

freight cost using the shorter of the reported distances from either the closest PRC port of importation to the factory or from the domestic supplier to the factory on an input-specific basis.

To value corrugated cartons, nails, paper cartons, paper cover, plastic bags, steel strip, tape, and clamps, we used April-July 2001 average import values from *Monthly Statistics*. To value pallet wood, we used a 2000 pallet-wood value from the Indonesian publication *Indonesia Foreign Trade Statistics* which the Department has used to value pallet wood in two recent antidumping duty proceedings (see *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the PRC: Final Results of 1998-1999 Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Determination Not To Revoke Order in Part*, 66 FR 1953, 1955 (January 10, 2001) ("TRBs"), and accompanying decision memorandum at Comment 10, and *Persulfates from the PRC: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review*, 65 FR 46691 (July 31, 2000)).

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine that the following margin exists for Longkou TLC during the period April 1, 2001, through September 30, 2001:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin percent
Longkou TLC Machinery Co., Ltd.	0.00

We will disclose the calculations used in our analysis to the parties to this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held on July 30, 2002.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Room B-099, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number, (2) the number of participants, and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in case briefs and rebuttal briefs. Case briefs from interested parties may be submitted not later than July 19, 2002. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, will be due not later than July 26, 2002. Parties who submit case briefs or

rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Parties are also encouraged to provide a summary of the arguments not to exceed five pages and a table of statutes, regulations, and cases cited.

The Department will issue the final results of this new shipper review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written briefs or at the hearing, if held, not later than 90 days after the date of issuance of this notice.

Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero. The Department will issue appropriate appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service upon completion of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Upon completion of this review, for entries from Longkou TLC, we will require cash deposits at the rate established in the final results pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(e) and as further described below.

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of brake rotors from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Longkou TLC will be the rate determined in the final results of review (except that, if the rate is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.50 percent, a cash deposit rate of zero will be required); (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC NME entity will continue to be 43.32 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of

antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This new shipper review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: May 28, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-13845 Filed 5-31-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of the antidumping duty new shipper review of potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: On January 3, 2002, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China (PRC). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000. For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 3, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff or Chris Brady, AD/CVD Enforcement Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-1009 and (202) 482-4406, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made

to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of potassium permanganate, an inorganic chemical produced in free-flowing, technical, and pharmaceutical grades. During the review period, potassium permanganate was classifiable under item 2841.60.0010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Background

On January 3, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its notice of the preliminary results of the new shipper review of potassium permanganate from the PRC. *See Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 67 FR 303. In that notice, we invited interested parties to comment on our preliminary results. Since publication of this notice, the following significant events have occurred.

On March 19, 2002, Carus Chemical Company (Carus) (petitioner) submitted evidence that the business license which Groupstars Chemical Co. Ltd. (Groupstars) (respondent) placed on the record in this review had been altered. Although this information was submitted after the deadline for submitting factual information in this review (June 25, 2001), the Department accepted it because of its relevance to respondent's status as a new shipper. *See Memorandum to the File from John Conniff: Submission of New Information and Schedule for Case Briefs and Hearing (April 1, 2002)*. On April 10, 2002, petitioner and respondent submitted case briefs regarding the preliminary results of this review. On April 17, 2002, petitioner submitted rebuttal comments to the Department. On April 19, 2002, the respondent withdrew its request for a new shipper review. On May 16, 2002, the Department issued a memorandum that proposed rescission of this new shipper review. *See Memorandum to Bernard Carreau from Holly A. Kuga: Rescission of New Shipper Review (May 16, 2002) (Rescission Memorandum)*. We invited interested parties to submit comments regarding this memorandum by no later than May 20, 2002. No parties submitted comments.

Rescission of Review

As noted above, information has been placed on the record which calls into question the status of Groupstars as a new shipper. This information indicates that Groupstars' business license, as submitted to the Department, is altered from its original form. Moreover, Groupstars did not make all of the certifications required in a new shipper review under section 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B) of the Department's regulations. Finally, both the petitioner and the respondent have requested that the Department rescind this new shipper review. Therefore, we are rescinding this new shipper review. *See Rescission Memorandum*.

Notification

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 23, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-13839 Filed 5-31-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-806]

Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 28, 2001, we initiated a new shipper review of Groupstars Chemical Company, Ltd. (Groupstars China) because the company submitted a timely request for a new shipper review to the Department of Commerce, which appeared to meet all of the requirements set forth in 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2). *See* 66 FR 41508. We

have now determined that information contained in Groupstars China's request for a new shipper review was either inaccurate or incomplete. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding this new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 3, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On July 31, 2001, the Department initiated a new shipper review of Groupstars China. *See* 66 FR 41508 (August 8, 2001). On August 6, 2001, we received comments from Globe Metallurgical, Inc. and Elkem Metals Company (collectively, petitioners), requesting that we not initiate, or rescind, the review. We issued a questionnaire to Groupstars China on October 5, 2001 and we received responses from Groupstars China on November 2, 2001 and November 14, 2001. On November 20, 2001, we rejected these responses for being improperly filed because Groupstars China failed to properly identify business proprietary and public data. *See* Letter from Barbara E. Tillman, Director, Office 7, to Spring, Spring & Associates, dated November 20, 2001. Groupstars China resubmitted its responses on November 27, 2001, and on December 4, 2001, we again rejected these responses for being improperly filed for the same reason. *See* Letter from Barbara E. Tillman, Director, Office 7, to Spring, Spring & Associates, dated December 4, 2001. On December 7, 2001, we received and accepted revised responses, dated December 6, 2001.

On January 2, 2002, we published the *Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China*. *See* 67 FR 5901 (January 2, 2002).

On January 18, 2002 and January 23, 2002, we received submissions from petitioners providing new factual information and deficiency comments.