

Eligible destination ZIP Codes	Entry
* * * * *	
130–136, 140–149 [Except machinable parcels] .....	ASF BUFFALO NY 140.
150–168, 260–266, 439–447 [Except machinable parcels] .....	BMCPITTSBURGH PA 15195.
130–136, 140–168, 260–266, 439–447 [Machinable parcels only or machinable parcels combined with bedloaded nonmachinable parcels].	BMC PITTSBURGH PA 15195.
* * * * *	

\* \* \* \* \*

*E752 Bound Printed Matter*

\* \* \* \* \*

## 2.0 Destination Bulk Mail Center (DBMC) Rates

\* \* \* \* \*

### 2.3 Presorted Machinable Parcels

[Amend 2.3 by adding the phrase “except as shown in Exhibit E751.1.3” to the third sentence to read as follows:]

Presorted machinable parcels in sacks or on pallets at all sort levels may claim DBMC rates. Machinable parcels palletized under M045 or sacked under M722 may be sorted to destination BMCs under L601 or to destination BMCs and ASFs under L601 and L602. Except as provided in Exhibit E751.1.3, sortation of machinable parcels to ASFs is optional but is required for the ASF mail to be eligible for DBMC rates. \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

## L Labeling Lists

\* \* \* \* \*

### L600 Standard Mail and Package Services

#### L601 BMCs

[Amend L601 by revising items to read as follows:]

\* \* \* \* \*

a. Standard Mail machinable parcels except ASF mail (other than mail for the Buffalo ASF service area) prepared and claimed at DBMC rates. Machinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area prepared and claimed at DBMC rates must be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC.

\* \* \* \* \*

c. Bound Printed Matter machinable parcels except ASF mail (other than mail for the Buffalo ASF service area) prepared and claimed at DBMC rates. Machinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area prepared and claimed at DBMC rates must be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC.

\* \* \* \* \*

e. Parcel Post except for ASF mail (other than mail for the Buffalo ASF

service area) prepared and claimed at DBMC rates and nonmachinable BMC Presort or OBMC Presort rate mail. Machinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area prepared and claimed at DBMC rates must be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC. Nonmachinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area claimed at DBMC rates may be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC if bedloaded and presented with machinable parcels.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### L602 ASFs

[Amend L602 by revising L602a, L602c, and L602e to read as follows:]

L602 defines the service area by individual 3-digit ZIP Code prefix for Standard Mail and Package Services mail that must be sorted to ASFs.

Use this list for:

a. Standard Mail machinable parcels if ASF mail (other than mail for the Buffalo ASF service area) is prepared and claimed at DBMC rates. Machinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area prepared and claimed at DBMC rates must be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC under L601.

\* \* \* \* \*

c. Bound Printed Matter machinable parcels if ASF mail (other than mail for the Buffalo ASF service area) is prepared and claimed at DBMC rates. Machinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area prepared and claimed at DBMC rates must be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC under L601.

\* \* \* \* \*

e. Parcel Post machinable parcels if ASF mail (other than mail for the Buffalo ASF service area) is prepared and claimed at DBMC rates. Machinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area prepared and claimed at DBMC rates must be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC under L601. Nonmachinable parcels for the Buffalo ASF service area claimed at DBMC rates may be sorted to the Pittsburgh BMC under L601 if bedloaded and presented with machinable parcels.

\* \* \* \* \*

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR part 111.3 to reflect these changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 02–1272 Filed 1–16–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–12–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[FRL–7129–6]

### Approval of Section 112(l) Authority for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Equivalency by Permit Provisions; National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants From the Pulp and Paper Industry; State of Maine

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve a delegation request submitted by the State of Maine. Pursuant to section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), Maine Department of Environmental Protection (ME DEP) requested approval to implement and enforce State permit terms and conditions that substitute for the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Pulp and Paper Industry. EPA is proposing to grant ME DEP the authority to implement and enforce alternative requirements in the form of title V permit terms and conditions after EPA has approved the state's alternative requirements. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by February 7, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to: Steven Rapp, Manager, Air Permits Program Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection (mail code CAP), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-New England, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114–2023.

Copies of the submitted request are available for public inspection at EPA's Region I office during normal business hours.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ian D. Cohen, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-New England, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114–2023, Telephone: (617) 918–1655.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

On April 15, 1998, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Pulp and Paper Industry (see 63 FR 18617), which has been codified in 40 CFR part 63, subpart S, “National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Pulp and Paper Industry” (Pulp and Paper MACT I). On July 16, 1999, EPA delegated its authority to implement and enforce 40 CFR part 63, subpart S, the Pulp and Paper MACT Standard, to ME DEP. Lincoln Pulp and Paper Company of Lincoln, Maine (Lincoln Pulp and Paper), is one of several sources in Maine currently subject to subpart S.

On September 25, 2001, ME DEP requested delegation of subpart S under § 63.94 for Lincoln Pulp and Paper. EPA received the request on October 5, 2001. ME DEP requested to implement and enforce approved alternative title V permit terms and conditions in place of the otherwise applicable requirements of subpart S for Lincoln Pulp and Paper under the process outlined in 40 CFR 63.94. ME DEP will continue to implement and enforce subpart S without changes for the remainder of sources in Maine subject to subpart S. As part of its request to implement and enforce approved alternative title V permit terms and conditions in place of the otherwise applicable federal section 112 standards, ME DEP also requested approval of its demonstration that ME DEP has adequate authorities and resources to implement and enforce all Clean Air Act (CAA) section 112 programs and rules. The purpose of this demonstration is to streamline the approval process for future CAA section 112(l) applications.

Under CAA section 112(l), EPA may approve state or local rules or programs to be implemented and enforced in place of certain otherwise applicable CAA section 112 federal rules, emission standards, or requirements. The federal regulations governing EPA's approval of state and local rules or programs under section 112(l) are located at 40 CFR part

63, subpart E (see 65 FR 55810, dated September 14, 2000). Under these regulations, a local air pollution control agency has the option to request EPA's approval to substitute alternative requirements and authorities that take the form of permit terms and conditions instead of source category-specific regulations. This option is referred to as the equivalency by permit (EBP) option. To receive EPA approval using this option, the requirements of 40 CFR 63.91 and 63.94 must be met.

The EBP process comprises three steps. The first step (see 40 CFR 63.94(a) and (b)) is the “up-front approval” of the state EBP program. The second step (see 40 CFR 63.94(c) and (d)) is EPA review and approval of the state alternative section 112 requirements in the form of pre-draft permit terms and conditions. The third step (see 40 CFR 63.94(e)) is incorporation of the approved pre-draft permit terms and conditions into specific title V permit and the title V permit issuance process itself. The final approval of the state alternative requirements that substitute for the federal standard does not occur for purposes of the Act, section 112(l)(5), until the completion of step three.

The purpose of step one, the “up-front approval” of the EBP program, is three fold: (1) It ensures that ME DEP meets the § 63.91(b) criteria for up-front approval common to all approval options; (2) it provides a legal foundation for ME DEP to replace the otherwise applicable federal section 112 requirements with alternative, federally enforceable requirements that will be reflected in final title V permit terms and conditions; and (3) it delineates the specific sources and federal emission standards for which ME DEP will be accepting delegation under the EBP option.

Under §§ 63.91 and 63.94(b), ME's request for approval is required to include the identification of the sources and the source categories for which the state is seeking authority to implement and enforce alternative requirements, as well as a one time demonstration that the State has an approved title V operating permit program that permits the affected sources. EPA's review of the request for approval of ME DEP's EBP program for subpart S indicates that this request meets all the requirements necessary to qualify for approval under CAA section 112(l) and 40 CFR 63.91 and 63.94. EPA is proposing to approve ME DEP's request to implement and enforce alternative requirements in the form of title V permit terms and conditions for Lincoln Pulp and Paper for subpart S. The requirement

applicable to the source and the “applicable requirement” for title V purposes remains the federal section 112 requirement until EPA has approved the alternative permit terms and conditions and the final title V permit is issued.

**II. Proposed Action**

EPA is proposing to approve ME DEP's request to implement and enforce alternative requirements in the form of title V permit terms and conditions for Lincoln Pulp and Paper for subpart S.

EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this proposal or on other relevant matters. These comments will be considered before EPA takes final action. Interested parties may participate in the federal rulemaking procedure by submitting written comments to the EPA New England Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this action.

**III. Administrative Requirements**

*A. Executive Order 12866*

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review.” This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045, entitled, “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks,” because it is not an “economically significant” action under Executive Order 12866.

*B. Executive Order 13175*

Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 6, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” “Policies that have tribal implications” is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the federal government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the federal government and Indian tribes.”

EPA has concluded that this proposed rule may have tribal implications. Lincoln Pulp and Paper is located near the Penobscot Nation. However, it will neither impose substantial direct compliance costs on tribal governments, nor preempt tribal law. Thus, the requirements of sections 5(b) and 5(c) of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

Consistent with EPA policy, EPA nonetheless consulted with

representatives early in the process of developing this regulation to permit them to have meaningful and timely input into its development. EPA has discussed this with representatives of the Penobscot Indian Tribe. EPA has agreed to work with the State, the Tribe, and the company to ensure that whatever alternative EPA approves will have no discernible environmental effect on the Tribe. We are conducting ongoing consultation with the Penobscot Nation on this request by the State of Maine and have explained that while this action gives Maine flexibility, it does not increase its authority. This federal action will allow the State of Maine to implement equivalent alternative permit requirements to replace pre-existing requirements under federal law.

In the spirit of Executive Order 13175, and consistent with EPA policy to promote communications between EPA and tribal governments, EPA specifically solicits additional comment on this proposed rule from tribal officials.

#### C. Executive Order 13132

Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government."

This proposed rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. This action will simply allow Maine to implement equivalent alternative requirements to replace a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. Thus, Executive Order 13132 does not apply to this proposed rule.

#### D. Executive Order 13211

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66

FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

#### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000. This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because approvals under 40 CFR 63.94 do not create any new requirements but will simply allow the state to implement and enforce permit terms in place of federal requirements that the EPA is already imposing. Therefore, because this proposed approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action proposed does not include a federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This federal action will allow Maine to implement equivalent alternative requirements to replace pre-existing requirements under federal law, and will impose no new requirements.

Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

#### G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

#### H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: January 10, 2002.

**Robert W. Varney,**  
Regional Administrator, EPA-New England.

EPA is proposing to amend Title 40, chapter I, part 63 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

#### PART 63—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

### Subpart E—Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities

2. Section 63.99 is amended by adding paragraph (a)(20) to read as follows:

#### § 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.

(a)\* \* \*

(20) Maine.

(i) [Reserved].

(ii) Maine Department of Environmental Services (ME DEP) may implement and enforce alternative requirements in the form of title V permit terms and conditions for Lincoln Pulp and Paper, located in Lincoln, Maine, for subpart S—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Pulp and Paper Industry. This action is contingent upon ME DEP including, in title V permits, terms and conditions that are no less stringent than the Federal standard and have been approved by EPA. In addition, the requirement applicable to the source remains the Federal section 112 requirement until EPA has approved the alternative permit terms and conditions and the final title V permit is issued.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 02–1244 Filed 1–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–301210; FRL–6818–2]

RIN 2070–AC18

#### Sodium Starch Glycolate; Proposed Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of sodium starch glycolate when used as an inert ingredient (disintegrant) in granular or tableted pesticide products, in or on growing crops, when applied to raw agricultural commodities after harvest, or to animals under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket control number OPP–301210, must be received on or before March 18, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in

person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPP–301210 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** By mail: Kathryn Boyle, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 703–305–6304; e-mail address: boyle.kathryn@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Cat-egories	NAICS Codes	Examples of Potentially Affected Entities
Industry	111	Crop production Animal production Food manufac-turing Pesticide manu-facturing
	112	
	311	
	32532	

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

###### B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically.* You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov/>. To access this document, on the Home Page select “Laws and Regulations,” “Regulations and Proposed Rules,” and then look up the entry for this document under the “**Federal Register**—Environmental

Documents.” You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>. To access the OPPTS Harmonized Guidelines referenced in this document, go directly to the guidelines at <http://www.epa.gov/opptsfrs/home/guidelin.htm>.

2. *In person.* The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPP–301210. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

###### C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments through the mail, in person, or electronically. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPP–301210 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

1. *By mail.* Submit your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

2. *In person or by courier.* Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. The PIRIB is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

3. *Electronically.* You may submit your comments electronically by e-mail to: [opp-docket@epa.gov](mailto:opp-docket@epa.gov), or you can submit a computer disk as described in