

**ACTION:** Correction to Notice of Solicitation.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides the corrected information regarding when submissions are due for the Services for Trafficking Victims Solicitation, which was first published in the **Federal Register** on June 17, 2002 at 67 FR 41265.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle Avery Weston, Program Specialist (telephone 202-514-5084 or e-mail [averym@ojp.usdoj.gov](mailto:averym@ojp.usdoj.gov)).

**DATES:** Applications for competitive programs must be received (not postmarked) at the OVC Training and Technical Assistance Center located at 10530 Rosehaven Street, Suite 400, Fairfax, Virginia 22030 on Monday, July 29, 2002, no later than 5:30 eastern standard time. OVC will not grant extensions of the due date.

Dated: June 17, 2002.

**John W. Gillis,**

*Director, Office for Victims of Crime.*

[FR Doc. 02-15665 Filed 6-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office for Victims of Crime

[OJP(OVC)-1356C]

#### Notice of Solicitation for Training and Technical Assistance for Services for Trafficking Victims; Correction

**AGENCY:** Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, Justice.

**ACTION:** Correction to notice of solicitation.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides the corrected information regarding when submissions are due for the Training and Technical Assistance for Services for Trafficking Victims solicitation, which was first published in the **Federal Register** on June 17, 2002 at 67 FR 41272.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle Avery Weston, Program Specialist (telephone 202-514-5084 or e-mail [averym@ojp.usdoj.gov](mailto:averym@ojp.usdoj.gov)).

**DATES:** Applications for competitive programs must be received (not postmarked) at the OVC Training and Technical Assistance Center located at 10530 Rosehaven Street, Suite 400, Fairfax, Virginia 22030 on Monday, July 29, 2002, no later than 5:30 eastern standard time. OVC will not grant extensions of the due date.

Dated: June 17, 2002.

**John W. Gillis,**

*Director, Office for Victims of Crime.*

[FR Doc. 02-15664 Filed 6-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 14, 2002.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Marlene Howze at ((202 693-4158 or e-mail [Howze-Marlene@dol.gov](mailto:Howze-Marlene@dol.gov)).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB desk Officer for ESA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

*Title:* Notice of Recurrence.

*OMB Number:* 1215-0167.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* Once Per Recurrence.  
*Number of Respondents:* 550.  
*Number of Annual Responses:* 550.  
*Estimated Time Per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Total Burden Hours:* 275.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$203.50.

*Description:* The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs administers the Federal Employees' Compensation Act (5 U.S.C. 8101, *et seq.*). The statute provides for continuation of pay or compensation for work related injury or disease resulting from Federal employment. The information requested on the CA-2a is obtained from claimants with previously accepted injuries who claim a recurrence of disability, and from their supervisors. The information requested relates to the specific circumstances leading up to the recurrence and employment and earnings information. If this information were not collected, an eligible beneficiary could be denied benefits, or benefits could be authorized at an incorrect rate, resulting in an underpayment or overpayment of compensation.

**Ira L. Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-15756 Filed 6-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-CH-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of May and June 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, (2) that sales or

production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and (3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-41,172; *The Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.*, Danville, VA  
TA-W-41,176; *Eastern Felt Co., Inc.*, Westerly, RI  
TA-W-41,274; *Azon Corp.*, Johnson City, NY  
TA-W-41,468; *Pacific Crest Lumber Co., Inc.*, Winlock, WA  
TA-W-40,040; *United Metal Fabricators*, Johnstown, PA

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-41,496; *Alcatel USA, Customer Service/ITAS*, Plano, TX  
TA-W-41,388; *Fujitsu Network Communications, Inc.*, Raleigh, NC  
TA-W-40,916; *EDS, PA Solution Center*, Camp Hills, PA  
TA-W-40,923; *Telecruz Technology, Inc.*, San Jose, CA  
TA-W-41,295; *Multax Systems, Inc.*, at *The Boeing Co.*, Oak Ridge, TN

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-40,880; *Madill Corp.*, Eugene, OR  
TA-W-41,028 & A; *Anne Klein for Kasper ASL Ltd*, New York, NY and *Kasper ASL Ltd*, Secaucus, NJ  
TA-W-41,348; *S.D. Warren Co. d/b/a Sappi Fine Paper North America*, Somerset Operations, Skowhegan, ME  
TA-W-40,044; *BMI Industries*, Schaumburg, IL  
TA-W-41,042; *Partridge River, Inc.*, Hoyt Lakes, MN  
TA-W-41,049; *Hale Products, Inc.*, St. Joseph, TN  
TA-W-41,105; *Arlee Home Fashions*, Leachville, AR  
TA-W-40,932; *Allegro Micro Systems, Inc.*, Willow Grove, PA  
TA-W-41,300; *L and A Molding Corp.*, Lewiston, ME

TA-W-41,022; *DT Magnetics, Inc.*, Knightdale, NC  
TA-W-41,386; *Ericsson, Inc.*, Lynchburg, VA  
TA-W-41,073; *Vishay Dale Electronics, Film Div.*, Norfolk, NE: "All workers producing thin film products and E-Rel products are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance."

#### Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-41,170; *Hoskins Manufacturing Co.*, Hamburg Plant, Hamburg, MI: November 29, 2000.  
TA-W-41,545; *Multi Products, Inc.*, Tool Room Div., Erie, PA: May 6, 2001.  
TA-W-39,291; *Emerson Electric Co.*, White-Rodgers Div., Affton, MO: April 11, 2000.  
TA-W-39,998; *Cook Technologies, Inc.*, Green Lane, PA: August 23, 2000.  
TA-W-40,731; *Fulflex of Virginia*, Stuart, VA: December 10, 2000.  
TA-W-40,861; *Master Design Furniture*, Eupora, MS: February 6, 2001.  
TA-W-40,917; *Hunter Sadler*, Tupelo, MS: September 29, 2001.  
TA-W-41,015 & A; *E.J. Footwear LLC*, Franklin, TN and *Endicott*, NY: February 14, 2001.  
TA-W-39,084; *Consolidated Auto Screen*, Woonsocket, RI: April 4, 2000.  
TA-W-41,151 & A; *Bernard Chaus, Inc.*, Secaucus, NJ and *New York, NY*: February 7, 2001.  
TA-W-41,159; *Renfro Corp.*, Star Plant, Star, NC: January 30, 2001.  
TA-W-41,184; *Xerox Corp.*, *Electronics Delivery Unit*, El Segundo, CA: February 26, 2001.  
TA-W-41,187; *Flowline Div.*, *Markovitz Enterprises, Inc.*, New Castle, PA: February 18, 2001.  
TA-W-41,217; *Scotty Fashions Cutting*, Pen Argyl, PA: March 14, 2001.  
TA-W-41,218; *Scotty Fashions #1*, Little Gap, PA: March 14, 2001.  
TA-W-41,270; *Devil Dog Manufacturing Co.*, A Div. Of *General Sportswear Co., Inc.*, Zebulon, NC: March 5, 2001.  
TA-W-41,280; *Pat and Rose Dress, Inc.*, New York, NY: March 6, 2001.  
TA-W-41,073; *Vishay Dale Electronics, Film Div.*, Norfolk, NE: February 7, 2001. "All workers engaged in the production of thick film military chips are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

TA-W-41,092; *Standard Gage Div.*, *Brown and Sharpe, Inc.*, Poughkeepsie, NY: January 11, 2001.  
TA-W-41,113; *American Fine Wire Corp.*, Div. Of *Kulicke and Soffa Industries*, Selma, AL: February 22, 2001  
TA-W-41,031; *Great American Knitting Mills, Inc.*, Bally, PA: April 18, 2002.  
TA-W-41,282; *Precision Technologies, Inc.*, Franklin, PA: March 1, 2001.  
TA-W-41,291; *Braden Manufacturing, LLC*, Fort Smith, AR: March 25, 2001.  
TA-W-41,314; *Schneider Mills, Inc.*, Alexander Mills, Plant, Forest City, NC: April 24, 2001.  
TA-W-41,483; *Acorn Products Co., Inc.*, Lewiston, ME: July 24, 2001.  
TA-W-41,489; *Goss and Deleeuw Machine Co., Inc.*, Kensington, CT: April 25, 2001.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of May and June, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increased imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with

articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

#### Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05513; Cook

*Technologies, Inc., Green Lane, PA*  
NAFTA-TAA-05551; Froedtert Malting,  
*A Div. Of International Malting Co.,*  
*LLC, Milwaukee, WI*

NAFTA-TAA-05845; Hale Products,  
*Inc., St. Joseph, TN*

NAFTA-TAA-06002; Burlington  
*Chemical Co., Burlington, NC*

NAFTA-TAA-06007; Schneider Mills,  
*Alexander Mills Plant, Forest City,*  
*NC*

NAFTA-TAA-06029; T and T Land and  
*Timber, Inc., Rexford, MT*

NAFTA-TAA-06087; International  
*Paper, Corinth, NY*

NAFTA-TAA-06067; Ericsson, Inc.,  
*Lynchburg, VA*

NAFTA-TAA-06100; Pacific Crest  
*Lumber Co., Inc., Winlock, WA*

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

NAFTA-TAA-06092; Levcor  
*International, New York, NY*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) has not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate sub-division thereof) did not become totally or partially separated from employment.

NAFTA-TAA-05606; Cooper-Standard  
*Automotive, Fairview*  
*Manufacturing Facility, Fairview,*  
*MI*

#### Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-06094; L.G. Philips  
*Displays, Ottawa, OH: April 3,*  
*2001.*

NAFTA-TAA-06140; Louisville Ladder  
*Group LLC, Louisville, KY: April 18,*  
*2001.*

NAFTA-TAA-05707; Hunter Sadler,  
*Tupelo, MS: September 29, 2001.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were

issued during the month of May and June, 2002. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: June 13, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**  
*Director, Division of Trade, Adjustment*  
*Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-15752 Filed 6-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,234]

#### Agere Systems, Orlando, FL; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received April 25, 2002, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW), Local Union 2000, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on March 11, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15225).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition filed on behalf of workers at Agere Systems, Orlando, Florida engaged in the production of wafers for integrated circuits, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The subject firm did not import wafers. The subject firm primarily produced wafers for export.

The IBEW requests administrative reconsideration based on the fact that

the wafers produced by the subject plant are shipped to foreign sources, then produced into computer chips and a portion of those foreign produced computer chips are then imported back to the United States

Imports "like or directly competitive" with what the subject plant produced must "contribute importantly" to the layoffs at the subject firm. Therefore, the scenario as presented by the petitioner relating to the subject plant's wafer production being exported to Asia, produced into computer chips and then imported back to the United States does not meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974. The product produced by the subject firm, a wafer (which includes the circuit) is not "like or directly competitive" with a finished integrated circuit, such as a computer chip.

The IBEW further indicates that the subject plant produced the same product as TAA certified plants at Agere Systems, Integrated Circuits, Reading, Pennsylvania (TA-W-39,437) and the Integrated Circuits Division, Allentown, Pennsylvania (TA-W-39,449).

A review and further clarification from the company shows that a meaningful portion of the products produced at the Pennsylvania plants were finished integrated circuits, not the wafers (with circuits) as produced by the subject plant. The Pennsylvania plants served a different customer base than the subject plant. The wafers (with circuits) are not like or directly competitive with the finished products produced at the Pennsylvania facilities. The subject plant's wafer production is not integrated into the TAA certified Pennsylvania plants' production. Therefore, the "contributed importantly" criterion is not met.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of June, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick**  
*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment*  
*Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-15746 Filed 6-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**