individual members of the public required by 10 CFR 20.1301.

### 3.5 Endangered Species

Due to the small size of the test plot (24.2 meters by 30.5 meters), the precautions taken during application, the physical barriers in place to prevent wildlife access, and the removal of all soil and plant materials at the conclusion of each study, it is considered unlikely that the proposed action would have any impact on threatened and endangered species and therefore no further consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act is required.

### 3.6 Historic and Cultural Resources

Due to the small size of the test plot (24.2 meters by 30.5 meters) and previous disturbances of the ground at the site of the proposed action, it is considered unlikely to have any potential effect on historic or cultural properties and therefore no further consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act is required.

### 4.0 Agencies and Persons Contacted

Letter from Allan C. Tapert, Program Administrator, Office of Radiation Control, Delaware Health and Social Services, dated July 19, 1995, to the Center. Mr. Tapert declined to review the Center's plans for field studies because the radioactive material in question is not NARM rather byproduct material.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service was not consulted since the licensing action involves a small plot of land and will not affect endangered or threatened species. The State Historic Preservation Officer was not consulted since there is no potential to affect historic properties.

### 5.0 List of Preparers

John D. Kinneman, Chief, Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, Health Physics Review.

Pamela J. Henderson, Senior Health Physicist, Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, Health Physics Review.

### 6.0 Identification of Sources Used

Draft NUREG–1748, "Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs," published September 2001.

Letter dated March 15, 2002, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I; from Joseph Montovino, Facilities Manager, Stine-Haskell Research Center, DuPont Agricultural Products.

### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

The Commission has prepared an Environmental Assessment related to the proposed action to use C–14 labeled radiochemicals in outdoor field studies and amendment of License No. 07–13441–02. On the basis of the assessment, the Commission has concluded that environmental impacts associated with the proposed action would not be significant and do not warrant the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement. Accordingly, it has been determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," the Environmental Assessment and the documents related to this proposed action will be available electronically for public inspection from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html</a> (the Electronic Reading Room).

### Opportunity for a Hearing

Based on the EA and accompanying safety evaluation, NRC is preparing to issue a FONSI. The NRC hereby provides that this is a proceeding on an application for amendment of a license falling within the scope of Subpart L, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudication in Materials Licensing Proceedings," of NRC's rules and practice for domestic licensing proceedings in 10 CFR part 2. Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in accordance with Section 2.1205(d). A request for a hearing must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of publication of this Federal Register notice.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Office of the Secretary either:

- 1. By delivery to the Document Control Desk or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–2738; or
- 2. By mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001. Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

Additionally, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205(f), each request for a hearing must also be served, by delivering it personally or by mail to:

1. The applicant, E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., DuPont Agricultural Products, Stine-Haskell Research Center, Elkton Road, PO Box 30, Newark, Delaware, 19714–0030, ATTN: Norman W. Henry III; or

2. The NRC staff, by delivering to the Executive Director for Operations, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852, or by mail, addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

A request for hearing, filed by a person other than an applicant, must describe in detail:

- 1. The interest of the requester in the proceeding;
- 2. How that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requestor should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in § 2.1205(h).
- 3. The requester's areas of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject matter of the proceeding; and
- 4. The circumstances establishing that the request for a hearing is timely in accordance with § 2.1205(d).

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania this 15th day of May, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

#### John D. Kinneman,

Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I.

[FR Doc. 02–12836 Filed 5–21–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

#### Extension:

Rule 15c2–5, SEC File No. 270–195, OMB Control No. 3235–0198 Rule 15Ba2–5, SEC File No. 270–91, OMB Control No. 3235–0088

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 USC 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 15c2–5 (17 ČFR 240.15c2–5) prohibits a broker-dealer from arranging or extending a loan to customers, not subject to Regulation T (12 CFR 220), in connection with the offer or sale of securities unless, before entering the

transaction, the broker-dealer: (1) Delivers to the customer a written statement containing specific information concerning the terms, obligations, risks and charges of the loan; (2) obtains from the customer sufficient financial information to determine that the entire transaction is suitable for the customer; and (3) retains on file and makes available to the customer a written statement setting forth the broker-dealer's basis for determining that the transaction was suitable. The collection of information required by the rule is necessary to execute the Commission's mandate under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") to prevent fraudulent, manipulative, and deceptive acts and practices by broker-dealers.

There are approximately 50 respondents that require an aggregate total of 600 hours to comply with the rule. Each of these approximately 50 registered broker-dealers makes an estimated 6 annual responses, for an aggregate total of 300 responses per year. Each response takes approximately 2 hours to complete. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 600 burden hours. The approximate cost per hour is \$ 24.00 (based on an annual salary of \$32,050 for clerical labor plus an additional 35% to account for overhead costs, totaling \$43,268), resulting in a total compliance cost of \$14,400 (600 hours @ \$24.00 per hour).

On July 7, 1975, effective July 16, 1975 (see 41 FR 28948, July 14, 1975) the Commission adopted Rule 15Ba2-5 (17 CFR 240.15Ba2-5) under the Exchange Act to permit a dulyappointed fiduciary to assume immediate responsibility for the operation of a municipal securities dealer's business. Without the rule, the fiduciary would not be able to assume operation until it registered as a municipal securities dealer. Under the rule, the registration of a municipal securities dealer is deemed to be the registration of any executor, administrator, guardian, conservator, assignee for the benefit of creditors, receiver, trustee in insolvency or bankruptcy, or other fiduciary, appointed or qualified by order, judgment, or decree of a court of competent jurisdiction to continue the business of such municipal securities dealer, provided that such fiduciary files with the Commission, within 30 days after entering upon the performance of his duties, a statement setting forth as to such fiduciary substantially the same information required by Form MSD or Form BD. The statement is necessary to ensure that the Commission and the public have

adequate information about the fiduciary.

There is approximately 1 respondent per year that requires an aggregate total of 4 hours to comply with this rule. This respondent makes an estimated 1 annual response. Each response takes approximately 4 hours to complete. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 4 burden hours. The approximate cost per hour is \$20, resulting in a total cost of compliance for the respondent of approximately \$80 (i.e., 4 hours x \$20).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 15, 2002.

### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–12767 Filed 5–21–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Regulation S–T, OMB Control No. 3235–0424, SEC File No. 270–375.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of

Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Regulation S–T sets forth the filing requirements relating to the submission of documents in electronic format on the Electronic Data Gathering Analysis and Retrieval ("EDGAR") system.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 15, 2002.

### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–12803 Filed 5–21–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-45943; File No. SR-NASD-2002-46]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to the Rule 6200 Series or the TRACE Rules

May 16, 2002.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 3, 2002, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD" or "Association") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the NASD. The NASD submitted Amendment No. 1 to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4.