or EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at http://dms.dot.gov.

Dated: June 18, 2002.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. **Joel C. Richard**,

Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 02–15733 Filed 6–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Ex Parte No. 290 (Sub No. 5) (2002–3)]

## **Quarterly Rail Cost Adjustment Factor**

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board.

**ACTION:** Approval of rail cost adjustment factor.

**SUMMARY:** The Board has approved the third quarter 2002 rail cost adjustment factor (RCAF) and cost index filed by the Association of American Railroads. The third quarter 2002 RCAF (Unadjusted) is 1.062. The third quarter 2002 RCAF (Adjusted) is 0.557. The third quarter 2002 RCAF–5 is 0.537.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. Jeff Warren, (202) 565–1533. Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) for the hearing impaired: 1–800–877–8339.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additional information is contained in the Board's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision, write to, call, or pick up in person from: Dā-To-Dā Legal, Suite 405, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006, phone (202) 293–7776. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through FIRS: 1–800–877–8339.]

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or energy conservation.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), we conclude that our action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Decided: June 14, 2002.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Burkes.

# Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–15774 Filed 6–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### **Internal Revenue Service**

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Forms 9779, 9779(SP), 9783, 9783(SP), 9787, 9787(SP), 9789, 9789(SP) and 12252

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Forms 9779, 9779(SP), 9783, 9783(SP), 9787, 9787(SP), 9789, 9789(SP) and 12252, Electronic Federal Tax Payment System (EFTPS).

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before August 20, 2002, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Glenn P. Kirkland, Internal Revenue Service, room 6411, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the forms and instructions should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622–3945, or through the Internet (*CAROL.A.SAVAGE@irs.gov.*), Internal Revenue Service, room 6407, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Electronic Federal Tax Payment System (EFTPS).

OMB Number: 1545-1467.

Form Number: Forms 9779, 9779(SP), 9783, 9783(SP), 9787, 9787(SP), 9789, 9789(SP) and 12252.

Abstract: These forms are used by business and individual taxpayers to enroll in the Electronic Federal Tax Payment System (EFTPS). EFTPS is an electronic remittance processing system that the Service uses to accept electronically transmitted federal tax payments. EFTPS (1) establishes and maintains a taxpayer data base which includes entity information from the taxpayers or their banks, (2) initiates the

transfer of the tax payment amount from the taxpayer's bank account, (3) validates the entity information and selected elements for each taxpayer, and (4) electronically transmits taxpayer payment data to the IRS.

Current Actions: There are no changes being made to the forms at this time.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, business or other for-profit organizations, and state, local or tribal governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,471,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 20 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,490,019.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

Request for Comments: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: June 13, 2002.

## Glenn P. Kirkland,

IRS Reports Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 02–15741 Filed 6–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P