

manufacture and market the FCS for the use that is the subject of the FCN, provided that FDA is advised of the transfer. The APC comment argues that such a process would maintain the safety of the FCS because the FCS would continue to be manufactured in the manner reviewed by FDA and would still be authorized only for the use that was the subject of the original FCN. As noted, FDA believes that the issue raised in the APC comment is outside the scope of the proposed rule, and thus, the agency has not addressed the APC comment in the final rule published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**. To assist the agency in determining what, if any, action it should take, FDA is requesting comments from interested parties on whether the agency should permit a manufacturer to transfer the rights, granted by an effective FCN, to manufacture and market an FCS.

III. FDA's Current Practice

Under section 409(h)(2)(C) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 348(h)(2)(C)), a notification is only effective for the FCS identified in the FCN and not for a similar or identical FCS manufactured or prepared by another manufacturer. Currently, FDA requires any subsequent manufacturer who wishes to market an FCS for a use that is the subject of an effective FCN to submit a new notification to FDA. In addition, the manufacturer identified in an effective FCN may authorize other manufacturers to reference information contained in the effective FCN. Thus, other manufacturers may have to provide only limited additional information in subsequent FCNs but they must separately notify FDA and wait 120 days for their FCN to become effective. One effect of FDA issuing the regulations requested in the APC comment would be that subsequent manufacturers could more rapidly market FCSs.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This advanced notice of proposed rulemaking contains no collections of information. Therefore, clearance by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 is not required.

V. Analysis of Impacts

Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 require cost-benefit and other economic analyses of regulatory alternatives. FDA requests comments on economic issues

associated with regulations permitting a manufacturer or supplier identified in an effective FCN to transfer by sale, licensing, or otherwise to another manufacturer or supplier the right to manufacture or market the FCS for the use that is the subject of the FCN. The agency particularly requests answers or comments on the following questions:

1. What paperwork and other costs will you incur in submitting a transfer application?
2. What health and safety safeguards operate under transfer?
3. Will consumers benefit from establishing such a transfer right? If so, how?
4. What effect would transfer have on the costs and market position of small businesses?
5. How many transfers do you anticipate issuing for each new FCN?

VI. Environmental Impact

The agency has carefully considered the potential environmental effects of this action. FDA has concluded under 21 CFR 25.30(h) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

VII. Reference

The following reference has been placed on display in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

1. Comment from the American Plastics Council submitted to FDA Docket No. 99N-5556, dated September 26, 2000.

VIII. Comments

Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see **ADDRESSES**) written or electronic comments regarding this notice by August 5, 2002. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: September 28, 2001.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 02-12662 Filed 5-20-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Parts 1 and 54

[REG-136193-01]

RIN 1545-BA08

Notice of Significant Reduction in the Rate of Future Benefit Accrual; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to the notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing that was published in the **Federal Register** on Tuesday, April 23, 2002 (67 FR 19713) that relates to the requirements of section 4980F of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) and section 204(h) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as amended, which apply to defined benefit plans and to individual account plans that are subject to the funding standards of section 412 of the Code and section 302 of ERISA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pamela Kinard, (202) 622-3847 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing that is the subject of this correction is under section 4980F of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, REG-136193-01 contains an error which may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing (REG-136193-01), which is the subject of FR Doc. 02-9529 is corrected as follows:

On page 19718, column 2, in the preamble under the caption "Comments and Public Hearing", third paragraph, line 8, the language "(8) copies) by June

18, 2002. A period" is corrected to read "(8 copies) by July 22, 2002. A period".

LaNita Van Dyke,

*Paralegal Specialist, Regulations Unit,
Associate Chief Counsel, (Income Tax and
Accounting).*

[FR Doc. 02-12721 Filed 5-20-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3001

[Docket No. RM2002-1; Order No. 1341]

Rules of Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Postal Rate Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission proposes revising its rules of practice to require, in most instances, that participants file documents electronically over the Internet. This will allow the Commission and others to apply modern technology to certain routine procedures. This should reduce the burden and expense associated with traditional hard copy filing. Conforming and related changes to other rules, including those addressing service and submission of computer-generated studies and analyses, are also proposed. These changes also will contribute to more efficient participation in Commission proceedings and enhance administration.

DATES: Working session on June 12, 2002 (10:00 a.m.); comments due by June 21, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send correspondence concerning this proposal to Steven W. Williams, Secretary, Postal Rate Commission, 1333 H Street, NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20268-0001. The working session will be held at the same address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, Postal Rate Commission, 1333 H Street, NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20268-0001, 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

See 66 FR 33034 (June 20, 2001).

Procedural History

The Commission has preliminarily concluded that it is feasible and desirable to make electronic filing of documents over the Internet the standard procedure for filing official documents with the Commission. The Internet-based filing system that the Commission has developed is referred

to as Filing Online. Nearly all participants in Commission proceedings rely on word processing software to generate the documents that they file, and nearly all have the capability to send those documents in electronic form to the Commission via the Internet using standard browser technology. The Commission has developed a user interface to support the electronic filing of documents by participants in Commission proceedings. Tests of this interface have shown it to be secure, reliable, and user-friendly. It is therefore appropriate to propose that online filing be made the standard procedure for filing documents in Commission proceedings.

The Commission laid the groundwork for the conversion from hard copy to online filing in its notice and order concerning electronic filing (order no. 1317), issued June 13, 2001. There the Commission notified the public of the specific online filing procedures that it was developing, and of its intention to incorporate them in a notice of proposed rulemaking. It invited both written comments on those procedures and oral comments at a technical conference that it conducted on July 11, 2001. The public's suggestions resulted in some adjustments to the procedures proposed.

On October 24, 2001, the Commission issued a notice to participants in all of the dockets that were active at that time. The notice announced that the Commission was setting up docket no. T2002-1 as a vehicle for conducting a live test of its proposed online filing procedures. Participants were encouraged to take hard copy documents that they were filing in other dockets and file them simultaneously in docket no. T2002-1 via the Internet. Additional minor revisions were made to the Commission's proposed electronic filing procedures as a result of this test. The notice indicated the Commission's desire to make online filing procedures available on an optional basis shortly after the conclusion of the test.

Proposal To Make Filing Over the Internet Standard Procedure

The results of the T2002-1 test docket have led the Commission to conclude preliminarily that the potential benefits to participants and the Commission promised by online filing are substantial, but that to fully realize them it will be necessary to make online filing the standard procedure for filing and serving documents in its proceedings. Therefore, the Commission is proposing to make the use of Filing Online mandatory. A participant may obtain a

waiver of the online filing requirement if it demonstrates to the Commission that it faces special circumstances that make online filing infeasible. The Commission proposes to revise its rules of practice and arrange for any training that may be necessary to ensure that the benefits of online filing will be available to all participants in the next major rate or classification proceeding that the Commission conducts.

The Benefits of Filing Online

As noted in order no. 1317, using Filing Online should substantially reduce the cost of participating in Commission proceedings. The process for filing documents will be greatly simplified. Transaction costs associated with the actual filing of hard copy, as well as the costs of paper, printing, and postage, will be largely eliminated. The need to serve participants will be eliminated for all but the most lengthy documents. The cumbersome attestation requirement for interrogatory answers will be eliminated as well. This streamlining should reduce the time and expense of filing documents with the Commission. It should also give participants earlier access to documents filed by others, making it possible to shorten the current cycle of pleading and response. It should also eliminate any confusion over service dates.

Because documents will be available in portable document format (pdf), they will be vastly more efficient to download. It will also be easier to cut and paste portions of filed documents into related pleadings and testimony. Conversion to Filing Online should also facilitate document management and electronic archiving for participants. It should bring about these improvements without a reduction in security, since web transmissions between participants and the Commission's server will be over encrypted channels. Processing documents on the Commission's Web site will be secure as well. Procedures are provided that allow a participant to temporarily store documents that it plans to file in a reserved area on the Commission's server without losing control over its documents. Filing Online also provides procedures that safeguard against inadvertent filing of documents that have not been finalized. Materials subject to a protective order, however, may not be filed through Filing Online, and will not be posted on the Commission's Web site.

Conversion to Filing Online also offers significant benefits to the Commission. The time and expense of applying scanning and optical character recognition procedures to filed documents will be eliminated for most